# A Continuous Time Approach for the Asymptotic Value in Two-Person Zero-Sum Repeated Games

Sylvain Sorin IMJ, UPMC

Joint work with Pierre Cardaliaguet and Rida Laraki

Groupe de Travail Controle LJJL UPMC, 19 Avril 2013



## Contents

- 1 Introduction: discounted stochastic game, Shapley (1953)
- 2 Extensions of the Shapley operator : general repeated games
- 3 Extensions of the Shapley operator: general evaluation
- 4 Asymptotic analysis: the main results
- 5 The continuous time approach: games with incomplete information
- 6 The continuous time approach: extensions

A stochastic game is a repeated game in discrete time where
the state changes from stage to stage according to a transition
depending on the current state and the moves of the players.
We consider two-person zero-sum games.

- A stochastic game is a repeated game in discrete time where
  the state changes from stage to stage according to a transition
  depending on the current state and the moves of the players.
  We consider two-person zero-sum games.
- The game is specified by a state space  $\Omega$ , move sets I and J for player 1 (maximizer) and 2, a transition probability Q from  $I \times J \times \Omega \to \Omega$  and a payoff function g from  $I \times J \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ .

- A stochastic game is a repeated game in discrete time where
  the state changes from stage to stage according to a transition
  depending on the current state and the moves of the players.
  We consider two-person zero-sum games.
- The game is specified by a state space  $\Omega$ , move sets I and J for player 1 (maximizer) and 2, a transition probability Q from  $I \times J \times \Omega \to \Omega$  and a payoff function g from  $I \times J \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ .
- All sets under consideration are finite.

Inductively, at stage t=1,..., knowing the past history  $h_t=(\omega_1,i_1,j_1,....,i_{t-1},j_{t-1},\omega_t)$ , player I chooses  $i_t\in I$ , player J chooses  $j_t\in J$ .

Inductively, at stage t=1,..., knowing the past history  $h_t=(\omega_1,i_1,j_1,...,i_{t-1},j_{t-1},\omega_t)$ , player I chooses  $i_t\in I$ , player J chooses  $j_t\in J$ .

The new state  $\omega_{t+1} \in \Omega$  is drawn according to the probability distribution  $Q(i_t, j_t, \omega_t)(\cdot)$ .

Inductively, at stage t=1,..., knowing the past history  $h_t=(\omega_1,i_1,j_1,....,i_{t-1},j_{t-1},\omega_t)$ , player I chooses  $i_t\in I$ , player J chooses  $j_t\in J$ .

The new state  $\omega_{t+1} \in \Omega$  is drawn according to the probability distribution  $Q(i_t, j_t, \omega_t)(\cdot)$ .

The triplet  $(i_t, j_t, \omega_{t+1})$  is publicly announced and the situation is repeated.

Inductively, at stage t=1,..., knowing the past history  $h_t=(\omega_1,i_1,j_1,...,i_{t-1},j_{t-1},\omega_t)$ , player I chooses  $i_t\in I$ , player J chooses  $j_t\in J$ .

The new state  $\omega_{t+1} \in \Omega$  is drawn according to the probability distribution  $Q(i_t, j_t, \omega_t)(\cdot)$ .

The triplet  $(i_t, j_t, \omega_{t+1})$  is publicly announced and the situation is repeated.

The payoff at stage t is  $g_t = g(i_t, j_t, \omega_t)$  and the total payoff is the discounted sum  $\sum_t \lambda (1 - \lambda)^{t-1} g_t$ , where  $\lambda \in ]0, 1]$ .

Inductively, at stage t=1,..., knowing the past history  $h_t=(\omega_1,i_1,j_1,....,i_{t-1},j_{t-1},\omega_t)$ , player I chooses  $i_t\in I$ , player J chooses  $j_t\in J$ .

The new state  $\omega_{t+1} \in \Omega$  is drawn according to the probability distribution  $Q(i_t, j_t, \omega_t)(\cdot)$ .

The triplet  $(i_t, j_t, \omega_{t+1})$  is publicly announced and the situation is repeated.

The payoff at stage t is  $g_t = g(i_t, j_t, \omega_t)$  and the total payoff is the discounted sum  $\sum_t \lambda (1 - \lambda)^{t-1} g_t$ , where  $\lambda \in ]0, 1]$ .

This discounted game has a value  $v_{\lambda}$ .

# The Shapley Operator

The Shapley operator  $T(\lambda, \cdot)$  associates to a real function f on  $\Omega$  (a point in  $\mathbb{R}^{\Omega}$ ) the function:

$$T(\lambda, f)(\omega) = \max_{x \in \Delta(I)} \min_{y \in \Delta(J)} [\lambda g(x, y, \omega) + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{\tilde{\omega}} Q(x, y, \omega)(\tilde{\omega}) f(\tilde{\omega})]$$

# The Shapley Operator

The Shapley operator  $T(\lambda, \cdot)$  associates to a real function f on  $\Omega$  (a point in  $\mathbb{R}^{\Omega}$ ) the function:

$$T(\lambda, f)(\omega) = \max_{x \in \Delta(I)} \min_{y \in \Delta(J)} [\lambda g(x, y, \omega) + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{\tilde{\omega}} Q(x, y, \omega)(\tilde{\omega}) f(\tilde{\omega})]$$

#### Lemma

The Shapley operator  $T(\lambda, \cdot)$  is well defined from  $\mathbb{R}^{\Omega}$  to itself. Its unique fixed point is  $v_{\lambda}$ .

## Contents

- 1 Introduction: discounted stochastic game, Shapley (1953)
- 2 Extensions of the Shapley operator : general repeated games
- 3 Extensions of the Shapley operator: general evaluation
- 4 Asymptotic analysis: the main results
- 5 The continuous time approach: games with incomplete information
- 6 The continuous time approach: extensions

A recursive structure leading to an expression similar to the previous one holds in general for stationary repeated games.

A recursive structure leading to an expression similar to the previous one holds in general for stationary repeated games. Let us describe this representation for games with incomplete information.

A recursive structure leading to an expression similar to the previous one holds in general for stationary repeated games. Let us describe this representation for games with incomplete information.

M is a product space  $K \times L$ ,  $\pi$  is a product probability  $p \otimes q$  with  $p \in \Delta(K)$ ,  $q \in \Delta(L)$ .

A recursive structure leading to an expression similar to the previous one holds in general for stationary repeated games. Let us describe this representation for games with incomplete information.

*M* is a product space  $K \times L$ ,  $\pi$  is a product probability  $p \otimes q$  with  $p \in \Delta(K)$ ,  $q \in \Delta(L)$ .

The parameter  $m=(k,\ell)$  is selected according to  $\pi$ , each player knows his own component and holds a prior on the other player's component.

A recursive structure leading to an expression similar to the previous one holds in general for stationary repeated games. Let us describe this representation for games with incomplete information.

*M* is a product space  $K \times L$ ,  $\pi$  is a product probability  $p \otimes q$  with  $p \in \Delta(K)$ ,  $q \in \Delta(L)$ .

The parameter  $m=(k,\ell)$  is selected according to  $\pi$ , each player knows his own component and holds a prior on the other player's component.

From stage 1 on, the parameter is fixed and the information of the players after stage n is  $a_{n+1} = b_{n+1} = \{i_n, j_n\}$ .

An auxiliary stochastic game  $\overline{\Gamma}$  is as follows:

An auxiliary stochastic game  $\overline{\Gamma}$  is as follows: the "state space"  $\overline{M}$  is  $\Delta(K) \times \Delta(L)$  and is interpreted as the space of beliefs on the true parameter.

An auxiliary stochastic game  $\Gamma$  is as follows: the "state space"  $\overline{M}$  is  $\Delta(K) \times \Delta(L)$  and is interpreted as the space of beliefs on the true parameter.

 $\mathbf{X} = \Delta(I)^K$  and  $\mathbf{Y} = \Delta(J)^L$  are the type-dependent mixed action sets of the players; g is extended on  $\overline{M} \times \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$  by:

$$g(p,q,x,y) = \sum_{k,\ell} p^k q^{\ell} g(k,\ell,x^k,y^{\ell}).$$

An auxiliary stochastic game  $\Gamma$  is as follows: the "state space"  $\overline{M}$  is  $\Delta(K) \times \Delta(L)$  and is interpreted as the space of beliefs on the true parameter.

 $\mathbf{X} = \Delta(I)^K$  and  $\mathbf{Y} = \Delta(J)^L$  are the type-dependent mixed action sets of the players; g is extended on  $\overline{M} \times \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$  by:

$$g(p,q,x,y) = \sum_{k,\ell} p^k q^{\ell} g(k,\ell,x^k,y^{\ell}).$$

Given (p, q, x, y), let  $x(i) = \sum_k x_i^k p^k$  be the (total) probability of action i and p(i) be the conditional probability on K given the action i, hence  $p^k(i) = \frac{p^k x_i^k}{x(i)}$  (and similarly for y and q).

The resulting form of the Shapley operator is:

$$T(\lambda, f)(p, q) = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}} \inf_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Y}} \{\lambda \sum_{k, \ell} p^k q^{\ell} g(k, \ell, \mathbf{x}^k, \mathbf{y}^{\ell}) + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i, j} x(i) y(j) f(p(i), q(j)) \}$$
(1)

acting on the set of concave/convex continuous functions defined on the product of simplexes  $\Delta(K) \times \Delta(L)$ .

These equations are due to Aumann and Maschler (1966) and Mertens and Zamir (1971).

## Contents

- 1 Introduction: discounted stochastic game, Shapley (1953)
- Extensions of the Shapley operator: general repeated games
- 3 Extensions of the Shapley operator : general evaluation
- 4 Asymptotic analysis: the main results
- 5 The continuous time approach: games with incomplete information
- 6 The continuous time approach: extensions

The classical fixed point formula for the discounted value is

$$v_{\lambda} = \mathsf{T}[\lambda, v_{\lambda}] \tag{2}$$

The classical fixed point formula for the discounted value is

$$v_{\lambda} = \mathsf{T}[\lambda, v_{\lambda}] \tag{2}$$

and the recursive formula for the n stage value is obtained similarly

$$v_n = \mathsf{T}[\frac{1}{n}, v_{n-1}] \tag{3}$$

with obviously  $v_0 = 0$ .

Consider an evaluation probability  $\mu$  on  $N^*$ . The total payoff is  $\sum_t \mu_m g_m$ .

Consider an evaluation probability  $\mu$  on  $N^*$ . The total payoff is  $\sum_t \mu_m g_m$ .

Then  $\mu$  induces a partition  $\Pi$  of [0,1] with  $t_0=0, t_k=\sum_{m=1}^k \mu_m$ .

Consider an evaluation probability  $\mu$  on  $N^*$ . The total payoff is  $\sum_t \mu_m g_m$ .

Then  $\mu$  induces a partition  $\Pi$  of [0,1] with  $t_0=0, t_k=\sum_{m=1}^k \mu_m$ . The repeated game is naturally represented as a game played between times 0 and 1, where the actions are constant on each subinterval  $(t_{k-1},t_k)$ : its length  $\mu_k$  is the weight of stage k in the original game. Let  $\nu_\Pi$  be its value.

Consider an evaluation probability  $\mu$  on  $N^*$ . The total payoff is  $\sum_t \mu_m g_m$ .

Then  $\mu$  induces a partition  $\Pi$  of [0,1] with  $t_0=0, t_k=\sum_{m=1}^k \mu_m$ . The repeated game is naturally represented as a game played between times 0 and 1, where the actions are constant on each subinterval  $(t_{k-1},t_k)$ : its length  $\mu_k$  is the weight of stage k in the original game. Let  $\nu_\Pi$  be its value. The recursive equation is

$$v_{\Pi} = \mathrm{val}\{t_1g_1 + (1-t_1)\mathsf{E}v_{\Pi_{t_1}}\}$$

where  $\Pi_{t_1}$  is the normalization on [0,1] of the trace of the partition  $\Pi$  on the interval  $[t_1,1]$ .

Rather than dealing with a sequence of values indexed by a partition, we introduce a function of partition and time.

Rather than dealing with a sequence of values indexed by a partition, we introduce a function of partition and time. Define  $V_{\Pi}(t_k)$  as the value of the game starting at time  $t_k$  with evaluation  $\sum_m \mu_{m+k} g_m$ . One obtains the alternative recursive formula

$$V_{\Pi}(t_k) = val\{(t_{k+1} - t_k)g_{k+1} + EV_{\Pi}(t_{k+1})\}$$
 (4)

Rather than dealing with a sequence of values indexed by a partition, we introduce a function of partition and time. Define  $V_{\Pi}(t_k)$  as the value of the game starting at time  $t_k$  with evaluation  $\sum_m \mu_{m+k} g_m$ . One obtains the alternative recursive formula

$$V_{\Pi}(t_k) = val\{(t_{k+1} - t_k)g_{k+1} + EV_{\Pi}(t_{k+1})\}$$
 (4)

By taking the linear extension we define this way for every finite partition  $\Pi$ , a function  $V_{\Pi}(t)$  on [0,1].

#### Lemma

Assume  $n \to \mu(n)$  decreasing. Then  $V_{\Pi}$  is C-Lipschitz in t, where C is a bound on the payoffs.



# Contents

- 1 Introduction: discounted stochastic game, Shapley (1953)
- Extensions of the Shapley operator: general repeated games
- 3 Extensions of the Shapley operator: general evaluation
- 4 Asymptotic analysis: the main results
- 5 The continuous time approach: games with incomplete information
- 6 The continuous time approach: extensions

We consider now the asymptotic behavior of  $v_n$  as n goes to  $\infty$ , or of  $v_{\lambda}$  as  $\lambda$  goes to 0, or more generally of  $V_{\Pi}$  as the mesh  $\mu(1)$  goes to 0.

We consider now the asymptotic behavior of  $v_n$  as n goes to  $\infty$ , or of  $v_{\lambda}$  as  $\lambda$  goes to 0, or more generally of  $V_{\Pi}$  as the mesh  $\mu(1)$  goes to 0.

1) Concerning games with incomplete information on one side, the first results proving the existence of  $\lim_{n\to\infty} v_n$  and  $\lim_{\lambda\to 0} v_\lambda$  are due to Aumann and Maschler (1966), including in addition an identification of the limit as  $\operatorname{Cav}_{\Delta(K)} u$ .

We consider now the asymptotic behavior of  $v_n$  as n goes to  $\infty$ , or of  $v_{\lambda}$  as  $\lambda$  goes to 0, or more generally of  $V_{\Pi}$  as the mesh  $\mu(1)$  goes to 0.

1) Concerning games with incomplete information on one side, the first results proving the existence of  $\lim_{n\to\infty} v_n$  and  $\lim_{\lambda\to 0} v_\lambda$  are due to Aumann and Maschler (1966), including in addition an identification of the limit as  $\mathrm{Cav}_{\Delta(K)}u$ .

Here  $u(p) = \operatorname{val}_{\Delta(I) \times \Delta(J)} \sum_k p^k g(k, x, y)$  is the value of the one shot non revealing game, where the informed player does not use his information and  $\operatorname{Cav}_C$  is the concavification operator: given  $\phi$ , a real bounded function defined on a convex set C,  $\operatorname{Cav}_C(\phi)$  is the smallest function greater than  $\phi$  and concave, on C.

Extensions of these results to games with lack of information on both sides were achieved by Mertens and Zamir (1971). In addition they identified the limit as the only solution of the system of implicit functional equations with unknown  $\phi$ :

$$\phi(p,q) = \operatorname{Cav}_{p \in \Delta(K)} \min\{\phi, u\}(p,q), \tag{5}$$

$$\phi(p,q) = \operatorname{Vex}_{q \in \Delta(L)} \max\{\phi, u\}(p,q) \tag{6}$$

Extensions of these results to games with lack of information on both sides were achieved by Mertens and Zamir (1971). In addition they identified the limit as the only solution of the system of implicit functional equations with unknown  $\phi$ :

$$\phi(p,q) = \operatorname{Cav}_{p \in \Delta(K)} \min\{\phi, u\}(p,q), \tag{5}$$

$$\phi(p,q) = Vex_{q \in \Delta(L)} \max\{\phi, u\}(p,q)$$
 (6)

Here again u stands for the value of the non revealing game:  $u(p,q) = \operatorname{val}_{X \times Y} \sum_{k,\ell} p^k q^\ell g(k,\ell,x,y)$  and we will write MZ for the corresponding operator

$$\phi = \mathsf{MZ}(u). \tag{7}$$



Introduction: discounted stochastic game, Shapley (1953) Extensions of the Shapley operator: general repeated games Extensions of the Shapley operator: general evaluation Asymptotic analysis: the main results The continuous time approach: games with incomplete infor The continuous time approach: extensions

2) As for stochastic games, the existence of  $\lim_{\lambda\to 0} \nu_{\lambda}$  in the finite case  $(\Omega,I,J)$  finite is due to Bewley and Kohlberg (1976) using algebraic arguments:

Introduction: discounted stochastic game, Shapley (1953)
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general repeated games
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general evaluation
Asymptotic analysis: the main results
The continuous time approach: games with incomplete infor
The continuous time approach: extensions

2) As for stochastic games, the existence of  $\lim_{\lambda\to 0} v_{\lambda}$  in the finite case  $(\Omega, I, J \text{ finite})$  is due to Bewley and Kohlberg (1976) using algebraic arguments:

the Shapley equation can be written as a finite set of polynomial equalities and inequalities involving  $\{x_{\lambda}^{k}, y_{\lambda}^{k}, v_{\lambda}(k), \lambda\}$  thus it defines a semi-algebraic set in some euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ , hence by projection  $v_{\lambda}$  has an expansion in Puiseux series.

2) As for stochastic games, the existence of  $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \nu_{\lambda}$  in the finite case  $(\Omega, I, J \text{ finite})$  is due to Bewley and Kohlberg (1976) using algebraic arguments:

the Shapley equation can be written as a finite set of polynomial equalities and inequalities involving  $\{x_{\lambda}^{k}, y_{\lambda}^{k}, v_{\lambda}(k), \lambda\}$  thus it defines a semi-algebraic set in some euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ , hence by projection  $v_{\lambda}$  has an expansion in Puiseux series.

The existence of  $\lim_{n\to\infty} v_n$  is obtained by an algebraic comparison argument, Bewley and Kohlberg (1976).

Introduction: discounted stochastic game, Shapley (1953)
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general repeated games
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general evaluation
Asymptotic analysis: the main results
The continuous time approach: games with incomplete infore
The continuous time approach: extensions

Starting with Rosenberg and Sorin (2001) several asymptotic results have been obtained, based on the Shapley operator: continuous absorbing and recursive games, games with incomplete information on both sides, absorbing games with incomplete information on one side, Rosenberg (2000).

Introduction: discounted stochastic game, Shapley (1953)
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general repeated games
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general evaluation
Asymptotic analysis: the main results
The continuous time approach: extensions
The continuous time approach: extensions

Starting with Rosenberg and Sorin (2001) several asymptotic results have been obtained, based on the Shapley operator: continuous absorbing and recursive games, games with incomplete information on both sides, absorbing games with incomplete information on one side, Rosenberg (2000).

We extend here an approach that was initially introduced by Laraki (2002) for the discounted case.

### Contents

- 1 Introduction: discounted stochastic game, Shapley (1953)
- 2 Extensions of the Shapley operator: general repeated games
- 3 Extensions of the Shapley operator: general evaluation
- 4 Asymptotic analysis: the main results
- 5 The continuous time approach: games with incomplete information
- 6 The continuous time approach: extensions

Introduction: discounted stochastic game, Shapley (1953)
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general repeated games
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general evaluation
Asymptotic analysis: the main results
The continuous time approach: games with incomplete infor
The continuous time approach: extensions

We consider a sequence of partitions  $\Pi_n$  and we define  $W_n$  as  $V_{\Pi_n}$ .

We consider a sequence of partitions  $\Pi_n$  and we define  $W_n$  as  $V_{\Pi_n}$ . It satisfies  $W_n(1, p, q) = 0$  and for  $t_n^m = \sum_{k \le m} \mu_n^k$ 

$$W_n(t_n^m, p, q) = \max_{x \in \mathbf{X}} \min_{y \in \mathbf{Y}} [\mu_n^{m+1} g(x, y, p, q) + \sum_{i,j} \overline{x}(i) \overline{y}(j) W_n(t_n^{m+1}, p(i), q(j))]$$
(8)

Extend  $W_n(\cdot, p, q)$  to [0, 1] by linear interpolation.  $W_n(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is a C Lipschitz function, concave in p, convex in q.

Moreover if W is an accumulation point of the equi-continuous family  $\{W_n\}$  then for all (t, p, q), W is a fixed point of the operator S

$$SU(t, p, q) = \max_{x \in \mathbf{X}} \min_{y \in \mathbf{Y}} \left[ \sum_{i,j} \overline{x}(i) \overline{y}(j) U(t, p(i), q(j)) \right]$$
(9)

Let  $X(t, p, q, W) \subseteq \Delta(I)^K$  be the set of strategies for player I that are optimal for the above game.

 $x \in \mathbf{X}$  is non-revealing at p if  $\bar{x}(i) > 0$  implies p(i) = p and similarly for a subset of strategies.

Recall that u(p, q) is the value of the game played on non-revealing strategies.

# The Variational Inequalities

We introduce the following properties:

The continuous time approach: extensions

For any 
$$(p,q) \in \Delta(K) \times \Delta(L)$$
 and any  $C^1$  test function  $\phi : [0,1] \to R$ :

- **(P1)** If, for some  $t \in [0,1)$ ,  $\mathbf{X}(t,p,q,W)$  is non-revealing at p and  $W(\cdot,p,q)-\phi(\cdot)$  has a global maximum at t, then  $u(p,q)+\phi'(t)\geq 0$ .
- **(P2)** If, for some  $t \in [0,1)$ ,  $\mathbf{Y}(t,p,q,W)$  is non-revealing at q and  $W(\cdot,p,q) \phi(\cdot)$  has a global minimum at t then  $u(p,q) + \phi'(t) \le 0$ .

#### **Theorem**

Any accumulation point W of the family  $\{W_n\}$  satisfies **(P1)** and **(P2)**.

Introduction: discounted stochastic game, Shapley (1953)
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general repeated games
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general evaluation
Asymptotic analysis: the main results
The continuous time approach: games with incomplete infor
The continuous time approach: extensions

### proof

• Let t, p and q such that  $\mathbf{X}(t, p, q, W)$  is non-revealing and  $W(\cdot, p, q) - \phi(\cdot)$  admits a global maximum at t.

### proof

- Let t, p and q such that  $\mathbf{X}(t, p, q, W)$  is non-revealing and  $W(\cdot, p, q) \phi(\cdot)$  admits a global maximum at t.
- Adding  $(s-t)^2$  to  $\phi(s)$  if necessary, we can assume that this global maximum is strict.

### proof

- Let t, p and q such that  $\mathbf{X}(t, p, q, W)$  is non-revealing and  $W(\cdot, p, q) \phi(\cdot)$  admits a global maximum at t.
- Adding  $(s-t)^2$  to  $\phi(s)$  if necessary, we can assume that this global maximum is strict.
- Let  $W_n$  converge to W and define  $t^{\theta_n}$  to be a global maximum of  $W_n(\cdot, p, q) \phi(\cdot)$  on  $\{t_n^k\}$ . Then  $t^{\theta_n} \to t$ .

### proof

- Let t, p and q such that  $\mathbf{X}(t, p, q, W)$  is non-revealing and  $W(\cdot, p, q) \phi(\cdot)$  admits a global maximum at t.
- Adding  $(s-t)^2$  to  $\phi(s)$  if necessary, we can assume that this global maximum is strict.
- Let  $W_n$  converge to W and define  $t^{\theta_n}$  to be a global maximum of  $W_n(\cdot, p, q) \phi(\cdot)$  on  $\{t_n^k\}$ . Then  $t^{\theta_n} \to t$ .
- One has

$$W_n\left(t^{\theta_n}, p, q\right) = \max_{x} \min_{y} \left[\mu_n^{\theta_n} g(x, y, p, q) + \sum_{i, i} \overline{x}(i) \overline{y}(j) W_n(t^{\theta(n)+1}, p(i), q(j))\right]$$

Introduction: discounted stochastic game, Shapley (1953)
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general repeated games
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general evaluation
Asymptotic analysis: the main results
The continuous time approach: games with incomplete infor
The continuous time approach: extensions

### proof

Let  $x_n$  be optimal for the maximizer and  $y \in Y$  be any non-revealing strategy of player J.

### proof

Let  $x_n$  be optimal for the maximizer and  $y \in Y$  be any non-revealing strategy of player J.

$$W_n\left(t^{\theta_n}, p, q\right) \leq \mu_n^{\theta_n} g(x_n, y, p, q) + \sum_i \overline{x}_n(i) W_n\left(t^{\theta_n+1}, p_n(i), q\right)$$

### proof

Let  $x_n$  be optimal for the maximizer and  $y \in Y$  be any non-revealing strategy of player J.

$$W_n\left(t^{\theta_n}, p, q\right) \leq \mu_n^{\theta_n} g(x_n, y, p, q) + \sum_i \overline{x}_n(i) W_n\left(t^{\theta_n+1}, p_n(i), q\right)$$

By concavity of  $W_n$  with respect to p

$$\sum_{i} \overline{x}_{n}(i) W_{n}\left(t^{\theta_{n}+1}, p_{n}(i), q\right) \leq W_{n}\left(t^{\theta_{n}+1}, p, q\right)$$

### proof

Hence:

$$0 \leq \mu_n^{\theta_n} g(x_n, y, p, q) + \left[ W_n \left( t^{\theta_n + 1}, p, q \right) - W_n \left( t^{\theta_n}, p, q \right) \right]$$

Since  $t^{\theta_n}$  is a global maximum of  $W_n(\cdot, p, q) - \phi(\cdot)$ :

$$\phi\left(t^{\theta_{n}+1}\right) - \phi\left(t^{\theta_{n}}\right) \geq W_{n}\left(t^{\theta_{n}+1}, p, q\right) - W_{n}\left(t^{\theta_{n}}, p, q\right)$$

### proof

Hence:

$$0 \leq \mu_n^{\theta_n} g(x_n, y, p, q) + \left[ W_n \left( t^{\theta_n + 1}, p, q \right) - W_n \left( t^{\theta_n}, p, q \right) \right]$$

Since  $t^{\theta_n}$  is a global maximum of  $W_n(\cdot, p, q) - \phi(\cdot)$ :

$$\phi\left(t^{\theta_{n}+1}\right) - \phi\left(t^{\theta_{n}}\right) \geq W_{n}\left(t^{\theta_{n}+1}, p, q\right) - W_{n}\left(t^{\theta_{n}}, p, q\right)$$

Assume  $\{x_n\}$  converges to some x (hence non-revealing at p by upper sami continuity).

Passing to the limit:

$$g(x, y, p, q) + \phi'(t) \ge 0.$$

Since this inequality holds true for every y non revealing, one obtains:

$$u(p,q) + \phi'(t) \geq 0$$
.

Introduction: discounted stochastic game, Shapley (1953)
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general repeated games
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general evaluation
Asymptotic analysis: the main results
The continuous time approach: games with incomplete infor
The continuous time approach: extensions

# The comparison principle

### Theorem.

Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be continuous, saddle fixed points of S such that:

Introduction: discounted stochastic game, Shapley (1953)
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general repeated games
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general evaluation
Asymptotic analysis: the main results
The continuous time approach: extensions
The continuous time approach: extensions

# The comparison principle

### **Theorem**

Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be continuous, saddle fixed points of S such that:

• W<sub>1</sub> satisfies (P1)

Introduction: discounted stochastic game, Shapley (1953)
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general repeated games
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general evaluation
Asymptotic analysis: the main results
The continuous time approach: extensions
The continuous time approach: extensions

# The comparison principle

#### Theorem

Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be continuous, saddle fixed points of S such that:

- W<sub>1</sub> satisfies (P1)
- W<sub>2</sub> satisfies (P2)

#### Theorem

Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be continuous, saddle fixed points of S such that:

- W<sub>1</sub> satisfies (P1)
- W<sub>2</sub> satisfies (P2)
- (P3)  $W_1(1, p, q) \le W_2(1, p, q)$  for any  $(p, q) \in \Delta(K) \times \Delta(L)$ .

# The comparison principle

#### Theorem

Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be continuous, saddle fixed points of S such that:

- W<sub>1</sub> satisfies (P1)
- W<sub>2</sub> satisfies (P2)
- (P3)  $W_1(1, p, q) \le W_2(1, p, q)$  for any  $(p, q) \in \Delta(K) \times \Delta(L)$ .

Then  $W_1 \leq W_2$  on  $[0,1] \times \Delta(K) \times \Delta(L)$ .

We argue by contradiction, assuming that

$$\max_{t \in [0,1], p \in P, q \in Q} [W_1(t,p,q) - W_2(t,p,q)] = \delta > 0 .$$

Then, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small,

$$\delta(\varepsilon) := \max_{t \in [0,1], s \in [0,1], p \in P, q \in Q} [W_1(t, p, q) - W_2(s, p, q) - \frac{(t-s)^2}{2\varepsilon} + \varepsilon s] > 0$$
(10)

Moreover  $\delta(\varepsilon) \to \delta$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

We claim that there is  $(t_{\varepsilon}, s_{\varepsilon}, p_{\varepsilon}, q_{\varepsilon})$ , point of maximum above, such that  $\mathbf{X}(t_{\varepsilon}, p_{\varepsilon}, q_{\varepsilon}, W_1)$  is non-revealing for player 1 and  $\mathbf{Y}(s_{\varepsilon}, p_{\varepsilon}, q_{\varepsilon}, W_2)$  is non-revealing for player 2.

We claim that there is  $(t_{\varepsilon}, s_{\varepsilon}, p_{\varepsilon}, q_{\varepsilon})$ , point of maximum above, such that  $\mathbf{X}(t_{\varepsilon}, p_{\varepsilon}, q_{\varepsilon}, W_1)$  is non-revealing for player 1 and  $\mathbf{Y}(s_{\varepsilon}, p_{\varepsilon}, q_{\varepsilon}, W_2)$  is non-revealing for player 2. Take an extreme point of the (convex hull of the) compact set where the difference  $W_1(t_{\varepsilon}, .,.) - W_2(s_{\varepsilon}, .,.)$  is maximal and use the fact that both functions are fixed points of the operator S. Finally we note that  $t_{\varepsilon} < 1$  and  $s_{\varepsilon} < 1$  for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small, because  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  and  $W_1(1, p, q) \leq W_2(1, p, q)$  for any (p, q) by P3.

Since the map  $t\mapsto W_1(t,p_\varepsilon,q_\varepsilon)-\frac{(t-s_\varepsilon)^2}{2\varepsilon}$  has a global maximum at  $t_\varepsilon$  and since  $X(t_\varepsilon,p_\varepsilon,q_\varepsilon,W_1)$  is non-revealing for player I, condition **P1** implies that

$$u(p_{\varepsilon},q_{\varepsilon})+\frac{t_{\varepsilon}-s_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\geq 0.$$
 (11)

Since the map  $t\mapsto W_1(t,p_\varepsilon,q_\varepsilon)-\frac{(t-s_\varepsilon)^2}{2\varepsilon}$  has a global maximum at  $t_\varepsilon$  and since  $X(t_\varepsilon,p_\varepsilon,q_\varepsilon,W_1)$  is non-revealing for player I, condition **P1** implies that

$$u(p_{\varepsilon},q_{\varepsilon})+\frac{t_{\varepsilon}-s_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\geq 0.$$
 (11)

In the same way, since the map  $s\mapsto W_2(s,p_\varepsilon,q_\varepsilon)+\frac{(t_\varepsilon-s)^2}{2\varepsilon}-\varepsilon s$  has a global minimum at  $s_\varepsilon$  and since  $Y(s_\varepsilon,p_\varepsilon,q_\varepsilon,W_2)$  is non-revealing for player J, we have by condition **P2** that

$$u(p_{\varepsilon},q_{\varepsilon})+\frac{t_{\varepsilon}-s_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon\leq 0$$
.



Since the map  $t\mapsto W_1(t,p_\varepsilon,q_\varepsilon)-\frac{(t-s_\varepsilon)^2}{2\varepsilon}$  has a global maximum at  $t_\varepsilon$  and since  $X(t_\varepsilon,p_\varepsilon,q_\varepsilon,W_1)$  is non-revealing for player I, condition **P1** implies that

$$u(p_{\varepsilon},q_{\varepsilon})+\frac{t_{\varepsilon}-s_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\geq 0.$$
 (11)

In the same way, since the map  $s\mapsto W_2(s,p_\varepsilon,q_\varepsilon)+\frac{(t_\varepsilon-s)^2}{2\varepsilon}-\varepsilon s$  has a global minimum at  $s_\varepsilon$  and since  $Y(s_\varepsilon,p_\varepsilon,q_\varepsilon,W_2)$  is non-revealing for player J, we have by condition **P2** that

$$u(p_{\varepsilon},q_{\varepsilon})+\frac{t_{\varepsilon}-s_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon\leq 0$$
.

This latter inequality contradicts (11).



### Corollary

The family  $V_{\Pi}$  has only one accumulation point V hence converges.

V satisfies V(t,p,q)=(1-t)V(0,p,q). Write v(p,q)=V(0,p,q), hence one can take  $\phi(t)=(1-t)v(p,q)$  and v is characterized by the property:

 $p_0$  extreme point of the epigraph of  $p\mapsto v(p,q_0)$  implies  $v(p_0,q_0)\leq u(p_0,q_0)$  and one recovers the Mertens-Zamir system:

$$v(p,q) = \operatorname{Cav}_{p \in \Delta(K)} \min\{v, u\}(p, q), \tag{12}$$

$$v(p,q) = Vex_{q \in \Delta(L)} \max\{v, u\}(p,q)$$
 (13)

### Contents

- 1 Introduction: discounted stochastic game, Shapley (1953)
- 2 Extensions of the Shapley operator: general repeated games
- 3 Extensions of the Shapley operator: general evaluation
- 4 Asymptotic analysis: the main results
- 5 The continuous time approach: games with incomplete information
- 6 The continuous time approach: extensions

The same tols extend to the study of absorbing games and can be applied to the "splitting game".

Sketch of the approach:

The family of value functions is relatively compact

Consider two accumulation points  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  and a point  $(t,\omega)$ 

where the difference  $w_1 - w_2$  is maximal.

Deduce a variational inequality at  $(t, \omega)$  for any majorant of  $w_1$  and a dual property

Prove a comparison principle.

Introduction: discounted stochastic game, Shapley (1953)
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general repeated games
Extensions of the Shapley operator: general evaluation
Asymptotic analysis: the main results
The continuous time approach: games with incomplete infor
The continuous time approach: extensions

#### Reference:

Cardaliaguet P., R. Laraki and S. Sorin (2012) A continuous time approach for the asymptotic value in two-person zero-sum repeated games, *SIAM J. on Control and Optimization*, **50**, 1573-1596.