Existence and uniqueness for the linear Koiter model for shells with little regularity
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Abstract. We give a simple proof of existence and uniqueness of the solution of the Koiter model for linearly elastic thin shells whose midsurfaces can have charts with discontinuous second derivatives. The proof is based on new expressions for the linearized strain and change of curvature tensors. It also makes use of a new version of the rigid displacement lemma under hypotheses of regularity for the displacement and the midsurface of the shell that are weaker than those required by earlier proofs.

Résumé. On donne une démonstration simple de l'existence et l'unicité de la solution du modèle de Koiter pour des coques minces linéairement élastiques dont les surfaces moyennes peuvent avoir des dérivées secones discontinues. La démonstration est fondée sur de nouvelles expressions des tenseurs linéarisés de déformation et de changement de courbure. Elle utilise également une version nouvelle du lemme du mouvement rigide pour une coque, sous des hypothèses de régularité du déplacement et de la surface moyenne plus faibles que celles des démonstrations antérieures.

* Laboratoire d'Analyse Numérique, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France
1. Introduction

There exists at least two different families of linear models for thin elastic shells: the one of Reissner, which relies on the theory of Cosserat surfaces, cf. Cosserat and Cosserat [1909], and the Kirchhoff-Love type theories. The second approach is based on the celebrated Kirchhoff-Love assumptions, which state that the normals to the reference midsurfaces are deformed into normals to the deformed midsurface and that the distance between a point and the midsurface remains constant throughout the deformation of the shell.

Taking into account these assumptions, Koiter [1970] proposed a two-dimensional mathematical model for linearly elastic thin shells where the unknown is the displacement field of the points of the shell midsurface. An approximation for the displacement field across the thickness of the shell may be derived from this displacement via the Kirchhoff-Love assumptions. We refer to Bernadou [1994] for a recent overview of linear shell theory.

An existence and uniqueness theorem for Koiter’s model was established for the first time by Bernadou and Ciarlet [1976] by means of a particularly technical proof relying on results of Rougée [1969]. Applying a lemma on distributions in $H^{-1}$ whose gradient is also in $H^{-1}$ of J.-L. Lions, Ciarlet and Miara [1992] were able to give a simpler existence and uniqueness proof.

The purpose of this work is to provide a even simpler proof of existence and uniqueness for Koiter's model. Moreover, our result is valid for shells whose midsurface can have charts with discontinuous second derivatives. We thus improve—and significantly simplify—the earlier proofs of Bernadou and Ciarlet [1976], Ciarlet and Miara [1992] and Bernadou, Ciarlet and Miara [1994], which all assumed midsurfaces of class at least $C^3$.

The article is as follows. We start in section 2 with a brief review of some notions of differential geometry that will be used thereafter. We then introduce in Section 3 expressions for the linearized strain and change of curvature tensors of a displacement of the midsurface. To the best of our knowledge, these expressions are new or at least previously unnoticed in this context, especially as concerns the change of curvature tensor. The crucial point for our purposes here is that they do not involve any derivatives of the second fundamental form of the midsurface. Such derivatives thus do not enter explicitly in the change of curvature tensor and this allows us to weaken the customary regularity requirements for the midsurface. Indeed, the new expressions are valid for midsurfaces of class $W^{2,\infty}$ and are defined in general as distributions. In order to obtain these new expressions, we do not decompose the displacement on the contravariant basis as is usually done. Instead, we simply consider displacements as $\mathbb{R}^3$-valued functions, which is indeed a more intrinsic approach. A further consequence of this approach is that our expressions for the strain and change of curvature
tensors are considerably simpler than the classical ones.

In Section 4, we use these new expressions to prove the rigid displacement lemma for a shell, viz. Lemma 5, under hypotheses of regularity for the displacement and midsurface that are significantly weaker than those required by earlier proofs. More precisely, the midsurface is only of class \( W^{2,\infty} \) and the displacement of class \( H^1 \). The proof is based on the existence of the infinitesimal rotation vector \( \psi \), see Vekua [1962] for the classical approach, which follows from elementary arguments of vector analysis in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) recast in a distributional framework.

In Section 5, we establish the ellipticity of the bilinear form associated with the Koiter model over an appropriate Hilbert space in the case of simple support on the boundary. The proof uses the standard contradiction argument, based on the one hand on the two-dimensional Korn inequality and on the other hand on Rellich's lemma. The existence and uniqueness result then follows from the Lax-Milgram lemma. Again, this is made possible by our new expressions for the linearized strain and change of curvature tensors.

Finally in Section 6, we show that our method also works for the case of clamping on one part of the boundary and applied forces and moments on the remaining part. The general philosophy at work here is the same as before. We rewrite the clamping condition in a more intrinsic way than the classical one by considering the displacements as \( \mathbb{R}^3 \)-valued functions and not as triples of covariant components. It turns out that the resulting expressions make sense in our functional framework. We proceed in a similar fashion for the infinitesimal rotation vector, which is used to write down the loading terms corresponding to moments applied on the boundary. The resulting expressions are once again simpler and more natural than the classical ones, and they make sense in our functional framework. Let us note that it is especially interesting insofar as it allows quite common situations, such as a \( C^1 \)-shell made of plane part and a smooth cylindrical part, which are excluded by the usual hypothesis that the midsurface be of class \( C^2 \).

Let us sum up by emphasizing again that the main novelty of this article, in addition to a significant simplification of the proofs, is that it allows shells whose midsurfaces may have curvature discontinuities. Note that Destuynder and Salaun [1990, 1991] have obtained existence results for the Koiter model that are valid for a \( W^{2,\infty} \) shell, by means of a mixed formulation in which the infinitesimal rotation vector is not \textit{a priori} related to the displacement. This relationship is enforced \textit{a posteriori} by a Lagrange multiplier equal to the transverse shear force. Part of the results of the present article were announced in Blouza and Le Dret [1994a, 1994b].

### 2. Geometry of the shell midsurface

In the sequel, Greek indices and exponents always belong to the set \( \{1, 2\} \), while Latin indices and exponents belong to the set \( \{1, 2, 3\} \). We use the Einstein summation convention, unless otherwise specified.

Let \((e_1, e_2, e_3)\) be the orthonormal canonical basis of the Euclidean space \(\mathbb{R}^3\). We note \(u \cdot v\) the inner product of \(\mathbb{R}^3\), \(|u| = \sqrt{u \cdot u}\) the associated Euclidean norm and \(u \wedge v\) the vector product of \(u\) and \(v\).

Let \(\omega\) denote a Lipschitz domain of \(\mathbb{R}^2\). We consider a shell of midsurface \(S = \varphi(\omega)\), where \(\varphi \in W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)\) is an injective mapping such that the two vectors

\[
a_\alpha(x) = \partial_\alpha \varphi(x)
\]  

(1)
are linearly independent at each point \( x \in \bar{\omega} \). We let
\[
a_3(x) = \frac{a_1(x) \wedge a_2(x)}{|a_1(x) \wedge a_2(x)|}
\]
be the unit normal vector on the midsurface at point \( \varphi(x) \). The vectors \( a_i(x) \) define the covariant basis at point \( \varphi(x) \). The regularity of the midsurface chart and the hypothesis of linear independence on \( \bar{\omega} \) imply that the vectors \( a_i \) belong to \( W^{1,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \). The contravariant basis \( a^i(x) \) is defined by the relations
\[
a^i(x) \cdot a_j(x) = \delta^i_j
\]
where \( \delta^i_j \) is the Kronecker symbol. In particular \( a^3(x) = a_3(x) \). As before, \( a^i \in W^{1,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \). We let \( a(x) = |a_1(x) \wedge a_2(x)|^2 \), so that \( \sqrt{a} \) is the area element of the midsurface in the chart \( \varphi \).

The first and second fundamental forms of the surface are given in covariant components by
\[
a_{\alpha \beta} = a_\alpha \cdot a_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad b_{\alpha \beta} = a_3 \cdot \partial_\beta a_\alpha = -a_\alpha \cdot \partial_\beta a_3.
\]
Since \( W^{1,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) is a Banach algebra, it follows that \( a_{\alpha \beta} \in W^{1,\infty}(\omega) \) and \( b_{\alpha \beta} \in L^\infty(\omega) \). We further introduce the contravariant components of the first fundamental form
\[
a^{\alpha \beta} = a^\alpha \cdot a^\beta
\]
and the mixed components of the second fundamental form
\[
b^\beta_\alpha = a^{\beta \rho} b_{\rho \alpha}.
\]
Again, \( a^{\alpha \beta} \in W^{1,\infty}(\omega) \) and \( b^\beta_\alpha \in L^\infty(\omega) \). Finally, the Christoffel symbols of the midsurface are given by
\[
\Gamma^\rho_\alpha_\beta = \Gamma^\rho_\beta_\alpha = a^\rho_\cdot \partial_\beta a_\alpha
\]
and we have \( \Gamma^\rho_\alpha_\beta \in L^\infty(\omega) \).

3. The linearized strain and change of curvature tensors

In this section, we define the linearized strain and change of curvature tensors of a shell displacement in a functional framework that is weaker than the usual one.

We begin by recalling the classical definitions. Assume thus that \( \varphi \in C^3(\bar{\omega}; \mathbb{R}^3) \). Let \( u \) be a displacement of the midsurface, i.e., a regular mapping from \( \bar{\omega} \) into \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) given in covariant components by \( u(x) = u_i(x) a^i(x) \) where \( u_i = u \cdot a_i \). In the classical approach, the displacement is identified with the triple \((u_i)\), \( i = 1, 2, 3 \), of its covariant components. The covariant derivatives of the tangential components of \( u \) are defined as
\[
u_{\alpha \beta} = \partial_\beta u_\alpha - \Gamma^\rho_\alpha_\beta u_\rho,
\]
its linearized strain tensor is given by \( \gamma(u) = \gamma_{\alpha\beta}(u) a^\alpha \otimes a^\beta \) with

\[
\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(u) = \frac{1}{2} (u_{\alpha|\beta} + u_{\beta|\alpha}) - b_{\alpha\beta} u_3
\]

(9)

and its linearized change of curvature tensor by \( \Upsilon(u) = \Upsilon_{\alpha\beta}(u) a^\alpha \otimes a^\beta \) with

\[
\Upsilon_{\alpha\beta}(u) = u_{3|\alpha\beta} - b_{\alpha\rho} b_{\beta\sigma} u_3 + b_{\alpha\beta}^\rho u_{\rho|\alpha} + b_{\alpha\rho}^\beta u_{\rho|\beta} + b_{\beta\alpha}^\rho u_{\rho},
\]

(10)

where \( u_{3|\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha\beta} u_3 - \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\rho u_3 \) and \( b_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \partial_{\alpha} b_{\beta}^\rho + \Gamma^\sigma_{\alpha\beta} b_{\rho}^\sigma - \Gamma^\sigma_{\beta\alpha} b_{\rho}^\sigma \). It is this last definition that restricts the regularity of chart in the classical approach. Indeed, in Bernadou and Ciarlet [1976] and all subsequent works, the tangential components of the displacement \( u_\rho \) belong to \( H^1(\omega) \). For the term \( \partial_{\alpha} b_{\beta}^\rho u_\rho \) to make sense, the above mentioned authors are led to assume that \( \varphi \) is of class \( C^3 \), an assumption which can be slightly relaxed but not essentially so.

We now change points of view and instead of identifying the displacement \( u \) with its covariant components, we consider it as a mapping from \( \omega \) into \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Note that this point of view is not entirely intrinsic, since we are still viewing the displacement through the chart \( \varphi \) and not as an object defined on the surface itself. See Destuynder [1980], Valid [1977], for an intrinsic formulation on the surface. In our approach, the partial derivatives \( \partial_\alpha u \) and \( \partial_{\alpha\beta} u \) are again mappings from \( \omega \) into \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

We begin with a density lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Let \( \varphi \in W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) be such that \( |a_1 \wedge a_2| > 0 \) on \( \bar{\omega} \). Then there exists a sequence \( \varphi^n \in C^3(\bar{\omega}; \mathbb{R}^3) \) such that \( |a_1^n \wedge a_2^n| > 0 \) on \( \bar{\omega} \), \( \varphi^n \rightarrow \varphi \) strongly in \( W^{2,p}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) for all \( 1 \leq p < +\infty \) and \( \varphi^n \rightharpoonup \varphi \) weakly-* in \( W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \).

**Proof.** Since \( \omega \) is a Lipschitz domain of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), we may extend \( \varphi \) to a function of \( W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^3) \), still denoted \( \varphi \). Consider now a sequence of mollifiers \( \rho^n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \) and let \( \varphi^n = \rho^n \ast \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^3) \). It is well known that the restriction of \( \varphi^n \) to \( \omega \) converges strongly in \( C^1(\bar{\omega}; \mathbb{R}^3) \) to \( \varphi \) as \( n \rightarrow +\infty \), since \( W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow C^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^3) \). Consequently, for \( n \) large enough, \( |a_1^n \wedge a_2^n| > 0 \) on \( \bar{\omega} \). The convergence in \( W^{2,p}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \), \( 1 \leq p \leq +\infty \), is classical.

**Lemma 2.** If \( u \in H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( \varphi \in W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \), then the expressions

\[
\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{new}}(u) = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\alpha} u \cdot a_\beta + \partial_{\beta} u \cdot a_\alpha)
\]

(11)

define functions of \( L^2(\omega) \) which coincide with the covariant components of the strain tensor when \( u \) and \( \varphi \) belong to \( C^3(\bar{\omega}; \mathbb{R}^3) \). The expressions

\[
\Upsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{new}}(u) = (\partial_{\alpha\beta} u - \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\rho u) \cdot a_3
\]

(12)

define distributions of \( H^{-1}(\omega) \) which coincide with the covariant components of the change of curvature tensor when \( u \) and \( \varphi \) belong to \( C^3(\bar{\omega}; \mathbb{R}^3) \). Moreover if \( u^n \) and \( \varphi^n \) belong to \( C^3(\bar{\omega}; \mathbb{R}^3) \) and are such that \( u^n \rightarrow u \) strongly in \( H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( \varphi^n \rightarrow \varphi \) strongly in \( W^{2,p}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( \varphi^n \rightarrow \varphi \)
weakly-* in $W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$, then $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(u^n) \to \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{new}}(u)$ strongly in $L^2(\omega)$ and $\Upsilon_{\alpha\beta}(u^n) \to \Upsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{new}}(u)$ strongly in $H^{-1}(\omega)$.

**Remarks.** — Lemma 2 gives two expressions for the linearized strain and change of curvature tensors that are simpler and more intrinsic than the classical expressions (9) and (10). Note in particular that the derivatives of the second fundamental form are absent from the definition of the change of curvature tensor. In view of Lemma 1, expressions (11) and (12) thus provide natural extensions for the strain and change of curvature tensors to our less smooth situation. We will thus remove the “new” exponent from the notation after the following proof. Note also that the strain and change of curvature tensors depend on the sequence of charts, which is not apparent in the notation.

**Proof.** — Let us be given $u$ and $\varphi$, two elements of $C^3(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $|a_1 \wedge a_2| > 0$ on $\omega$. Consider the one parameter family of deformed surfaces $\{(\varphi + \eta u)(\omega), \eta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ for $\eta$ small enough. To obtain the linearized strain and change of curvature tensors of the displacement $u$ with respect to the surface $\varphi(\omega)$, we differentiate the covariant components of the first and second fundamental forms of this family with respect to $\eta$ at $\eta = 0$.

i) Let $a_{\alpha}(\eta) = a_{\alpha} + \eta \partial_{\alpha}u$ and $a_{\alpha\beta}(\eta) = a_{\alpha}(\eta) \cdot a_{\beta}(\eta)$. The covariant components of the strain tensor are equal to one half of the covariant components of the first variation of the metric tensor and are thus given by

$$\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d a_{\alpha\beta}}{d \eta}(0). \tag{13}$$

It is obvious that

$$\frac{d a_{\alpha\beta}}{d \eta}(0) = \frac{d a_{\alpha}}{d \eta}(0) \cdot a_{\beta}(0) + a_{\alpha}(0) \cdot \frac{d a_{\beta}}{d \eta}(0) = \partial_{\alpha}u \cdot a_{\beta} + a_{\alpha} \cdot \partial_{\beta}u, \tag{14}$$

hence formula (11). It is easily checked that formula (11) coincides with the classical definition (9) for $u$ and $\varphi$ smooth.

Let us now assume that $u$ and $\varphi$ satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 2, i.e., $u \in H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\varphi \in W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$. It follows then that $\partial_{\alpha}u \in L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $a_{\beta} \in L^\infty(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$. Consequently, $\partial_{\alpha}u \cdot a_{\beta} \in L^2(\omega)$, which shows that $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{new}}(u) \in L^2(\omega)$. Finally, it is clear that if $u^n \to u$ and $\varphi^n \to \varphi$ respectively in $H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $W^{2,p}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $p > 2$, then $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{new}}(u^n) \to \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{new}}(u)$ in $L^2(\omega)$ strongly. This holds true in particular when $u^n$ and $\varphi^n$ are regular.

ii) We now turn to the derivation of the change of curvature tensor. Let $A(\eta) = a_1(\eta) \wedge a_2(\eta)$ so that $a_3(\eta) = A(\eta)/|A(\eta)|$ is the deformed normal vector (this is for $\eta$ small enough). The second fundamental form of the deformed surface is $b_{\alpha\beta}(\eta) = \partial_{\beta}a_{\alpha}(\eta) \cdot a_3(\eta)$. The covariant components of the change of curvature tensor are the first variation of the covariant components of the second fundamental form and are thus given by

$$\Upsilon_{\alpha\beta}(u) = \frac{d b_{\alpha\beta}}{d \eta}(0). \tag{15}$$
Let us compute this derivative. It follows from Leibniz’ rule that

$$
\frac{db_{\alpha\beta}}{d\eta}(0) = \partial_{\alpha\beta}u \cdot a_3 + \partial_{\beta}a_{\alpha} \cdot \frac{da_3}{d\eta}(0) .
$$

(16)

To compute the derivative of the normal vector, we first remark that $dA/d\eta(0) = a_1 \wedge \partial_2 u + \partial_1 u \wedge a_2$ and $|dA/d\eta(0)| = (dA/d\eta(0)) \cdot a_3$. Therefore,

$$
\frac{da_3}{d\eta}(0) = \frac{1}{|A(0)|} \left( \frac{dA}{d\eta}(0) - \frac{d|A|}{d\eta}(0) a_3 \right) = \frac{1}{|A(0)|} \left( \frac{dA}{d\eta}(0) \cdot a_\rho \right) a^\rho .
$$

(17)

Note now that $dA/d\eta(0) \cdot a_\rho = -A(0) \cdot \partial_\rho u$. Consequently,

$$
\frac{da_3}{d\eta}(0) = - \left( \frac{A(0)}{|A(0)|} \cdot \partial_\rho u \right) a^\rho = -(a_3 \cdot \partial_\rho u) a^\rho .
$$

(18)

Replacing this expression into (16), we obtain

$$
\frac{db_{\alpha\beta}}{d\eta}(0) = \partial_{\alpha\beta}u \cdot a_3 - (a^\rho \cdot \partial_\beta a_\alpha)(\partial_\rho u \cdot a_3).
$$

(19)

In view of the definition of the Christoffel symbols (7), equation (19) becomes

$$
\frac{\partial b_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \eta}(0) = (\partial_{\alpha\beta}u - \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\rho u) \cdot a_3.
$$

(20)

The above expression for the change of curvature tensor is valid for smooth $u$ and $\varphi$, and it can be checked by a straightforward albeit lengthy computation that it coincides in this case with the classical definition (10).

Let now $u$ and $\varphi$ satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 2. We remark that expression (12) defines an element of $H^{-1}(\omega)$. Indeed, if $u \in H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\varphi \in W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$, $\Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\rho u \cdot a_3$ belongs trivially to $L^2(\omega)$ on the one hand, and on the other hand, $\partial_{\alpha\beta}u \cdot a_3$ is a distribution of $H^{-1}(\omega)$ defined by

$$
\forall \theta \in H^1_0(\omega), \quad <\partial_{\alpha\beta}u \cdot a_3, \theta> = <\partial_{\alpha\beta}u, \theta a_3> = - \int_\omega \partial_\alpha u \cdot \partial_\beta (\theta a_3) \, dx .
$$

(21)

In effect, since $a_3 \in W^{1,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$, for all $\theta \in H^1_0(\omega)$, $\theta a_3$ belongs to $H^1_0(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and the second duality pairing is well defined as the rightmost integral.

Finally, let $u^n \to u$ in $H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$, $\varphi^n \to \varphi$ in $W^{2,p}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $p < +\infty$ and $\varphi^n \to \varphi$ weakly-* in $W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, $(a^n)^n \to a^\rho$ in $C^0(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$, $\partial_{\beta}a^n_{\alpha} \to \partial_{\beta}a_{\alpha}$ in $L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\partial_{\beta}a^n_{\alpha} \to \partial_{\beta}a_{\alpha}$ weakly-* in $L^{\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$. Therefore, $(\Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta})^n \to \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta}$ in $L^p(\omega)$ and $(\Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta})^n \to \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta}$ weakly-* in $L^{\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$. Since $\partial_\rho u^n \to \partial_\rho u$ strongly in $L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $a_3^n \to a_3$ strongly in $C^0(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$, we see that $(\Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta})^n \partial_\rho u^n \cdot a_3^n \to \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\rho u \cdot a_3$ in $L^q(\omega)$ for $q = 2p/(p + 2)$ and all $2 \leq p < +\infty$, and $(\Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta})^n \partial_\rho u^n \cdot a_3^n \to \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\rho u \cdot a_3$ weakly in $L^2(\omega)$. Since the embedding $L^2(\omega) \hookrightarrow H^{-1}(\omega)$ is compact, it follows that $(\Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta})^n \partial_\rho u^n \cdot a_3^n \to \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\rho u \cdot a_3$ strongly in $H^{-1}(\omega)$.
Let us now consider the other term. We have

$$\left\| \partial_{\alpha\beta} u^n \cdot a_3^n - \partial_{\alpha\beta} u \cdot a_3 \right\|_{H^{-1}(\omega)} = \sup_{\|\theta\|_{H^1_0(\omega)} = 1} \left| \int_\omega \left[ \partial_{\alpha} u^n \cdot \partial_{\beta} (\theta a_3^n) - \partial_{\alpha} u \cdot \partial_{\beta} (\theta a_3) \right] dx \right|$$

by formula (21). Let us estimate the right-hand side of this equality.

$$\left\| \partial_{\alpha\beta} u^n \cdot a_3^n - \partial_{\alpha\beta} u \cdot a_3 \right\|_{H^{-1}(\omega)} \leq \sup_{\|\theta\|_{H^1_0(\omega)} = 1} \left| \int_\omega \partial_{\alpha} (u^n - u) \cdot \partial_{\beta} (\theta a_3^n) dx \right|$$

$$+ \sup_{\|\theta\|_{H^1_0(\omega)} = 1} \left| \int_\omega \partial_{\beta} \theta \cdot \partial_{\alpha} u \cdot (a_3^n - a_3) dx \right|$$

$$+ \sup_{\|\theta\|_{H^1_0(\omega)} = 1} \left| \int_\omega \theta \partial_{\alpha} u \cdot \partial_{\beta} (a_3^n - a_3) dx \right|. \tag{22}$$

The first two terms of (22) clearly tend to 0 as $n \to +\infty$. Let us examine the last term in more detail. Note first that $a_3^n \to a_3$ strongly in $W^{1,p}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $p > 2$ since the space $W^{1,p}(\omega)$ is a Banach algebra. By Hölder’s inequality, we thus have

$$\left| \int_\omega \theta \partial_{\alpha} u \cdot \partial_{\beta} (a_3^n - a_3) dx \right| \leq \left\| \partial_{\alpha} u \right\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)} \left\| \theta \right\|_{L^{2/p}(\omega)} \left\| \partial_{\beta} (a_3^n - a_3) \right\|_{L^p(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)} \tag{23}$$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem, $\|\theta\|_{L^{2/p}(\omega)} \leq C_p \|\theta\|_{H^1_0(\omega)}$ and thus

$$\sup_{\|\theta\|_{H^1_0(\omega)} = 1} \left| \int_\omega \theta \partial_{\alpha} u \cdot \partial_{\beta} (a_3^n - a_3) dx \right| \to 0 \ \text{as} \ \ n \to +\infty. \tag{24}$$

Combining together the two convergences thus established, we see that $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(u^n) \to \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}(u)$ strongly in $H^{-1}(\omega)$. This holds true in particular when $u^n$ and $\varphi^n$ are regular, which completes the proof.

Remarks. — In the above proof, we could have assumed just as well that $\varphi \in W^{2,p}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ for some $p > 2$ instead of $\varphi \in W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$.

4. A new functional setting for the infinitesimal rigid displacement lemma

In what follows, the midsurface is always assumed to be such that $\varphi \in W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ unless otherwise specified. The purpose of this section is twofold. First, we introduce a new functional framework for Koiter’s model and prove that it provides a natural extension of the classical framework of Bermadou and Ciarlet [1976]. Second, we establish the infinitesimal displacement lemma in this functional framework. The infinitesimal displacement lemma is a key ingredient in the existence and uniqueness proof of Section 5 below.
Let us introduce the space
\[ V = \{ v \in H^1_0(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3), \quad \partial_{\alpha\beta} v \cdot a_3 \in L^2(\omega) \} \]  
(25)
which we equip with the norm
\[ \| v \|_V = \left( \| v \|_{H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \| \partial_{\alpha\beta} v \cdot a_3 \|_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \]  
(26)
We recall that, if \( v \in H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) then \( \partial_{\alpha\beta} v \cdot a_3 \) is a distribution of \( H^{-1}(\omega) \) defined by
\[ \forall \theta \in H^1_0(\omega), \quad \langle \partial_{\alpha\beta} v \cdot a_3, \theta \rangle = -\langle \partial_\alpha v_i, \partial_\beta ((a_3)_i \theta) \rangle, \]
where the components are the Cartesian components. Hence the space \( V \) is well defined as a subspace of \( H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \).

**Lemma 3.** — The space \( V \) is a Hilbert space.

**Proof.** — Clear. \( \square \)

Note that elements \( v \) of \( V \) are such that \( \gamma_{\alpha\beta}(v) \in L^2(\omega) \) and \( \Upsilon_{\alpha\beta}(v) \in L^2(\omega) \) by expressions (11) and (12).

Let us now prove that the space \( V \) defines a natural extension of the classical functional framework of Bernadou and Ciarlet [1976] to our case.

**Lemma 4.** — Assume that \( \varphi \in W^{3,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \), then the space \( V \) is equal to the space of displacements \( v = v_ia^i \in H^1_0(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) whose covariant components \( (v_i)_{i=1,2,3} \) belong to the space \( V_0 = H^1_0(\omega) \times H^1_0(\omega) \times (H^2(\omega) \cap H^1_0(\omega)) \). When \( V_0 \) is equipped with the norm
\[ \|(v_i)\|_{V_0} = \left( \sum_\alpha \| v_\alpha \|_{H^1(\omega)}^2 + \| v_3 \|_{H^2(\omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \]  
(27)
this correspondence defines an isomorphism.

**Proof.** — Let \( v \) be an element of \( V \). First of all, the covariant components \( v_i = v \cdot a^i \) belong to \( L^2(\omega) \) since \( v \in L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( a^i \in L^\infty(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \). Secondly, \( \partial_\alpha v_i = \partial_\alpha v \cdot a^i + v \cdot \partial_\alpha a^i \in L^2(\omega) \) since \( v \in H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( a^i \in W^{1,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}) \), so that \( v_i \in H^1(\omega) \). Moreover, it is clear that the trace of \( v_i \) on \( \partial \omega \) is zero, hence \( v_i \in H^1_0(\omega) \). Finally, \( \partial_{\alpha\beta} v_3 = \partial_{\alpha\beta} v \cdot a_3 + \partial_\alpha v \cdot \partial_\beta a_3 + \partial_\beta a_3 \cdot \partial_\beta v + \partial_{\alpha\beta} a_3 \cdot v \in L^2(\omega) \) since \( a_3 \in W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \). Therefore \( (v_i) \) is in \( V_0 \).

Conversely, let \( (v_1, v_2, v_3) \) be an element of \( V_0 \) and let \( v = v_i a^i \in L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \). Then
\[ \partial_\alpha v = (\partial_\alpha v_\mu - \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\mu} v_\rho - b_{\alpha\mu} v_3) a^\mu + (\partial_\alpha v_3 + b_{\alpha}^3 v_\mu) a^\alpha \in L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3), \]  
(28)
so that $v \in H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, it follows from (28) that

$$\partial_{\alpha\beta} v = \partial_\beta \left[ \partial_\alpha v_\mu - \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\mu} v_\rho - b_{\alpha\mu} v_3 \right] a^\mu + \left[ \partial_\alpha v_\mu - \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\mu} v_\rho - b_{\alpha\mu} v_3 \right] \partial_\beta a^\mu$$

$$+ \partial_\beta \left[ \partial_\alpha v_3 + b^\mu_{\alpha\mu} v_\mu \right] a^3 + \left[ \partial_\alpha v_3 + b^\mu_{\alpha\mu} v_\mu \right] \partial_\beta a^3,$$

as an element of $H^{-1}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$. We deduce from (29) that

$$\partial_{\alpha\beta} v \cdot a_3 = \left[ \partial_\alpha v_\mu - \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\mu} v_\rho - b_{\alpha\mu} v_3 \right] b^\mu_\beta + \partial_\alpha b_{\alpha\beta} v_3 + \partial_\beta [b^\mu_{\alpha\mu} v_\mu] \in L^2(\omega).$$

(30)

Finally, since the vectors $a^i \in W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ by assumption and $v_i \in H^1_0(\omega)$, it follows that $v = v_i a^i \in H^1_0(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$. We conclude that $V = V_0$ algebraically.

It follows from formula (30) that for all $v \in V_0$

$$\|\partial_{\alpha\beta} v \cdot a_3\|_{L^2(\omega)} \leq C \left( \|\partial_\alpha v_3\|_{L^2(\omega)} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \|\partial_\alpha v_\beta\|_{L^2(\omega)} + \sum_i \|v_i\|_{L^2(\omega)} \right)$$

(31)

since $\Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta}, b_{\alpha\beta}$ and $b^\beta_\alpha$ belong to $W^{1,\infty}(\omega)$. Similarly, formula (28) implies that

$$\|\partial_\alpha v\|_{L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left( \sum_i \|\partial_\alpha v_i\|_{L^2(\omega)} + \sum_i \|v_i\|_{L^2(\omega)} \right)$$

(32)

for the same reason. Finally, it is clear that

$$\|v\|_{L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C \left( \sum_i \|v_i\|_{L^2(\omega)} \right).$$

(33)

We infer from the last three estimates that for all $v \in V_0$, $\|v\|_V \leq C\|v\|_{V_0}$. Hence the embedding $V_0 \hookrightarrow V$ is continuous and so is its inverse by the open mapping theorem. Therefore $V$ and $V_0$ are isomorphic.

**Remark.** — The space $V_0$ was introduced in the classical approach of Bernadou and Ciarlet [1976], see also Bernadou, Ciarlet and Miara [1994] when the mid-surface is regular, for instance $\varphi \in C^3(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$.

**Corollary 5.** — **Assume that** $\varphi \in W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ **and** $v \in V$. **Then the classical expressions for the linearized strain and change of curvature tensors and the new expressions (11) and (12) coincide.**

**Proof.** — This follows directly from Lemmas 2 and 4.

Let us turn to the infinitesimal rigid displacement lemma in our functional framework.
THEOREM 6. — Assume that \( \varphi \in W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \). Let \( u \in H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) be a displacement of the surface \( S \).

i) If \( u \) satisfies \( \gamma(u) = 0 \) then there exists a unique \( \psi \in L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) such that
\[
\partial_{\alpha} u = \psi \wedge \partial_{\alpha} \varphi, \quad \alpha = 1, 2,
\]
where \( c \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is a constant vector.

ii) (Infinitesimal rigid displacement lemma) If in addition \( \Upsilon(u) = 0 \) then \( \psi \) is identified with a constant vector of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) and we have for all \( x \in \omega \)
\[
u(x) = c + \psi \wedge \varphi(x)
\]
where \( c \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is a constant vector.

Remarks. — i) Theorem 6 contains the infinitesimal rigid displacement lemma of Bernadou and Ciarlet [1976], see also Bernadou, Ciarlet and Miara [1994], under weaker hypotheses of regularity for the midsurface and the displacement. See Blouza and Le Dret [1994a] for other versions of this result under various hypotheses of regularity. Note that if \( u \in H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) satisfies \( \gamma(u) = 0 \) then \( u \cdot a, = H^2(\omega) \) and \( \psi \cdot a^3 = H^1(\omega) \) (see below).

ii) The vector field \( \psi \) is called the infinitesimal rotation field. It is given in the classical case by the relation
\[
\psi = \psi(u) = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \left( \partial_{\beta} u_3 + b_{\beta} u_\rho \right) a_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta} a_3,
\]
where \( \varepsilon^{11} = \varepsilon^{22} = 0 \) and \( \varepsilon^{12} = \varepsilon^{21} = 1/\sqrt{a} \), see Vekua [1962], Bernadou and Ciarlet [1976], Choi and Sanchez-Palencia [1993] and Choi [1993].

We divide the proof of Theorem 6 into three steps. The first step consists in extending some elementary results of vector calculus to a distributional framework. For the duration of this step, all components are Cartesian components. Recall that
\[
\varepsilon_{ijk} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \{i, j, k\} \text{ is an even permutation of } \{1, 2, 3\}, \\
-1 & \text{if } \{i, j, k\} \text{ is an odd permutation of } \{1, 2, 3\}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

LEMMA 7. — Let \( v \in H^{-1}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) (resp. \( L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \)) and let \( a \in W^{1,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) be such that \( |a(x)| \geq \delta > 0 \) on \( \bar{\omega} \).

i) If \( v \cdot a = 0 \), i.e., for every \( \theta \in H_0^1(\omega) \), \( \langle v \cdot a, \theta \rangle = \langle v_i, a_i \theta \rangle = 0 \) (resp. a.e. in \( \omega \)) then there exists \( w \in H^{-1}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) (resp. \( L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \)) such that \( v = w \wedge a \), i.e., for every \( \theta \in H_0^1(\omega) \), \( \langle v_i, \theta \rangle = \varepsilon_{ijk} \langle w_j, a_k \theta \rangle \).

ii) If \( v \wedge a = 0 \), i.e., for all \( \theta \in H_0^1(\omega) \), \( \varepsilon_{ijk} \langle v_j, a_k \theta \rangle = 0 \) (resp. a.e. in \( \omega \)), then there exists \( \bar{v} \in H^{-1}(\omega) \) (resp. \( L^2(\omega) \)) such that \( v = \bar{v} a \), i.e., for all \( \theta \in H_0^1(\omega) \), \( \langle v_i, \theta \rangle = \langle \bar{v}, a_i \theta \rangle \) (resp. a.e. in \( \omega \)).

Proof. — i) Let \( v \in H^{-1}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( w = \frac{a \wedge v}{|a|^2} \), then \( w \) is a distribution of \( H^{-1}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) defined by
\[
\forall \theta \in H_0^1(\omega), \quad \langle w_i, \theta \rangle = \varepsilon_{ijk} \left( v_k, \frac{a_i}{|a|^2} \theta \right).
\]
Indeed, \( a_j \theta / |a|^2 \) is an element of \( H_1^0(\omega) \) since \( a_j \in W^{1,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) and, as \( 1/|a|^2 \leq 1/\delta^2, 1/|a|^2 \in W^{1,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) too. Then we have for all \( \theta \in H_0^1(\omega) \),

\[
<w \wedge a, \theta> = \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{jmn} \left( v_n, \frac{a_m a_k \theta}{|a|^2} \right) = -\left( v_k, \frac{a_k a_i \theta}{|a|^2} \right) + \left( v_i, \frac{a_k a_k \theta}{|a|^2} \right) = <v_i, \theta> ,
\]

since

\[
\varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{jmn} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } (i,k) = (n,m), \\
-1 & \text{if } (i,k) = (m,n), \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

ii) Let \( v \in H^{-1}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) and set \( \bar{v} = v \cdot a/|a|^2 \). Then \( \bar{v} \) is an element of \( H^{-1}(\omega) \) defined by

\[
<\bar{v}, \theta> = \left< v_j, \frac{a_j \theta}{|a|^2} \right> \text{ for all } \theta \in H_0^1(\omega). \text{ Indeed, } \frac{a_j}{|a|^2} \text{ belongs to } W^{1,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \text{ and therefore } \frac{a_j}{|a|^2} \theta \text{ is an element of } H_0^1(\omega). \text{ Let us check that }<\bar{v}, a_i \theta> = <v_i, \theta> \text{ for } i = 1. \text{ We have}
\]

\[
<\bar{v}, a_1 \theta> = \left< v_1, \frac{a_1 a_1 \theta}{|a|^2} \right> + \left< v_2, \frac{a_2 a_1 \theta}{|a|^2} \right> + \left< v_3, \frac{a_3 a_1 \theta}{|a|^2} \right>,
\]

Now, since by assumption \( v \wedge a = 0 \), i.e., \( \varepsilon_{ijk} \left( v_k, a_j \theta \right) = 0 \), it follows that

\[
<\bar{v}, a_1 \theta> = \left< v_1, \frac{a_1^2}{|a|^2} \theta \right> + \left< v_1, \frac{a_2^2}{|a|^2} \theta \right> + \left< v_1, \frac{a_3^2}{|a|^2} \theta \right> = <v_1, \theta> .
\]

We repeat the argument for \( i = 2 \) and \( i = 3 \).

To conclude the proof, we remark that if \( v \in L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) then the above construction gives \( w \in L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( \bar{w} \in L^2(\omega) \) and the equalities hold almost everywhere in \( \omega \) and not just in the distributional sense.

We now are in a position to prove the existence of the infinitesimal rotation vector for inextensional displacements, i.e., displacements whose strain tensor vanishes.

**Lemma 8.** — Let \( u \in H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( \varphi \in W^{1,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) be such that \( \gamma(u) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \omega \). Then there exists \( \psi \in L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) such that (34) holds.

**Remark.** — Note that for this result, \( \varphi \) is only in \( W^{1,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \).

**Proof.** — According to expression (11), we see that

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_\alpha u \cdot a_\alpha &= 0 \text{ a.e. in } \omega \text{ (without summation)}, \\
\partial_1 u \cdot a_2 + \partial_2 u \cdot a_1 &= 0 \text{ a.e. in } \omega .
\end{align*}
\]

By the first two equations and by Lemma 7i), we know that there exist \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \) in \( L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \) such that \( \partial_\alpha u = w_\alpha \wedge a_\alpha \) a.e. in \( \omega \) (without summation).

In addition, the third equation implies that \((w_1 \wedge a_1) \cdot a_2 + (w_2 \wedge a_2) \cdot a_1 = 0\) or equivalently \((w_1 - w_2) \cdot a_3 = 0\) a.e. in \( \omega \). Consequently, \(w_1 - w_2 = ((w_1 - w_2) \cdot a^i) a_i = ((w_1 - w_2) \cdot a^i) a_\alpha\)
(we recall that $a_3 = a^3$). Let $\psi = w_1 - (w_1 - w_2) \cdot a_1 a_1 = w_2 + ((w_1 - w_2) \cdot a_2) a_2$ a.e. in $\omega$. It is clear that

$$\begin{cases}
\psi \wedge a_1 = w_1 \wedge a_1 = \partial_1 u \text{ a.e. in } \omega, \\
\psi \wedge a_2 = w_2 \wedge a_2 = \partial_2 u \text{ a.e. in } \omega,
\end{cases} \tag{39}$$

which proves the existence of the infinitesimal rotation vector.

Concerning the uniqueness, we remark that if $\psi' \in L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ is such that $\psi' \wedge a_\alpha = 0$ a.e. in $\omega$, then $\psi' = 0$ a.e. in $\omega$. Indeed, Lemma 7ii) implies that there exist $\tilde{\psi}'_1$ and $\tilde{\psi}'_2$ in $L^2(\omega)$ such that $\psi' = \psi'_1 a_1 = \psi'_2 a_2$. Multiplying this equality by $a^1$, we obtain that $\psi'_2 = 0$ a.e. in $\omega$, hence $\psi' = 0$.

**Remarks.** — i) If $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\gamma(u) = 0$, then we have $\partial_3 (u \cdot a_\alpha) = u \cdot \partial_3 a_\alpha \in H^1(\omega)$ (without summation) and $\partial_1 (u \cdot a_2) + \partial_2 (u \cdot a_1) = u \cdot \partial_1 a_2 + u \cdot \partial_2 a_1 \in H^1(\omega)$. By the two-dimensional Korn inequality we immediately infer that $u_\alpha = u \cdot a_\alpha \in H^2(\omega)$, see Geymonat and Sanchez-Palencia [1995] for similar observations.

ii) In this case, if we multiply the first line of (39) by $a_2$ and the second line by $a_1$, we obtain that $\partial_1 u \cdot a_2 = (a_1 \wedge a_2) (a_3 \cdot \psi)$ and $\partial_2 u \cdot a_1 = -(a_1 \wedge a_2) (a_3 \cdot \psi)$. It thus follows that $2(a_1 \wedge a_2) (a_3 \cdot \psi) = \partial_1 u \cdot a_2 - \partial_2 u \cdot a_1 = \partial_1 (u \cdot a_2) - \partial_2 (u \cdot a_1) \in H^1(\omega)$ since $\partial_2 a_1 = \partial_1 a_2$. This shows that $\psi_3 = \psi \cdot a_3 \in H^1(\omega)$.

We now conclude the proof of Theorem 6.

**Lemma 9.** — Let $u \in H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$. If $u$ satisfies $\gamma(u) = 0$ and $\mathcal{T}(u) = 0$, then $\psi$ is a constant vector and we have $u(x) = c + \psi \wedge \varphi(x)$ where $c \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is a constant vector.

**Proof.** — Since $u$ is in $H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ then $\partial_{\alpha \beta} u$ is a distribution of $H^{-1}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$. In fact, because of (34), we have

$$\partial_{\alpha \beta} u = \partial_{\beta} \psi \wedge a_\alpha + \psi \wedge \partial_{\beta} a_\alpha. \tag{40}$$

Indeed, for all $\theta \in H^1_0(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$, $a_\alpha \wedge \theta \in H^1_0(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and

$$<\partial_{\beta} \psi \wedge a_\alpha, \theta> = <\partial_{\beta} \psi, a_\alpha \wedge \theta> = - \int_{\omega} \partial_{\beta} (a_\alpha \wedge \theta) \cdot \psi \, dx, \tag{41}$$

and since $\partial_{\beta} (a_\alpha \wedge \theta) = \partial_{\beta} a_\alpha \wedge \theta + a_\alpha \wedge \partial_{\beta} \theta$, it follows from (41) that

$$<\partial_{\beta} \psi \wedge a_\alpha, \theta> = - \int_{\omega} \partial_{\beta} a_\alpha \wedge \theta \cdot \psi \, dx - \int_{\omega} a_\alpha \wedge \partial_{\beta} \theta \cdot \psi \, dx$$

$$= - \int_{\omega} \psi \wedge \partial_{\beta} a_\alpha \cdot \theta \, dx - \int_{\omega} \psi \wedge a_\alpha \cdot \partial_{\beta} \theta \, dx = - <\psi \wedge \partial_{\beta} a_\alpha, \theta> + <\partial_{\beta} (\psi \wedge a_\alpha), \theta>, \tag{42}$$

which proves (40).
The distribution \( \partial_{\alpha \beta} u \cdot a_3 \in H^{-1}(\omega) \) thus satisfies
\[
\partial_{\alpha \beta} u \cdot a_3 = (\partial_\beta \psi \wedge a_\alpha) \cdot a_3 + (\psi \wedge \partial_\beta a_\alpha) \cdot a_3 \\
= (\partial_\beta \psi \wedge a_\alpha) \cdot a_3 + \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha \beta} (\psi \wedge a_\rho) \cdot a_3 + b_{\alpha \beta} (\psi \wedge a_3) \cdot a_3
\]
(43)
\[
= (\partial_\beta \psi \wedge a_\alpha) \cdot a_3 + \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha \beta} \partial_\rho u \cdot a_3.
\]

Note that the last two terms in (43) belong to \( L^2(\omega) \).

Consequently \( \Upsilon(u) = 0 \) implies that \( (\partial_\beta \psi \wedge a_\alpha) \cdot a_3 = (a_3 \wedge \partial_\beta \psi) \cdot a_\alpha = 0 \). In addition, we have \( (a_3 \wedge \partial_\beta \psi) \cdot a_3 = 0 \) by an immediate density argument. In Cartesian coordinates, these statements read
\[
\forall \theta \in H^1_0(\omega), \quad <(a_3 \wedge \partial_\beta \psi)_k, (a_i)_k \theta> = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.
\]
(44)

Consider now an arbitrary function \( \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \). Due to the regularity of the chart \( \varphi \), the contravariant components of \( \phi, \phi^i = \phi \cdot a^i \), belong to \( H^1_0(\omega) \) and \( \phi = \phi^i a_i \). Using \( \phi^i \) as a test function in (44) we thus obtain
\[
\forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3), \quad <(a_3 \wedge \partial_\beta \psi)_k, \phi^i(a_i)_k> = <a_3 \wedge \partial_\beta \psi, \phi> = 0,
\]
(45)
hence
\[
a_3 \wedge \partial_\beta \psi = 0. \quad (46)
\]

Thanks to (46) and Lemma 7, there exist \( \bar{\psi}_1, \bar{\psi}_2 \in H^{-1}(\omega) \) such that \( \partial_\beta \psi = \bar{\psi}_i a_3 \). Since \( \partial_{\alpha \beta} u = \partial_\beta \partial_\alpha u \), it follows from formula (40) that \( \partial_3 \psi \wedge a_1 = \partial_1 \psi \wedge a_2 \), in other words \( \bar{\psi}_1(a_3 \wedge a_1) = \bar{\psi}_2(a_3 \wedge a_2) \). Therefore we have \( \bar{\psi}_1 = \bar{\psi}_2 = 0 \) and thus \( \partial_\beta \psi = 0 \). Since \( \omega \) is a domain, this implies that \( \psi \) is identified with a constant vector of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). To conclude we remark that then \( \partial_\beta (u - \psi \wedge \varphi) = 0 \), hence \( u - \psi \wedge \varphi \) is identified with a constant vector \( c \) of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

See Blouza and Le Dret [1994a] for similar results under various hypotheses of regularity.

5. Existence and uniqueness for Koiter’s model

In this section, we propose to prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the linearized Koiter model for a shell whose mid-surface may have curvature discontinuities, since \( \varphi \) is only in \( W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \). To begin with we consider the case of simple support on the entire boundary. We will consider more general boundary conditions in the next section.

Let \( a^{\alpha \beta \rho \sigma} \in L^\infty(\omega) \) be an elasticity tensor which we assume to satisfy the usual symmetries and be uniformly strictly positive, i.e., there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that for all symmetric tensors \( \tau = (\tau_{\alpha \beta}) \) and almost all \( x \in \omega, a^{\alpha \beta \rho \sigma}(x) \tau_{\alpha \beta} \tau_{\rho \sigma} \geq C(\tau_{\alpha \beta} \tau_{\alpha \beta}) \). These hypotheses are for example satisfied by a homogeneous, isotropic material with Lamé moduli \( \mu > 0 \) and \( \lambda \geq 0 \), in which case
\[
a^{\alpha \beta \rho \sigma} = 2\mu (a^{\alpha \rho} a^{\beta \sigma} + a^{\alpha \sigma} a^{\beta \rho}) + \frac{4\lambda \mu}{\lambda + 2\mu} a^{\alpha \beta} a^{\rho \sigma}.
\]

Finally let \( e \in L^\infty(\omega) \), such that \( e(x) \geq C > 0 \) almost everywhere on \( \omega \), represent the thickness of the shell. This means that the actual three-dimensional shell is the set of points \( M = \varphi(x) + za_3(x) \) with \( x \in \omega \) and \( |z| \leq e(x) \).
THEOREM 10. — Let $\varphi \in W^{2, \infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and let $P \in L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ be a given force resultant density. Then there exists a unique solution to the variational problem: Find $u \in V$ such that

$$\forall v \in V, \quad \int_{\omega} e_{\alpha \beta \rho \sigma} (\gamma_{\alpha \beta}(v) \gamma_{\rho \sigma}(v) + \frac{e^2}{12} \Upsilon_{\alpha \beta}(u) \Upsilon_{\rho \sigma}(v)) \sqrt{a} \, dx = \int_{\omega} P \cdot v \sqrt{a} \, dx. \quad (47)$$

Remark. — In view of Lemma 4 and Corollary 5, if $\varphi$ is assumed to be of class $C^3$, we thus recover the result of Bernadou and Ciarlet [1976].

Note first that the right-hand side of problem (47) clearly defines a continuous linear form over the space $V$. We thus only need to prove that the bilinear form of the left-hand side of (47) is $V$-elliptic. This is the object of the next lemma.

LEMMA 11. — The bilinear form of the left-hand side of (47) is $V$-elliptic.

Proof. — Under the hypotheses made on the chart $\varphi$, the elasticity tensor and the thickness of the shell, we only need to prove that

$$|||v||| = \left( \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left\| \gamma_{\alpha \beta}(v) \right\|^2_{L^2(\omega)} + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left\| \Upsilon_{\alpha \beta}(v) \right\|^2_{L^2(\omega)} \right)^{1/2} \quad (48)$$

is a norm over the space $V$ which is equivalent to $\| \cdot \|_V$.

First of all, let us check that the mapping $v \in V \mapsto |||v|||$ is indeed a norm over $V$. It is clear that this mapping is a semi-norm. By Theorem 6, if $v \in V$ is such that $|||v||| = 0$, then there exist $\psi, c \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $v(x) = \psi \wedge \varphi(x) + c$. The set of points $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\psi \wedge y + c$ vanishes is either a straight line ($\psi \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$), empty ($\psi = 0$ and $c \neq 0$) or the whole space ($\psi = 0$ and $c = 0$). Since $v$ vanishes on $\partial \omega$ and $\varphi(\partial \omega)$ is not included in a straight line, it follows that $v = 0$.

Let us now prove that the norm $||| \cdot |||$ is equivalent to the $\| \cdot \|_V$ norm. We argue by contradiction. Let us assume that there exists a sequence $v_n$ in $V$ such that

$$\|v_n\|_V = 1 \text{ and } |||v_n||| \to 0 \text{ when } n \to +\infty. \quad (49)$$

By extracting a subsequence, still denoted $v_n$, we may assume that there exists a $v \in V$ such that $v_n \to v$ weakly in $H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\partial_{\alpha \beta} v_n \cdot a_3 \to \partial_{\alpha \beta} v \cdot a_3$ weakly in $L^2(\omega)$. Consequently,

$$\gamma_{\alpha \beta}(v_n) \to \gamma_{\alpha \beta}(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \Upsilon_{\alpha \beta}(v_n) \to \Upsilon_{\alpha \beta}(v) \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\omega), \quad (50)$$

by expressions (11) and (12). Since hypothesis (49) implies that these tensors converge strongly to zero in $L^2(\omega)$ we obtain $v = 0$ thanks to Theorem 6. Rellich's lemma now implies that $v_n \to 0$ strongly in $L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$.

Let us introduce the vector $(\bar{w}_n)_\alpha = v_n \cdot a_\alpha$, which is such that $\bar{w}_n \to 0$ strongly in $L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$ by the previous remark. Let us define $2e_{\alpha \beta}(\bar{w}) = \partial_\beta \bar{w}_\alpha + \partial_\alpha \bar{w}_\beta$. We see that, by expression (11)

$$e_{\alpha \beta}(\bar{w}_n) = \gamma_{\alpha \beta}(v_n) + \frac{1}{2} v_n \cdot (\partial_\beta a_\alpha + \partial_\alpha a_\beta) \to 0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\omega) \quad (51)$$
since $a_\alpha \in W^{1,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$. By the two-dimensional Korn inequality, we deduce then that $\bar{w}_n \to 0$ strongly in $H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$. Consequently,

$$\partial_\rho v_n \cdot a_\alpha = \partial_\rho ((\bar{w}_n)_\alpha) - v_n \cdot \partial_\rho a_\alpha \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\omega)$$

(52)

since $\partial_\rho a_\alpha \in L^\infty(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$.

Moreover, as $v_n \to 0$ in $H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$, it follows that $\partial_\rho v_n \cdot a_3 \to 0$ in $L^2(\omega)$. On the other hand, $\partial_\beta (\partial_\rho v_n \cdot a_3) = \partial_\beta \partial_\rho v_n \cdot a_3 + \partial_\rho v_n \cdot \partial_\beta a_3 \to 0$ in $L^2(\omega)$. Indeed, $\partial_\beta a_3 \in L^\infty(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and we already know that $\partial_\beta \partial_\rho v_n \cdot a_3 \to 0$ weakly in $L^2(\omega)$. Consequently, $\partial_\rho v_n \cdot a_3 \to 0$ weakly in $H^1(\omega)$ and by Rellich's lemma

$$\partial_\rho v_n \cdot a_3 \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\omega).$$

(53)

We deduce from (53) and (49) that

$$\partial_{\alpha\beta} v_n \cdot a_3 = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho (v_n) + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho \partial_\rho v_n \cdot a_3 \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\omega),$$

(54)

since $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho \in L^\infty(\omega)$, on the one hand, and on the other hand that

$$\partial_\rho v_n = (\partial_\beta v_n \cdot a_i) a^i \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$$

(55)

by (52), (53) and since both $a_i$ and $a^i$ belong to $L^\infty(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$. Consequently, $v_n \to 0$ strongly in $H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$. Since by (54), $\partial_{\alpha\beta} v_n \cdot a_3 \to 0$ strongly in $L^2(\omega)$, we see that $\|v_n\|_V \to 0$ which contradicts (49) and proves the lemma.

Theorem 10 now follows directly from Lemma 11 by applying the Lax-Milgram Lemma.

6. Existence and uniqueness for general boundary conditions

In Blouza and Le Dret [1994b], we gave an existence and uniqueness result for the clamped shell problem for shells of class $W^{2,\infty}$, with the restriction that the midsurface be piecewise $W^{3,\infty}$. The purpose of this section to remove this unnecessary restriction. Indeed, we prove below an existence and uniqueness theorem for a Koiter shell clamped on part of the boundary and subjected to given forces and moments on the remaining part of the boundary, under the sole hypothesis $\phi \in W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$. This is achieved by reinterpreting the classical conditions of clamping and moment loading in the light of the ideas set forth in the previous sections.

We thus assume that the boundary $\partial \omega$ of the chart domain is divided into two parts, a part $\gamma_0$ of strictly positive 1-dimensional measure† on which the shell is clamped and a complementary part $\gamma_1$ on which the shell is subjected to applied tractions and moments. In the classical approach, the clamping condition reads

$$v_i = \partial_\nu v_3 = 0 \quad \text{on } \gamma_0,$$

(56)

† The case of pure traction and moments, i.e., $\gamma_0$ of null measure, is dealt with by working in the obvious quotient space.
where $\partial_{\nu}$ denotes the normal derivative on the boundary. The loading condition amounts to adding to the right-hand side of the variational problem a term $l_1(\nu)$ of the form

$$l_1(\nu) = \int_{\gamma} (N \cdot \nu + M \cdot \psi(\nu)) \sqrt{\alpha_\alpha \beta \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta} \, d\gamma,$$

where $\gamma$ is a unit tangent vector to $\partial \omega$, $N = N^\alpha a_\alpha$ is the applied traction density, $M = M^\alpha a_\alpha \wedge a_3 = \varepsilon_\beta \alpha M^\alpha a_\beta$ the applied moment density and $\psi(\nu)$ is the infinitesimal rotation vector, which is still defined by

$$\psi(\nu) = \varepsilon_\beta \alpha \left( \partial_\beta v_3 + b_\beta \nu_\rho \right) a_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_\beta \alpha \nu_\alpha \beta a_3,$$

even for a non necessarily inextensional displacement. Naturally in this case, $\partial_\alpha \nu \neq \psi \wedge a_\alpha$.

Our goal now is to show that (56) and (57) can be rewritten in a simpler and more intrinsic fashion that makes sense in our functional framework. We let

$$W = \{ v \in H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3), \quad \partial_{\alpha \beta} v \cdot a_3 \in L^2(\omega) \}$$

endowed with the same norm as $V$, which is thus a closed subspace of $W$.

Let us begin with the clamping condition.

**Lemma 12.** Assume that $\varphi \in W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and let $v \in W$ be such that $v = 0$ in the sense of trace on $\gamma_0$. Then $\partial_\alpha v \cdot a_3 \in H^1(\omega)$ with $\| \partial_\alpha v \cdot a_3 \|_{H^1(\omega)} \leq C\| v \|_W$ and the condition

$$\partial_\alpha v \cdot a_3 = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad H^{1/2}(\gamma_0)$$

is well defined. Moreover, it is equivalent to (56) if $\varphi \in W^{3,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$.

**Proof.** We first remark that $\partial_\alpha v \cdot a_3 \in H^1(\omega)$ with the norm estimate. Indeed,

$$\partial_\beta (\partial_\alpha v \cdot a_3) = \partial_{\alpha \beta} v \cdot a_3 + \partial_\alpha v \cdot \partial_\beta a_3 \in L^2(\omega).$$

Note that the left-hand side of this equality is a priori only in $H^{-1}(\omega)$. The fact that Leibniz' rule holds true in this case can be checked by reasoning along the same lines as in the proof of Lemma 9.

Consequently, condition (60) is well defined for elements of the space $W$. Let us verify that, together with the nullity of the displacement on $\gamma_0$, it coincides with the classical clamping condition for $\varphi$ smooth. We let $\nu$ denote the normal outer unit vector to $\partial \omega$ and $\tau$ a tangent unit vector to $\partial \omega$ (which we assume smooth enough for this).

Assume that $\varphi \in W^{3,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and let $v \in W$ be such that $v = 0$ on $\gamma_0$ and $v$ satisfies condition (60). Then $v_3 = v \cdot a_3 \in H^2(\omega)$, viz. Lemma 4, and $\partial_\alpha v_3 = \partial_\alpha v \cdot a_3 + v \cdot \partial_\alpha a_3$. Hence, $\partial_\alpha v_3 = 0$ on $\gamma_0$ so that $\partial_\alpha v_3 \nu_\alpha = 0$ on $\gamma_0$, i.e., $v$ satisfies condition (56).

Conversely, assume that $v$ satisfies condition (56) and $v = 0$ on $\gamma_0$. Then $\partial_\alpha v_3 \nu_\alpha = 0$ and $\partial_\alpha v_3 \tau_\alpha = 0$ on $\gamma_0$ in the sense of $H^{1/2}(\gamma_0)$. Therefore, $\partial_\alpha v_3 = 0$ on $\gamma_0$ and thus $\partial_\alpha v \cdot a_3 = \partial_\alpha v_3 - v \cdot \partial_\alpha a_3 = 0$ on $\gamma_0$. \qed
Remarks. — i) Geometrically, the new clamping condition (60) simply means that, at almost every point of $\gamma_0$, the tangent plane to the deformed surface remains orthogonal to the original normal vector $a_3$.

ii) Convergence results in the spirit of those of Lemma 2 also hold true and are left to the reader. They can serve to further stress that condition (60) is a natural extension of the classical condition.

Let us now consider the infinitesimal rotation vector.

**Lemma 13.** — Assume that $\varphi \in W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and let $v \in W$. Then the expression

$$\psi(v) = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\beta v \cdot a_3)a_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha v \cdot a_\beta)a_3,$$

(62)

defines an element of $L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$a^\alpha \cdot \psi(v) = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\beta v \cdot a_3) \in H^1(\omega).$$

(63)

Moreover, it coincides with the classical infinitesimal rotation vector (58) if $\varphi \in W^{3,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$.

**Proof.** — It is clear that if $v$ belongs to $W$, then expression (62) defines an element of $L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ that satisfies (63). Indeed, $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \in W^{1,\infty}(\omega)$. Let us thus assume that $\varphi \in W^{3,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and let us compare the new expression with the classical expression in this case. We thus have for the tangential components

$$a^\alpha \cdot \psi(v) = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\beta v \cdot a_3)$$

$$= \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\beta v_3 - v \cdot \partial_\beta a_3)$$

$$= \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\beta v_3 + b_\beta^\gamma v_\gamma).$$

The calculation for the normal component is virtually identical:

$$2a^3 \cdot \psi(v) = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha v \cdot a_\beta)$$

$$= \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha v_\beta - v \cdot \partial_\alpha a_\beta)$$

$$= \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha v_\beta - \Gamma^\rho_{\alpha\beta} v_\rho - b_{\alpha\beta} v_3)$$

$$= \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}v_{\alpha|\beta},$$

since $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}b_\alpha^\beta = 0$. □

Remarks. — i) We had already obtained the expression of the normal component of the infinitesimal rotation vector by a direct argument in the inextensional case, see the remarks following Lemma 8.

ii) It is easy to check with expressions (62) and (11) that for all $v \in H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\partial_\alpha v = \psi(v) \wedge a_\alpha + \gamma_\alpha^\beta(v)a_\beta,$$

hence formula (34) when $v$ is inextensional.
We now turn to the Koiter problem. Let us define a new space

\[ \tilde{V} = \{ v \in H^1(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3), \partial_\alpha v \cdot a_3 \in L^2(\omega), v = \partial_\alpha v \cdot a_3 = 0 \text{ on } \gamma_0 \} \]

(64)

By Lemma 12, we see that $\tilde{V}$ is a closed subspace of $W$ that extends the classical space of Bernardou and Ciarlet [1976] to the case $\varphi \in W^{2,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$. We prove the following existence and uniqueness result.

**THEOREM 14.** — Let $P \in L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$, $N^i \in L^2(\gamma_1)$ and $M^\alpha \in L^2(\gamma_1)$. Then there exists a unique solution to the variational problem: Find $u \in \tilde{V}$ such that

\[ \forall v \in \tilde{V}, \quad B(u, v) = l(v) \]

(65)

where

\[ B(u, v) = \int_\omega c_{\alpha \beta \rho \sigma} \{ \gamma_\alpha(\dot{u}) \gamma_\beta(\dot{v}) + \frac{\kappa^2}{12} \gamma_\alpha(\ddot{u}) \gamma_\beta(\ddot{v}) \} \sqrt{\alpha} \, dx \]

(66)

and

\[ l(v) = \int_\omega (P \cdot v) \sqrt{\alpha} \, dx + \int_{\gamma_1} (N \cdot v + M \cdot \psi(v)) \sqrt{\alpha_\beta \alpha_\beta} \, d\gamma \]

(67)

with $N = N^i a_i$ and $M = M^\alpha a_\alpha \wedge a_3 = \varepsilon_{\beta \alpha} M^\alpha a^\beta$.

**Proof.** — Let us first check that the linear form $l$ is continuous on the space $\tilde{V}$. Since the vectors $a_i$ belong to $W^{1,\infty}(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$, their trace on $\gamma_1$ belongs to $C^0(\gamma_1)$. Therefore, if $N^i \in L^2(\gamma_1)$ and $M^\alpha \in L^2(\gamma_1)$, then $N \in L^2(\gamma_1; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $M \in L^2(\gamma_1; \mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, by expression (62), $M \cdot \psi(v) = M^\alpha (\partial_\alpha v \cdot a_3)$. Consequently, Lemma 12 and the trace theorem imply that $l$ is continuous on $W$ (we could have taken $N \in H^{-1/2}(\gamma_1; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $M^\alpha \in H^{-1/2}(\gamma_1)$).

Secondly, we show that the bilinear form $B$ is $\tilde{V}$-elliptic (it is obviously continuous). For this, it suffices to prove that the semi-norm (48) defines a norm on $\tilde{V}$ which is equivalent to the norm of $W$ defined by (26). Assume thus that $v \in \tilde{V}$ is such that $|||v||| = 0$. By the infinitesimal rigid displacement lemma, this implies that $v(x) = \psi \wedge \varphi(x) + c$. First of all, $v$ vanishes on $\gamma_0$. If $\varphi(\gamma_0)$ is not included in a straight line, it follows that $v = 0$ as before. Assume that $\varphi(\gamma_0)$ is included in a straight line $D$ and that $\psi \neq 0$. Then $D$ is parallel to the plane spanned by $(a_\alpha)_{\gamma_0}$ and $\psi$ is parallel to $D$. On the other hand, $\partial_\alpha v = \psi \wedge a_\alpha$, and the clamping condition implies $\partial_\alpha v \cdot a_3 = a_\alpha \wedge a_3 \cdot \psi = 0$. Hence $\psi$ is orthogonal to the plane spanned by $(a_\alpha)_{\gamma_0}$, which contradicts the hypothesis. Therefore, $\psi = 0$ and $v = 0$ on $\gamma_0$ then implies $c = 0$.

The rest of the proof concerning the norm equivalence is identical to the proof of Lemma 11. □
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