

November 16, 2012 .

Université Pierre et Marie Curie / Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions

Blowup Time Optimal Control for Some Evolution Differential Equations

Ping Lin

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeast Normal University,
China

linp258@nenu.edu.cn

Outline:

1. Case of ODE

1.1 Introduction

1.2 Extension

1.3 Motivation

1.4 A mathematical example

1.5 Main results

1.6 Comparison with a reference

1.7 Main idea of the proof

2. Case of PDE

2.1 Introduction and Motivation

2.2 Main Results

2.3 Sketch of the Proofs

1 Case of ODE

1.1 Introduction

First, consider the following controlled system governed by the following ordinary differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy(t)}{dt} = f(y(t)) + B(t)u(t), & t > 0, \\ y(0) = y_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.1.1)$$

Here $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $B(\cdot) \in L^\infty([0, +\infty); \mathbb{R}^{N \times M})$. The function $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is defined by $f(y) = \|y\|^{p-1} y$ with $p > 1$. The control function $u(\cdot)$ is taken from the set

$$\mathcal{U}_{ad} = \{u : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M \mid u \text{ is Lebesgue measurable and} \\ \|u(t)\| \leq \rho_0 \text{ a. e. } t \in [0, +\infty)\}.$$

Here ρ_0 is an arbitrary but fixed positive constant, and we use the notation $\|\cdot\|$ to denote the Euclidean norm.

The initial value problem (1.1.1) has, corresponding to each initial data y_0 in \mathbb{R}^N and each control $u(\cdot)$ in the set \mathcal{U}_{ad} , a unique solution, denoted by $y(\cdot; y_0, u)$, on its maximal interval of existence, denoted by $[0, T(y_0, u))$.

If $T(y_0, u) < +\infty$, then the solution of the system (1.1.1) blows up at $T(y_0, u)$, namely, $\| y(t; y_0, u) \| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow T(y_0, u)$.

We shall consider the following time optimal control problem:

$$(P) \quad \min T(y_0, u).$$

The target set of the problem (P) is $\{\infty\}$.

If $y_0 \neq 0$, then there exists a number $t^* \in (0, +\infty)$ such that

$$t^* = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} T(y_0, u).$$

We call the number t^* the optimal time; the control u^* in the set \mathcal{U}_{ad} with $T(y_0, u^*) = t^*$ the optimal control; the function $y(\cdot; y_0, u^*)$, $t \in [0, T(y_0, u^*)]$ the optimal state.

1.2 Extension

The results obtained in this study about the problem (P) hold for the same time optimal control problems with more generic state systems in which the nonlinear term $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is continuously differentiable and holds the following properties:
(a) There exist two positive constants C_1 and p with $p > 1$ such that

$$\frac{\langle y, f(y) \rangle}{\|y\|^{p+1}} \rightarrow C_1 \text{ as } \|y\| \rightarrow +\infty;$$

(b) There exists a positive constant C_2 such that

$$\|f(y)\| \leq C_2(1 + \|y\|^p), \text{ for each } y \in \mathbb{R}^n;$$

(c) There exists a positive number C_3 such that

$$\| \|f_y(y)\| \| \leq \frac{p \langle y, f(y) \rangle}{\|y\|^2}, \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with } \|y\| \geq C_3.$$

Here $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the operator norm.

1.3 Motivation

Point of view of mathematics. The generic time optimal control problems governed by both ordinary differential equations and partial differential equations have their target sets in the state spaces (see [1], [2]).

[1] V. Barbu, Analysis and Control of Nonlinear Infinite Dimensional System, 1993.

[2] X. Li and J. Yong, Optimal Control Theory for Infinite Dimensional Systems, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1995;

While the time optimal control problem (P) studied here possesses its target set $\{\infty\}$, which is outside of the state space \mathbb{R}^N .

Point of view of applications.

1.4 An mathematical example

Some controls will make the blowup time $T(y_0, u)$ ahead of $T(y_0, 0)$.

For example, consider a special case:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy(t)}{dt} = |y(t)|y(t) + u(t), & t > 0, \\ y(0) = 1. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that

$$y(t; 1, 0) = 1/(1 - t), \quad t \in [0, 1);$$

$$y(t; 1, 1) = \tan(t + \pi/4), \quad t \in [0, \pi/4).$$

Hence, we have $T(1, 1) = \pi/4 < 1 = T(1, 0)$.

1.5 Main Results

P. Lin, G. Wang, Blowup time optimal control for ordinary differential equations, SIAM J. Control Optim., Vol. 49, No. 1, pp. 73 - 105.

The existence of the optimal control for the problem (P) .

Theorem 1.5.1 Suppose that the initial data y_0 is a non-zero vector in \mathbb{R}^N . Then the problem (P) has at least one optimal control.

The Pontryagin maximum principle for the problem (P) .

Theorem 1.5.2 Let $K_0 = (\text{esssup}_{s \in \mathbb{R}^+} \|B(s)\| \rho_0)^{1/p}$. Suppose that the initial data y_0 has the following property: $\|y_0\| > K_0$. Then Pontryagin's maximum principle holds for the problem (P) . Namely, if t^* is the optimal time, u^* is an optimal control and y^* is the corresponding optimal state for the problem (P) , then there is a nontrivial function $\psi(\cdot)$ in the space $C([0, t^*]; \mathbb{R}^N)$ holding the following properties:

$$\begin{cases} \psi(t) = \int_t^{t^*} f_y(y^*(\tau)) \psi(\tau) d\tau, & \text{for all } t \in [0, t^*), \\ \psi(t^*) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\max_{\|u\|_M \leq \rho_0} \langle \psi(t), B(t)u \rangle = \langle \psi(t), B(t)u^*(t) \rangle, \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, t^*].$$

Corollary 1.5.3 Let $B(t) \equiv B$, for all $t \in R^+$, where B is a matrix of $N \times M$. Suppose that the initial data y_0 has the following property: $0 < \|y_0\| \leq K_0$. Assume that t^* is the optimal time, u^* is an optimal control and y^* is the corresponding optimal state for the problem (P) . Then there exist a number $s^* \in (0, t^*)$, a non-zero vector ψ_0 in \mathbb{R}^N and two nontrivial functions $\psi_1(\cdot) \in C([0, s^*]; \mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\psi_2(\cdot) \in C([s^*, t^*]; \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \psi_1(t) = \int_t^{s^*} f_y(y^*(\tau))\psi_1(\tau)d\tau, & \text{for all } t \in [0, s^*], \\ \psi_1(s^*) = \psi_0, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \psi_2(t) = \int_t^{t^*} f_y(y^*(\tau))\psi_2(\tau)d\tau, & \text{for all } t \in [s^*, t^*), \\ \psi_2(t^*) = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\max_{\|u\|_M \leq \rho_0} \langle \psi(t), Bu \rangle = \langle \psi(t), Bu^*(t) \rangle, \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, t^*],$$

$$\text{where } \psi(t) = \begin{cases} \psi_1(t), & t \in [0, s^*], \\ \psi_2(t), & t \in (s^*, t^*]. \end{cases}$$

1.6 Comparison with a reference

[1] E.N. Barron and W. Liu, Optimal control of the blowup time, SIAM J. Control Optim, (1996).

The differences between the current work and the work [1] are as follows:

(i) the work [1] is concerned with the optimal control to maximize the blowup time while our work deals with the optimal control to minimize the blowup time.

(ii) the state systems are different. Due to the involvement of the function $B(\cdot)$ in the state equation of the initial value problem (1.1.1), the state system for the problem (P) can not be covered by the state systems in [1].

(iii) our work gives the existence of optimal controls while the work [1] did not discuss the existence of the optimal controls.

(iv) the ways to derive the Pontryagin maximum principles are totally different.

1.7 Main ideas of the proof

The main difficulty is caused by the fact that the target set in the current case is outside of the state space, and thus one can not directly use the methods in dealing with the general time optimal control problems, where the target sets are in the state space, to study this problem.

Idea of the proof of the existence of the time optimal control.

Step 1. Construct an approximate problem.

Let R be a number such that $R > \|y_0\|$. Write $B_R(0) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid \|y\| \leq R\}$. We denote by $\partial B_R(0)$ the boundary of $B_R(0)$. Define J_R be a map from \mathcal{U}_{ad} to $\overline{R^+} \equiv [0, +\infty]$ by

$$J_R(u(\cdot)) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : y(t; y_0, u) \in \partial B_R(0)\}.$$

Consider the following approximate time optimal control problem:

(P_R) Find $u_R \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ such that

$$J_R(u_R(\cdot)) = \inf_{u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} J_R(u(\cdot)) =: t_R.$$

Step 2. We prove the existence of solutions to the problem (P_R) for each R with $R > \|y_0\|$.

Step 3. Firstly, we prove that there exists two positive constants t^* , $T_0 > t^*$ and a control $u^* \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ such that

$$t_R \rightarrow t^*, \text{ as } R \rightarrow +\infty$$

and there is a sequence of numbers $\{R_n\}$ with $R_n \rightarrow +\infty$ such that for $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$u_{R_n} \rightharpoonup u^* \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty((0, T_0); R^M).$$

Secondly, we prove that t^* is the optimal time and u^* is the optimal control of the problem (P) .

The following lemmas play important roles in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 1.7.1 There exists a positive constant K_1 such that for each number K with $K > K_1$, we have that the solution for each initial data y_0 with $\|y_0\| \geq K$,

$$\|\xi(t; t_0, y_0, u)\| \geq K, \quad t \in [t_0, T(t_0; y_0, u)).$$

Here $\xi(\cdot; t_0, y_0, u)$ is the solution of the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\xi(t)}{dt} = f(\xi(t)) + B(t)u(t), & t > t_0, \\ \xi(t_0) = y_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.7.1)$$

and $[t_0, T(t_0; y_0, u))$ is the maximal interval of existence for the solution $\xi(\cdot; t_0, y_0, u)$.

Lemma 1.7.2 Let y_0 be a vector in \mathbb{R}^N . Then for each control $u(\cdot)$ in the set \mathcal{U}_{ad} and for each time t in the interval $[0, T(y_0, u))$,

$$\| y(t; y_0, u) \| \leq \max \left\{ 2^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(\frac{1}{p-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} (T(y_0, u) - t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}, 2K_1 \right\},$$

where K_1 is the constant given in Lemma 2.1.

Now, let $t_0 \geq 0$, $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\varphi(\cdot) \in L^\infty((t_0, +\infty); \mathbb{R}^N)$. Consider the system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\xi(t)}{dt} = f(\xi(t)) + \varphi(t), & t > t_0, \\ \xi(t_0) = y_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.7.2)$$

We have the following lemma:

Lemma 1.7.3 Let $[t_0, t_1]$ be a closed interval with $0 \leq t_0 < t_1$. Let $\varphi(\cdot)$ and $\{\varphi_k(\cdot)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be an element and a bounded sequence in $L^\infty((t_0, +\infty); \mathbb{R}^N)$, respectively. Suppose that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t_0}^t \varphi_k(\tau) d\tau = \int_{t_0}^t \varphi(\tau) d\tau, \quad \text{for each } t \in [t_0, t_1].$$

Furthermore, assume that the solution $\xi(\cdot; t_0, y_0, \varphi)$ exists on the interval $[t_0, t_1]$. Then there is a natural number k_0 such that for each k with $k \geq k_0$, the solution $\xi(\cdot; t_0, y_0, \varphi_k)$ to the system (1.7.2) exists on the interval $[t_0, t_1]$.

Idea of the proof of the maximum principle.

Step 1. To set up a penalty functional.

If $\lim_{t \rightarrow t^*} \|y(t; y_0, u)\| = +\infty$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow t^*} \frac{1}{\|y(t; y_0, u)\|} = 0$.

Let T be a fixed constant with $T > t^*$. Write $\mathcal{U}[0, T] = \{u|_{[0, T]} \mid u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}\}$. We introduce the Ekeland distance \bar{d} over the set $\mathcal{U}[0, T]$, which is given by

$$\bar{d} = \text{meas}\{t \in [0, T] \mid u(t) \neq v(t)\}.$$

For each number ε with $0 < \varepsilon < t^*$, we define a penalty functional $J_\varepsilon : (\mathcal{U}[0, T], \bar{d}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ by

$$J_\varepsilon(u(\cdot)) = \frac{1}{(p-1) \|y(t^* - \varepsilon; y_0, u)\|^{p-1}} \equiv \sigma(\varepsilon).$$

By Ekeland's variational principle, for each number ε with $0 < \varepsilon < t^*$, there is a control $u^\varepsilon(\cdot)$ in the set $\mathcal{U}[0, T]$ such that the following hold:

$$\begin{cases} \bar{d}(\bar{u}(\cdot), u^\varepsilon(\cdot)) \leq \sqrt{\sigma(\varepsilon)}, \\ -\sqrt{\sigma(\varepsilon)} \bar{d}(\tilde{u}(\cdot), u^\varepsilon(\cdot)) \leq J_\varepsilon(\tilde{u}(\cdot)) - J_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(\cdot)), \quad \forall \tilde{u}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0, T]. \end{cases}$$

Step 2. To get certain approximate necessary conditions for each control u_ε .

Let the function $\psi^\varepsilon(\cdot)$ be the solution of the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\psi^\varepsilon(t)}{dt} = -f_y(y(t; y_0, u^\varepsilon))\psi^\varepsilon(t), & t \in [0, t^* - \varepsilon], \\ \psi^\varepsilon(t^* - \varepsilon) = \frac{y(t^* - \varepsilon; y_0, u^\varepsilon)}{\|y(t^* - \varepsilon; y_0, u^\varepsilon)\|^{p+1}}. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$\int_0^{t^* - \varepsilon} \langle \psi^\varepsilon(\tau), B(\tau)(u(\tau) - u^\varepsilon(\tau)) \rangle d\tau \leq \sqrt{\sigma(\varepsilon)}T.$$

Step 3. To get certain estimate for the functions $\psi^\varepsilon(\cdot)$.

We obtain that

$$\|\psi^\varepsilon(t)\| \leq C, \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, t^* - \varepsilon],$$

where C is a positive constant independent of ε .

Step 4. To get the convergence of a subsequence for the set of the functions $\{\psi^\varepsilon(\cdot)\}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

We obtain that there exists a subsequence $\{\psi^{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot)\}$ of the set of the functions $\{\psi^\varepsilon(\cdot)\}$ and a function $\psi(\cdot)$ which is continuous on the interval $[0, t^*]$ and $\psi(t^*) = 0$, such that

$$\psi(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \psi^{\varepsilon_n}(t), \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, t^*].$$

Step 5. To prove that

$$\|y(t^* - \varepsilon; y_0, u^\varepsilon)\| \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Step 6. To get the Pontryagin maximum principle of optimal controls for the problem (P) by taking the limit in the approximate necessary conditions as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Combining Step 2, Step 4 with Step 5, we obtain the maximum principle for the problem (P) , namely, Theorem 1.2.

2 Case of PDE

2.1 Introduction and Motivation

Consider the following partial differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} y_t - \Delta y = |y|^{p-1}y + g, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ y = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ y(x, 0) = y_0(x), & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.1.1)$$

Here Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. $y_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $p > 1$, $g \in L^\infty(0, +\infty; L^\nu(\Omega))$ with

$$\nu > \max \{2, (2p + 4)/(3p - 3)\}.$$

We call $y(\cdot)$ to be a solution of equation (2.1) on $[0, T)$ if

$$(a) \ y \in C([0, T); H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)), \ y_t \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, T)),$$

and for each $t \in [0, T)$,

$$(b) \ y(t) = S(t)y_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)|y(s)|^{p-1}y(s)ds + \int_0^t S(t-s)g(s)ds.$$

Here, $S(\cdot)$ denotes the semigroup generated by the operator $A = -\Delta$ with $D(A) = H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$. It is proved that when p satisfies certain conditions, y_0 is in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and g belongs to $L^\infty(0, +\infty; L^\nu(\Omega))$, equation (2.1.1) has a unique solution on the its maximal interval of existence. We denote this solution by $y(\cdot ; y_0, g)$ and write $[0, T_{max}(y_0, g))$ for its maximal interval of existence.

Let $\rho_0 > 0$. We define

$$G(\rho_0) = \{g \in L^\infty(0, +\infty; L^\nu(\Omega)) : \|g\|_{L^\infty(0, +\infty; L^\nu(\Omega))} \leq \rho_0\}.$$

For each $z_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, set

$$E(z_0) = \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla z_0|^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} |z_0|^{p+1} \right] dx, \quad z_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

$$C_*(z_0) = \frac{\rho_1^2(p+1)}{(p-1)^2} |\Omega|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \|z_0\|_2^{1-p} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} |\Omega|^{\frac{p-1}{2p}} \rho_1^{\frac{p+1}{p}},$$

and

$$C^*(z_0) = \frac{\rho_1^2(p+1)}{(p-1)^2} |\Omega|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{\|z_0\|_2}{2} \right)^{1-p} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} |\Omega|^{\frac{p-1}{2p}} \rho_1^{\frac{p+1}{p}}, .$$

Here $|\Omega|$ denotes the measure of Ω and

$$\rho_1 \equiv \rho_0 |\Omega|^{(\nu-2)/2\nu}.$$

We define

$$Y_*(\rho_0) = \{z_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) : E(z_0) \leq -C_*(z_0)\}$$

and

$$Y^*(\rho_0) = \{z_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) : E(z_0) \leq -C^*(z_0)\}.$$

The main purpose of this paper is to study certain uniform properties for solutions $y(\cdot ; y_0, g)$ with respect to $g \in G(\rho_0)$ and $y_0 \in Y_*(\rho_0)$ (or $y_0 \in Y^*(\rho_0)$), and to provide some application of these properties.

2.2 Main Results

P. Lin, G. Wang, Some Properties for Blowup parabolic equations and their application. (preprint)

Theorem 2.2.1 Suppose that $1 < p < \min(3, 4/n + 1)$, $n \leq 4$; $1 < p \leq \frac{n}{n-2}$, $n > 4$. Let $\rho_0 > 0$. Let $y_0 \in Y_*(\rho_0)$ and $g \in G(\rho_0)$ be such that $y(\cdot ; y_0, g)$ exists on $[0, T_0]$. Assume that $\{y_{0k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in Y_*(\rho_0)$ satisfies

$$y_{0k} \rightarrow y_0 \text{ strongly in } H_0^1(\Omega), \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty;$$

and that $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset G(\rho_0)$ satisfies

$$g_k \rightharpoonup g \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty((0, T_0); L^\nu(\Omega)) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then there is a natural number k_0 such that for each k with $k \geq k_0$, the solution $y(\cdot ; y_0, g_k)$ exists on the interval $[0, T_0]$.

Theorem 2.2.2 Suppose that Ω is convex and that $1 < p < \min(3, 4/n + 1)$, $n \leq 4$; $1 < p \leq \frac{n}{n-2}$, $n > 4$. Let $\rho_0 > 0$ and $y_0 \in Y^*(\rho_0)$. Then there is a $C > 0$, (depending on y_0 and ρ_0), such that for each $t \in [0, T_{max}(y_0, g))$,

$$\| y(t; y_0, g) \|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C(T_{max}(y_0, g) - t)^{-(p+1)/[2(p-1)]},$$

for all $g \in G(\rho_0)$.

Let $\rho_0 > 0$. We set

$$\mathcal{U}_{ad} = \{u \in L^\infty(0, +\infty; L^\nu(\Omega)); \|u\|_{L^\infty(0, +\infty; L^\nu(\Omega))} \leq \rho_0\}.$$

Consider the following time optimal control problem:

$$(P) \quad t^* = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} \{T_{max}(y_0, \chi_\omega u)\}.$$

t^* is called the optimal time; a control holding the property: $T_{max}(y_0, \chi_\omega u^*) = t^*$, is called an optimal control; and the solution $y(\cdot; y_0, \chi_\omega u^*)$ is called the optimal state.

Theorem 2.2.3 Suppose that Ω is convex and that $1 < p < \min(3, 4/n + 1)$, $n \leq 4$; $1 < p \leq \frac{n}{n-2}$, $n > 4$. Assume that $y_0 \in Y^*(\rho_0)$. Then the problem (P) has at least one optimal control.

Let R be a number such that $R > \|y_0\|_2$. Write $B_R(0) = \{y \in L^2(\Omega); \|y\|_2 \leq R\}$. We denote by $\partial \overline{B_R(0)}$ the boundary of $B_R(0)$. Define J_R be a map from \mathcal{U}_{ad} to $\overline{R^+} \equiv [0, +\infty]$ by

$$J_R(u) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : y(t; y_0, u) \in \partial \overline{B_R(0)}\}.$$

Consider the following approximate time optimal control problem:

(P_R) Find $u_R \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ such that

$$J_R(u_R) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} J_R(u) =: t_R.$$

We have the theorem on the approximate necessary conditions for the problem (P) as follows.

Theorem 2.2.4 Suppose that Ω is convex and that $1 < p < \min(3, 4/n + 1)$, $n \leq 4$; $1 < p \leq \frac{n}{n-2}$, $n > 4$. Assume that $y_0 \in Y^*(\rho_0)$. Then the Pontryagin's maximum principle holds for the problem (P_R) with $R > \|y_0\|_2$. Namely, if t_R is the optimal time, u_R is an optimal control and y_R is the corresponding optimal state for the problem (P_R) with $R > \|y_0\|_2$, then there is a nontrivial function ψ holding the following properties:

$$\begin{cases} \psi_t + \Delta\psi = -p|y_R|^{p-1}\psi, & x \in \Omega, t \in [0, t_R], \\ \psi(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t \in [0, t_R], \\ \psi(x, t_R) = y_R(x, t_R) / \|y_R(x, t_R)\|_2, & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\max_{\|u\|_V \leq \rho_0} \langle \psi(t), \chi_\omega u \rangle = \langle \psi(t), \chi_\omega u_R(t) \rangle, \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, t_R].$$

Moreover, for a.e. $t \in [0, t_R]$, we have

$$\left\langle -\frac{y_R(t)}{\|y_R(t)\|_2}, \Delta y_R(t) + |y_R(t)|^{p-1} y_R(t) + \chi_\omega u_R(t) \right\rangle \geq 0.$$

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product of the space $L^2(\Omega)$.

Write

$$\tilde{u}_R = \begin{cases} u_R, & t \in [0, t_R], \\ 0, & t \in [t_R, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

Then, it holds that

$$T(y_0, \tilde{u}_R) \rightarrow t^*, \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proposition 2.3.1 Suppose that $p > 1$, $n = 1, 2$; $1 < p \leq \frac{n}{n-2}$, $n \geq 3$. Let $y_0 \in Y_*(y_0)$ and $g \in G(\rho_0)$. Then, it holds that

$$T_{max}(y_0, g) \leq \tilde{T}_{max} < +\infty.$$

Here,

$$\tilde{T}_{max} = \tilde{T}_{max}(\Omega, p, y_0) = \frac{2(p+1)}{(p-1)^2} |\Omega|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \|y_0\|_2^{1-p}.$$

It further stands that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_{max}(y_0, u)} \|y(t; y_0, g)\|_{p+1} = +\infty.$$

Proposition 2.3.2 Suppose that $1 < p < \min(3, 4/n + 1)$, $n \leq 4$; $1 < p \leq \frac{n}{n-2}$, $n > 4$. Let $y_0 \in C_*(y_0)$ and $g \in G(\rho_0)$. Then it holds that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_{max}(y_0, g)} \| y(t; y_0, g) \|_2 = +\infty.$$

Similarity variable:

$$w_a(z, s) = (T - t)^\beta y(x, t),$$

where

$$x - a = (T - t)^{1/2} z, \quad T - t = e^{-s}, \quad \beta = 1/(p - 1)$$

$$(z, s) \in W_a = \{(z, s); s > s_0, e^{-s/2} z + a \in \Omega\},$$

and

$$s_0 = -\log T.$$

w_a satisfies the equation:

$$\partial_s w_a - \Delta w_a + \frac{1}{2} z \cdot \nabla w_a + \beta w_a - |w_a|^{p-1} w_a = e^{-s\beta - s} \hat{h}(z, s), \quad (z, s) \in W_a.$$

The existence of the optimal control for the problem (P) .

Idea of the proof of Theorem 2.2.3

Step 1. Construct an approximate problem.

Let R be a number such that $R > \|y_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Write $B_R(0) = \{y \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \|y\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq R\}$. We denote by $\partial B_R(0)$ the boundary of $B_R(0)$. Define J_R be a map from \mathcal{U}_{ad} to $\overline{R^+} \equiv [0, +\infty]$ by

$$J_R(u(\cdot)) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : y(t; y_0, u) \in \partial B_R(0)\}.$$

Consider the following approximate time optimal control problem:

(P_R) Find $u_R \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ such that

$$J_R(u_R(\cdot)) = \inf_{u(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} J_R(u(\cdot)) =: t_R.$$

Step 2. We prove the existence of solutions to the problem (P_R) for each R with $R > \|y_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

Step 3. Firstly, we prove that there exists two positive constants t^* , T and a control $u^* \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ such that

$$t_R \rightarrow t^*, \text{ as } R \rightarrow +\infty$$

and there is a sequence of numbers $\{R_n\}$ with $R_n \rightarrow +\infty$ such that for $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$u_{R_n} \rightharpoonup u^* \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty((0, T); L^\nu(\Omega)).$$

Secondly, we prove that t^* is the optimal time and u^* is the optimal control of the problem (P) .

The approximate maximum principle of the optimal control for the problem (P) .

Idea of the proof of Theorem 2.2.4

Step 1. To set up a penalty functional.

Let T be a fixed constant with $T > t_R$. Write $\mathcal{U}[0, T] = \{u|_{[0, T]} \mid u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}\}$. We introduce the Ekeland distance \bar{d} over the set $\mathcal{U}[0, T]$, which is given by

$$\bar{d} = \text{meas}\{t \in [0, T] \mid u(t) \neq v(t)\}.$$

For each number ε with $0 < \varepsilon < t_R$, we define a penalty functional $J_\varepsilon : (\mathcal{U}[0, T], \bar{d}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ by

$$J_\varepsilon(u(\cdot)) = d_{\partial B_R(0)}(y(t_R - \varepsilon; y_0, u_\varepsilon)).$$

By Ekeland's variational principle, for each number ε with $0 < \varepsilon < t_R$, there is a control $u^\varepsilon(\cdot)$ in the set $\mathcal{U}[0, T]$ such that the following hold:

$$\begin{cases} \bar{d}(\bar{u}(\cdot), u^\varepsilon(\cdot)) \leq \sqrt{\sigma(\varepsilon)}, \\ -\sqrt{\sigma(\varepsilon)} \bar{d}(\tilde{u}(\cdot), u^\varepsilon(\cdot)) \leq J_\varepsilon(\tilde{u}(\cdot)) - J_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(\cdot)), \quad \forall \tilde{u}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}[0, T]. \end{cases}$$

Thank You