

The Finite-codimensional Controllability Problem

Qi Lü

Joint work with Xu Liu and Xu Zhang

December 8, 2018

- Consider the following nonlinear abstract evolution equation on a Hilbert space H :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = Ay(t) + f(t, y(t), u(t)) & t \in (0, T], \\ y(0) = y_0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

- Consider the following nonlinear abstract evolution equation on a Hilbert space H :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = Ay(t) + f(t, y(t), u(t)) & t \in (0, T], \\ y(0) = y_0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

- Here $u \in \mathcal{U}$ is the control variable, y is the state variable, $y_0 \in H$ and $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ is a linear operator generating a C_0 -semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

- Consider the following nonlinear abstract evolution equation on a Hilbert space H :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = Ay(t) + f(t, y(t), u(t)) & t \in (0, T], \\ y(0) = y_0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

- Here $u \in \mathcal{U}$ is the control variable, y is the state variable, $y_0 \in H$ and $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ is a linear operator generating a C_0 -semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.
- Assume that $f : [0, T] \times H \times U \rightarrow H$ satisfies suitable conditions, so that for any $y_0 \in H$ and $u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}$, (1) admits a unique mild solution.

- Let \tilde{U} be a nonempty subset of the Hilbert space U and S be a subset of $H \times H$.

- Let \tilde{U} be a nonempty subset of the Hilbert space U and S be a subset of $H \times H$.

- Set

$$\mathcal{U}[0, T] = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{U} \mid u : (0, T) \rightarrow \tilde{U} \text{ is measurable} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_{ad} = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{U}[0, T] \mid \text{the corresponding solution } y \text{ of (1) satisfies that} \right. \\ \left. y(0) = y^0, y(T) = y^1, \text{ for some } (y^0, y^1) \in S \right\}.$$

- Set

$$\mathcal{J}(u(\cdot)) = \int_0^T f^0(t, y(t), u(t)) dt,$$

where y is the solution of (1) associated to $y_0 \in H$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}$, and $f^0 : [0, T] \times H \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies suitable conditions.

- Set

$$\mathcal{J}(u(\cdot)) = \int_0^T f^0(t, y(t), u(t)) dt,$$

where y is the solution of (1) associated to $y_0 \in H$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}$, and $f^0 : [0, T] \times H \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies suitable conditions.

- Consider the following optimal control problem for the nonlinear system (1):

(P) Find a $\bar{u}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$, so that $\mathcal{J}(\bar{u}(\cdot)) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} \mathcal{J}(u(\cdot))$.

- To solve Problem (P), people first study necessary conditions fulfilled by (\bar{x}, \bar{u}) .

- To solve Problem (P), people first study necessary conditions fulfilled by (\bar{x}, \bar{u}) .
- When $H = \mathbb{R}^n$, a very elegant necessary condition for optimal controls was established by Pontryagin and his group. Such kind of conditions are call Pontryagin type maximum principle (PMP for short).

- Consider the following control system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(t, x(t), u(t)), & \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

- Consider the following control system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(t, x(t), u(t)), & \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

- Here f is a suitable smooth function from $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times U$ with U a separable metric space and

$$u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{V}[0, T] = \{u(\cdot) : [0, T] \rightarrow U \mid u(\cdot) \text{ measurable}, x(T) = x_1\}.$$

- The cost functional

$$\mathcal{J}(u(\cdot)) = \int_0^T f^0(t, x(t), u(t)) dt, \quad (3)$$

for suitable f^0 .

- The cost functional

$$\mathcal{J}(u(\cdot)) = \int_0^T f^0(t, x(t), u(t)) dt, \quad (3)$$

for suitable f^0 .

- **(P)** Find a $\bar{u}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$, such that $\mathcal{J}(\bar{u}(\cdot)) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} \mathcal{J}(u(\cdot))$.

- One has the following is the well-known *Pontryagin's maximum principle*, which gives a set of *first-order necessary conditions* for optimal pairs.

- One has the following is the well-known *Pontryagin's maximum principle*, which gives a set of *first-order necessary conditions* for optimal pairs.
- **Theorem 1.** Assume that f and f^0 are smooth enough. Let $(\bar{x}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$ be an optimal pair of Problem **(P)**. There exists $\psi^0 \leq 0$ and $\psi : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$|\psi^0|^2 + |\psi(t)|^2 > 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

$$\frac{d\psi(t)}{dt} = -f_x^0(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t))\psi - f_x(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t)),$$

and

$$H(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t), \psi(t), \psi^0) = \max_{u \in U} H(t, \bar{x}(t), u, \psi(t), \psi^0), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T],$$

where

$$H(t, x, u, \psi, \psi^0) \triangleq \langle \psi, f(t, x, u) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \psi^0 f^0(t, x, u),$$

$$(t, x, u, \psi, \psi^0) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times U \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}.$$

- The infinite dimensional version of the PMP was first proved by A. G. Butkovsky for systems governed by integral equations and by G. L. Kharatishvile for systems governed by ordinary delay equations in 1961.

- The infinite dimensional version of the PMP was first proved by A. G. Butkovsky for systems governed by integral equations and by G. L. Kharatishvile for systems governed by ordinary delay equations in 1961.
- A.I. Egorov adopted the method of Pontryagin to derive the PMP for nonlinear evolution equations formally.

- **“Theorem”**: Let $(\bar{y}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}_{ad}$ be an optimal pair. Then there exists a pair $(\psi^0, \psi(\cdot)) \in \mathbb{R} \times C([0, T]; H)$ such that

$$\psi^0 \leq 0, \quad |\psi^0|^2 + |\psi(t)|_H^2 > 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\psi(t)}{dt} = & -A^*\psi(t) - f_y(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{u}(t))^*\psi(t) \\ & - \psi^0 f_y^0(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{u}(t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T], \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \psi(0), x_0 - \bar{y}(0) \rangle_H - \langle \psi(T), x_1 - \bar{y}(T) \rangle_H \leq 0, \quad \forall (x_0, x_1) \in S,$$

$$H(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{u}(t), \psi^0, \psi(t)) = \max_{u \in U} H(t, \bar{y}(t), u, \psi^0, \psi(t)),$$

$$\text{a.e. } t \in [0, T],$$

where

$$H(t, y, u, \psi^0, \psi) = \psi^0 f^0(t, y, u) + \langle \psi, f(t, y, u) \rangle_H,$$

$$\forall (t, y, u, \psi^0, \psi) \in [0, T] \times X \times U \times \mathbb{R} \times H.$$

- Yu.V. Egorov constructed an example showing that PMP does not necessarily hold for infinite dimensional systems.

- Yu.V. Egorov constructed an example showing that PMP does not necessarily hold for infinite dimensional systems.
- Let $H = L^2(0, 1)$ and A be defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = H^2(0, 1) \cap H_0^1(0, 1), \quad A\varphi = -\varphi'', \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A).$$

- Yu.V. Egorov constructed an example showing that PMP does not necessarily hold for infinite dimensional systems.
- Let $H = L^2(0, 1)$ and A be defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = H^2(0, 1) \cap H_0^1(0, 1), \quad A\varphi = -\varphi'', \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A).$$

- Let

$$\begin{cases} b \in L^2(0, 1), & b_k = \sqrt{2} \int_0^1 b(x) \sin(k\pi x) dx \neq 0, & k \geq 1; \\ a \in L^2(0, 1), & c \in \mathbb{R}, & c \neq \langle b, A^{-1}a \rangle; \\ q = (e^A - I)A^{-1}b; & U = [-2, 2]. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

- Consider the following system:

$$\dot{y}(t) = Ay(t) + bu(t), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (5)$$

with $u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U} \equiv \{u(\cdot) : [0, 1] \rightarrow U \mid u(\cdot) \text{ measurable}\}$.

- Consider the following system:

$$\dot{y}(t) = Ay(t) + bu(t), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (5)$$

with $u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U} \equiv \{u(\cdot) : [0, 1] \rightarrow U \mid u(\cdot) \text{ measurable}\}$.

- Our constraint for the terminal values of the state is

$$y(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = q, \quad (6)$$

i.e., $S = \{0\} \times \{q\}$ and the cost functional is given by

$$\mathcal{J}(u(\cdot)) = \int_0^1 [\langle a, y(t) \rangle_{L^2(0,1)} + cu(t)] dt \quad (7)$$

- It is easy to see that $\bar{u}(t) \equiv 1$ is the optimal control.

- It is easy to see that $\bar{u}(t) \equiv 1$ is the optimal control.
- Suppose the pair $(\bar{y}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$ satisfies the PMP. Then there exists a pair $(\psi^0, \psi(\cdot)) \neq 0$, with $\psi^0 \leq 0$ and

$$\psi(t) = e^{A(1-t)}\psi(1) + \psi^0(e^{A(1-t)} - I)A^{-1}a,$$

such that for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\left[\psi^0 c + \langle \psi(t), b \rangle_{L^2(0,1)} \right] = \max_{|u| \leq 2} \left[\psi^0 c + \langle \psi(t), b \rangle_{L^2(0,1)} \right] u. \quad (8)$$

- It is easy to see that $\bar{u}(t) \equiv 1$ is the optimal control.
- Suppose the pair $(\bar{y}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$ satisfies the PMP. Then there exists a pair $(\psi^0, \psi(\cdot)) \neq 0$, with $\psi^0 \leq 0$ and

$$\psi(t) = e^{A(1-t)}\psi(1) + \psi^0(e^{A(1-t)} - I)A^{-1}a,$$

such that for a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\left[\psi^0 c + \langle \psi(t), b \rangle_{L^2(0,1)} \right] = \max_{|u| \leq 2} \left[\psi^0 c + \langle \psi(t), b \rangle_{L^2(0,1)} \right] u. \quad (8)$$

- (8) implies that $(\psi^0, \psi(\cdot)) = 0$.

- In 1980s, X. Li and Y. Yao used the Ekeland variational principle and proved that the PMP holds if the reachable set of the variational system is finite codimensional in H .

- In 1980s, X. Li and Y. Yao used the Ekeland variational principle and proved that the PMP holds if the reachable set of the variational system is finite codimensional in H .
- In 1991, X. Li and J. Yong gave a general condition for obtaining the PMP.

- Let $(\bar{y}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}_{ad}$ be optimal.

- Let $(\bar{y}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}_{ad}$ be optimal.
- Consider the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi}(t) = A\xi(t) + f_y(t, \bar{y}, \bar{u})\xi + [f(t, \bar{y}, u) - f(t, \bar{y}, \bar{u})], \\ \xi(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\dot{\eta}(t) = A\eta(t) + f_y(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{u}(t))\eta(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \eta(0) = y_0.$$

- Let $(\bar{y}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}_{ad}$ be optimal.
- Consider the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi}(t) = A\xi(t) + f_y(t, \bar{y}, \bar{u})\xi + [f(t, \bar{y}, u) - f(t, \bar{y}, \bar{u})], \\ \xi(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\dot{\eta}(t) = A\eta(t) + f_y(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{u}(t))\eta(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \eta(0) = y_0.$$

- Let

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \xi(T) \in H \mid u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0, T] \right\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q} = \left\{ y_1 - \eta(T) \mid (y_0, y_1) \in S \right\}.$$

- (H1) $\mathcal{R} - \mathcal{Q} \equiv \{r - q \mid r \in \mathcal{R}, q \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ is finite codimensional in H .

- (H1) $\mathcal{R} - \mathcal{Q} \equiv \{r - q \mid r \in \mathcal{R}, q \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ is finite codimensional in H .
- Recall that a subset S of H is said to be *finite codimensional* in H , denoted by $\text{codim}_Z S < \infty$, if there exists a point $z_0 \in \text{co}S$, such that the closed subspace spanned by $\{z - z_0 \mid z \in S\}$ is a finite codimensional subspace of Z and $\text{co}(S - z_0)$ has a nonempty interior in this subspace.

- **Theorem**(X. Li & J. Yong, 1991, SICON) Let (H1) hold and $(\bar{y}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{A}_{ad}$ be an optimal pair. Then there exists a pair $(\psi^0, \psi(\cdot)) \in \mathbb{R} \times C([0, T]; H)$ such that

$$\psi^0 \leq 0, \quad |\psi^0|^2 + |\psi(t)|_H^2 > 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\psi(t)}{dt} = & -A^*\psi(t) - f_y(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{u}(t))^*\psi(t) \\ & - \psi^0 f_y^0(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{u}(t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T], \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \psi(0), x_0 - \bar{y}(0) \rangle_H - \langle \psi(T), x_1 - \bar{y}(T) \rangle_H \leq 0, \quad \forall (x_0, x_1) \in S,$$

$$H(t, \bar{y}(t), \bar{u}(t), \psi^0, \psi(t)) = \max_{u \in U} H(t, \bar{y}(t), u, \psi^0, \psi(t)),$$

$$\text{a.e. } t \in [0, T],$$

where

$$H(t, y, u, \psi^0, \psi) = \psi^0 f^0(t, y, u) + \langle \psi, f(t, y, u) \rangle_H,$$

$$\forall (t, y, u, \psi^0, \psi) \in [0, T] \times X \times U \times \mathbb{R} \times H.$$

- Now the problem is when (H1) is true?

- Now the problem is when (H1) is true?
- To see whether (H1) holds or not, one has to study the reachable set of a linear system with control constraint, which is a hard problem.

- Consider the following controlled wave equation:

$$\begin{cases} y_{tt} - \Delta y + f(x, t, y) = \chi_{\omega} u & \text{in } Q, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ y(0) = y_0, y_t(0) = y_1 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where u is the control variable and (y, y_t) is the state variable, $(y_0, y_1) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ is any given initial value, and f is C^1 with respect to (t, x, y) .

- Consider the following controlled wave equation:

$$\begin{cases} y_{tt} - \Delta y + f(x, t, y) = \chi_{\omega} u & \text{in } Q, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ y(0) = y_0, y_t(0) = y_1 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where u is the control variable and (y, y_t) is the state variable, $(y_0, y_1) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ is any given initial value, and f is C^1 with respect to (t, x, y) .

- The admissible control set is

$$\mathcal{U} \triangleq \{u \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \mid 0 \leq u(t, x) \leq 1 \\ \text{for a.e. } (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega\}.$$

- Let

$$\mathcal{J}(u(\cdot)) = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} f^0(x, t, y(x, t), u(x, t)) dx dt,$$

where $f^0 : Q \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies that

- Let

$$\mathcal{J}(u(\cdot)) = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} f^0(x, t, y(x, t), u(x, t)) dx dt,$$

where $f^0 : Q \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies that

- 1. f^0 is strongly measurable with respect to (x, t) in Q , and continuously differentiable with respect to (y, u) in \mathbb{R}^2 with $f^0(x, t, \cdot, \cdot)$, $f_y^0(x, t, \cdot, \cdot)$ and $f_u^0(x, t, \cdot, \cdot)$ being continuous, respectively.

- Let

$$\mathcal{J}(u(\cdot)) = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} f^0(x, t, y(x, t), u(x, t)) dx dt,$$

where $f^0 : Q \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies that

- 1. f^0 is strongly measurable with respect to (x, t) in Q , and continuously differentiable with respect to (y, u) in \mathbb{R}^2 with $f^0(x, t, \cdot, \cdot)$, $f_y^0(x, t, \cdot, \cdot)$ and $f_u^0(x, t, \cdot, \cdot)$ being continuous, respectively.
- 2. $f^0(\cdot, \cdot, 0, 0) \in L^1(Q)$, and there exists a constant $L > 0$, so that for any $(x, t, y, u) \in Q \times \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$|f_y^0(x, t, y, u)| + |f_u^0(x, t, y, u)| \leq L.$$

- Let

$$\mathcal{J}(u(\cdot)) = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} f^0(x, t, y(x, t), u(x, t)) dx dt,$$

where $f^0 : Q \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies that

- 1. f^0 is strongly measurable with respect to (x, t) in Q , and continuously differentiable with respect to (y, u) in \mathbb{R}^2 with $f^0(x, t, \cdot, \cdot)$, $f_y^0(x, t, \cdot, \cdot)$ and $f_u^0(x, t, \cdot, \cdot)$ being continuous, respectively.
- 2. $f^0(\cdot, \cdot, 0, 0) \in L^1(Q)$, and there exists a constant $L > 0$, so that for any $(x, t, y, u) \in Q \times \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$|f_y^0(x, t, y, u)| + |f_u^0(x, t, y, u)| \leq L.$$

- How to check whether (H1) holds or not?

- Consider the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = Ay(t) + F(t)y(t) + B(t)u(t) & t \in (0, T], \\ y(0) = y_0. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

- Consider the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = Ay(t) + F(t)y(t) + B(t)u(t) & t \in (0, T], \\ y(0) = y_0. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

- Here $u(t) \in \tilde{U}$ is the control variable and y is the state variable, $y_0 \in H$ is any given initial value, $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ is a linear operator generating a C_0 -semigroup, $F(\cdot) \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{L}(H))$, and $B(\cdot) \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{L}(\tilde{U}; H))$.

- Consider the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = Ay(t) + F(t)y(t) + B(t)u(t) & t \in (0, T], \\ y(0) = y_0. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

- Here $u(t) \in \tilde{U}$ is the control variable and y is the state variable, $y_0 \in H$ is any given initial value, $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ is a linear operator generating a C_0 -semigroup, $F(\cdot) \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{L}(H))$, and $B(\cdot) \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{L}(\tilde{U}; H))$.
- Let

$$\mathcal{R}(T; y_0) = \left\{ y(T; y_0, u) \in H \mid u(\cdot) \in L^2(0, T; \tilde{U}) \right\}.$$

- Consider the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = Ay(t) + F(t)y(t) + B(t)u(t) & t \in (0, T], \\ y(0) = y_0. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

- Here $u(t) \in \tilde{U}$ is the control variable and y is the state variable, $y_0 \in H$ is any given initial value, $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ is a linear operator generating a C_0 -semigroup, $F(\cdot) \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{L}(H))$, and $B(\cdot) \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{L}(\tilde{U}; H))$.
- Let

$$\mathcal{R}(T; y_0) = \left\{ y(T; y_0, u) \in H \mid u(\cdot) \in L^2(0, T; \tilde{U}) \right\}.$$

- For any $T > 0$, (10) is called to be finite-codimensional exactly controllable at time T , if $\mathcal{R}(T; 0)$ is a finite-codimensional subspace of H .

- Assume that $\text{co } U$ has at least a nonempty interior point in \tilde{U} .

- Assume that $\text{co } U$ has at least a nonempty interior point in \tilde{U} .
- The following assertions are equivalent:

- Assume that $\text{co } U$ has at least a nonempty interior point in \tilde{U} .
- The following assertions are equivalent:
- **(1)** (H1) holds.

- Assume that $\text{co } U$ has at least a nonempty interior point in \tilde{U} .
- The following assertions are equivalent:
- **(1)** (H1) holds.
- **(2)** The system (10) is finite-codimensional exactly controllable in H .

- Now we give some equivalent characterization for the finite-codimensional controllability of (10).

- Now we give some equivalent characterization for the finite-dimensional controllability of (10).
- Consider the following linear system:

$$\begin{cases} -\dot{\phi}(t) = A^* \phi(t) + F(t)^* \phi(t) & t \in (0, T], \\ \phi(T) = \phi_T, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $\phi_T \in H$ and $F(t)^*$ denotes the adjoint operator of $F(t)$ for any $t \in [0, T]$.

- Now we give some equivalent characterization for the finite-dimensional controllability of (10).
- Consider the following linear system:

$$\begin{cases} -\dot{\phi}(t) = A^* \phi(t) + F(t)^* \phi(t) & t \in (0, T], \\ \phi(T) = \phi_T, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $\phi_T \in H$ and $F(t)^*$ denotes the adjoint operator of $F(t)$ for any $t \in [0, T]$.

- The following assertions are equivalent:

- Now we give some equivalent characterization for the finite-codimensional controllability of (10).
- Consider the following linear system:

$$\begin{cases} -\dot{\phi}(t) = A^*\phi(t) + F(t)^*\phi(t) & t \in (0, T], \\ \phi(T) = \phi_T, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $\phi_T \in H$ and $F(t)^*$ denotes the adjoint operator of $F(t)$ for any $t \in [0, T]$.

- The following assertions are equivalent:
- **(1) The system (10) is finite-codimensional exactly controllable in H .**

- Now we give some equivalent characterization for the finite-codimensional controllability of (10).
- Consider the following linear system:

$$\begin{cases} -\dot{\phi}(t) = A^* \phi(t) + F(t)^* \phi(t) & t \in (0, T], \\ \phi(T) = \phi_T, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $\phi_T \in H$ and $F(t)^*$ denotes the adjoint operator of $F(t)$ for any $t \in [0, T]$.

- The following assertions are equivalent:
- **(1)** The system (10) is finite-codimensional exactly controllable in H .
- **(2)** There is a finite-codimensional subspace $\tilde{H} \subseteq H$, so that any solution ϕ of (11) satisfies

$$|\phi_T|_H \leq C |B(\cdot)^* \phi|_{L^2(0, T; U)}, \quad \forall \phi_T \in \tilde{H}. \quad (12)$$

- **Theorem** Assume that for any $\phi_T \in H$, the solution ϕ of (11) satisfies that

$$|\phi_T|_H \leq C \left[|(B(\cdot))^* \phi|_{L^2(0,T;\tilde{U})} + |G\phi_T|_{H^1} \right], \quad (13)$$

where G is a compact operator from H to a Banach space H^1 . Then there is a finite-codimensional subspace $\tilde{H} \subseteq H$, so that any solution ϕ of (11) satisfies

$$|\phi_T|_H \leq C |B(\cdot)^* \phi|_{L^2(0,T;\tilde{U})}, \quad \forall \phi_T \in \tilde{H}. \quad (14)$$

- Consider the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} z_{tt} - \Delta z = f_y(t, x, \bar{y}(t))z & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ z = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ z(0) = z_0, \quad z_t(0) = z_1 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

- Consider the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} z_{tt} - \Delta z = f_y(t, x, \bar{y}(t))z & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ z = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ z(0) = z_0, \quad z_t(0) = z_1 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

- For the optimal control problem for the semilinear wave equation given above, we only need to prove the following result:

- Consider the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} z_{tt} - \Delta z = f_y(t, x, \bar{y}(t))z & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ z = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ z(0) = z_0, \quad z_t(0) = z_1 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

- For the optimal control problem for the semilinear wave equation given above, we only need to prove the following result:

- There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $(z_0, z_1) \in L^2(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(z_0, z_1)|_{L^2(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega)} \\ & \leq C(|z|_{L^2((0, T) \times \omega)} + |(z_0, z_1)|_{H^{-1}(\Omega) \times H^{-2}(\Omega)}). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

- Consider the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} z_{tt} - \Delta z = f_y(t, x, \bar{y}(t))z & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ z = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ z(0) = z_0, \quad z_t(0) = z_1 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

- For the optimal control problem for the semilinear wave equation given above, we only need to prove the following result:

- There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $(z_0, z_1) \in L^2(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(z_0, z_1)|_{L^2(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega)} \\ & \leq C(|z|_{L^2((0, T) \times \omega)} + |(z_0, z_1)|_{H^{-1}(\Omega) \times H^{-2}(\Omega)}). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

- As a byproduct of the result of Bardos-Lebeau-Rauch, inequality (16) holds if and only if (Ω, ω, T) fulfills the Geometric Control Condition.

- One can also consider the optimal control problems for semi-linear Schrödinger and plate equations.

- One can also consider the optimal control problems for semi-linear Schrödinger and plate equations.
- For any $b \in C^\infty([0, +\infty))$, let us consider the following controlled linear viscosity system:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} w_{tt} - \Delta w - \int_0^t b(t-s)\Delta w(s)ds = \chi_\omega u & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ w(0) = w_0, \quad w_t(0) = w_1 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{array} \right. \quad (17)$$

- One can also consider the optimal control problems for semi-linear Schrödinger and plate equations.
- For any $b \in C^\infty([0, +\infty))$, let us consider the following controlled linear viscosity system:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} w_{tt} - \Delta w - \int_0^t b(t-s)\Delta w(s)ds = \chi_\omega u & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ w(0) = w_0, \quad w_t(0) = w_1 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{array} \right. \quad (17)$$

- Here (Ω, ω, T) fulfills the Geometric Control Condition.

- One can also consider the optimal control problems for semi-linear Schrödinger and plate equations.
- For any $b \in C^\infty([0, +\infty))$, let us consider the following controlled linear viscosity system:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} w_{tt} - \Delta w - \int_0^t b(t-s)\Delta w(s)ds = \chi_\omega u & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega, \\ w(0) = w_0, \quad w_t(0) = w_1 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{array} \right. \quad (17)$$

- Here (Ω, ω, T) fulfills the Geometric Control Condition.
- The system (17) is not exactly controllable.

- Set

$$\Upsilon(t, x) = w(t, x) + \int_0^t b(t-s)w(s, x)ds. \quad (18)$$

- Set

$$\Upsilon(t, x) = w(t, x) + \int_0^t b(t-s)w(s, x)ds. \quad (18)$$

- We may find a $\rho \in C^\infty([0, +\infty))$ such that,

$$w(t, \cdot) = \Upsilon(t, \cdot) + \int_0^t \rho(t-s)\Upsilon(s, \cdot)ds \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (19)$$

- Set

$$\Upsilon(t, x) = w(t, x) + \int_0^t b(t-s)w(s, x)ds. \quad (18)$$

- We may find a $\rho \in C^\infty([0, +\infty))$ such that,

$$w(t, \cdot) = \Upsilon(t, \cdot) + \int_0^t \rho(t-s)\Upsilon(s, \cdot)ds \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (19)$$

- From (18) and (19), we get that that

$$\begin{aligned} & w_{tt} - \Delta w - \int_0^t b(t-s)\Delta w(s)ds \\ &= \Upsilon_{tt} - \Delta \Upsilon + \rho(0)\Upsilon_t + \rho_t(0)\Upsilon + \int_0^t \rho_{tt}(t-s)\Upsilon(s)ds = \chi_\omega u. \end{aligned}$$

- Set

$$\Upsilon(t, x) = w(t, x) + \int_0^t b(t-s)w(s, x)ds. \quad (18)$$

- We may find a $\rho \in C^\infty([0, +\infty))$ such that,

$$w(t, \cdot) = \Upsilon(t, \cdot) + \int_0^t \rho(t-s)\Upsilon(s, \cdot)ds \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (19)$$

- From (18) and (19), we get that that

$$\begin{aligned} w_{tt} - \Delta w - \int_0^t b(t-s)\Delta w(s)ds \\ = \Upsilon_{tt} - \Delta \Upsilon + \rho(0)\Upsilon_t + \rho_t(0)\Upsilon + \int_0^t \rho_{tt}(t-s)\Upsilon(s)ds = \chi_\omega u. \end{aligned}$$

- One can prove that the system for Υ is finite-codimensional exactly controllable. This, together with (19), implies that (17) is finite-codimensional exactly controllable.

Thank you!