

On the bang-bang property of time optimal controls for infinite dimensional linear systems

Marius Tucsnak

Université de Lorraine

Paris, 6 janvier 2012

Notation:

- X (the state space) and U (the input space) are complex Hilbert spaces
- $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{T}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup on X generated by A .
- X_1 is $\mathcal{D}(A)$ equipped with the graph norm, while X_{-1} is the completion of X with respect to $\|z\|_{-1} := \|(\beta I - A)^{-1}z\|$.
- The semigroup \mathbb{T} can be extended to X_{-1} , and then its generator is an extension of A , defined on X .
- $B \in \mathcal{L}(U; X_{-1})$ be a control operator and let $u \in L^2([0, \infty), U)$ be an input function.

Notation and problem statement (II)

We consider the system $\dot{z}(t) = Az(t) + Bu(t)$ ($t \geq 0$).

$u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad} = \{u \in L^\infty([0, \infty), U) \mid \|u(t)\| \leq 1 \text{ a. e. in } [0, \infty)\}$.

The state trajectory is $z(t) = \mathbb{T}_t z(0) + \Phi_t u$, where

$\Phi_t \in \mathcal{L}(L^2([0, \infty), U); X_{-1})$, $\Phi_t u = \int_0^t \mathbb{T}_{t-\sigma} B u(\sigma) d\sigma$.

Assume that $z_0, z_1 \in X$ are s.t. there exists $u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ and $\tau > 0$ s.t. $z_1 = \mathbb{T}_\tau z_0 + \Phi_\tau u$ (z_1 reachable from z_0).

Problem statement: Determine

$$\tau^*(z_0, z_1) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} \{\tau \mid z_1 = \mathbb{T}_\tau z_0 + \Phi_\tau u\},$$

and the corresponding control u^* .

Bibliography(I)

- Fattorini, H. O.: *Time-optimal control of solutions of operational differential equations*, J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math. Control, 1964.
- Fattorini, H. O.: *A remark on the "bang-bang" principle for linear control systems in infinite-dimensional space*, SIAM J. Control, 1968.
- Schmidt, G.: *The "bang-bang" principle for the time-optimal problem in boundary control of the heat equation*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 1980.
- Mizel, V. J. and Seidman, T. I.: *An abstract bang-bang principle and time-optimal boundary control of the heat equation*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 1997.
- Wang, G. and Wang, L., *The bang-bang principle of time optimal controls for the heat equation with internal controls*, Systems Control Lett., 2007.

Bibliography (II)

- Phung, K. D., Wang, G., Zhang, X.: *On the existence of time optimal controls for linear evolution equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B, 2007.
- Wang, G.: *L^∞ -null controllability for the heat equation and its consequences for the time optimal control problem*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 2008.
- Phung, K. D., Wang, G.: *An observability for parabolic equations from a measurable set in time*, eprint arXiv:1109.3863, 2011.

Books:

- Lions J.-L.: *Contrôle optimal de systèmes gouvernés par des équations aux dérivées partielles*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1968.
- Fattorini, H. O.: *Infinite dimensional linear control systems. The time optimal and norm optimal problems*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 201, Amsterdam, 2005.

- The finite dimensional case
- A class of exactly controllable systems
- Systems which are L^∞ null controllable over measurable sets
- Comments and open questions

The finite dimensional case

Assume that $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, $U = \mathbb{R}^m$.

Theorem 1 (Maximum Principle, Bellman et al. (1956))

Let $u^*(t)$ be the time optimal control, defined on $[0, \tau^*]$. Then there exists $z \in X$, $z \neq 0$ such that

$$\langle B^* \mathbb{T}_{\tau^*-t}^* z, u^*(t) \rangle = \max_{\|u\| \leq 1} \langle B^* \mathbb{T}_{\tau^*-t}^* z, u \rangle$$

Corollary 1

If (A, B) controllable then the time optimal control u^* is bang-bang, in the sense that

$$\|u^*(t)\| = 1 \quad (t \in [0, \tau^*] \text{ a.e.})$$

Moreover, the time optimal control is unique.

Exactly controllable systems(I)

Assume that $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X)$ and that (A, B) is exactly controllable in any time $\tau > 0$.

Proposition 1 (Lohéac and M.T., 2011)

Let $u^*(t)$ be the time optimal control, defined on $[0, \tau^*]$. Then there exists $z \in X$, $z \neq 0$ such that

$$\langle B^* \mathbb{T}_{\tau^*-t}^* z, u^*(t) \rangle = \max_{\|u\| \leq 1} \langle B^* \mathbb{T}_{\tau^*-t}^* z, u \rangle$$

Corollary 2

Assume that (A, B) is approximatively controllable from sets of positive measure. Then

$$\|u^*(t)\| = 1 \quad (t \in [0, \tau^*] \quad a.e.)$$

Moreover, the time optimal control is unique.

Exactly controllable systems(II): Idea of the proof

- For each $\tau > 0$, we endow X with the norm

$$|||z||| = \inf \{ \|u\|_{L^\infty([0,\tau],U)} \mid \Phi_\tau u = z \}.$$

Note that $|||\cdot|||$ is equivalent with the original norm $\|\cdot\|$.

- For $\tau > 0$ we set

$$B^\infty(\tau) = \{ \Phi_\tau u \mid \|u\|_{L^\infty([0,\tau],U)} \leq 1 \},$$

and we show that if (τ^*, u^*) is an optimal pair then $\Phi_{\tau^*} u^* \in \partial B^\infty(\tau^*)$.

- Using the fact that $B^\infty(\tau^*)$ has a non empty interior, we apply a geometric version of the Hahn-Banach theorem to get the conclusion.

Exactly controllable systems(III): the Schrödinger equation

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ a rectangular domain;

\mathcal{O} a non-empty open subset of Ω .

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(x, t) = i\Delta z(x, t) + u(x, t)\chi_{\mathcal{O}} \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

$$z(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\| \leq 1 \quad \text{a.e..}$$

Proposition 2

The above system is approximatively controllable with controls supported in any set of positive measure $E \subset [0, \infty)$.

Corollary 3

Time optimal controls are bang-bang, i.e., $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} = 1$ a.e.

L^∞ null controllability over measurable sets(I)

Take $U = L^2(\Gamma)$ where Γ is a compact manifold.

Definition 1

Let $\tau > 0$, $e \subset \Gamma \times [0, \tau]$ a set of positive measure. The pair (A, B) is said *L^∞ null controllable in time τ over e* if, for every $z_0 \in X$, there exists $u \in L^\infty(\Gamma \times [0, \tau])$ (null control) such that

$$\mathbb{T}_\tau z_0 + \int_0^\tau \mathbb{T}_{\tau-s} B \chi_e(s) u(s) ds = 0$$

where χ_e is the characteristic function of e .

If (A, B) is L^∞ null controllable in time τ over e then the quantity

$$C_{\tau,e} := \sup_{\|z_0\|=1} \inf \{ \|u\|_{L^\infty(e)} \mid u \text{ null control for } z_0 \} \quad (1)$$

is called the *control cost in time τ over e* .

Proposition 3

Let $e \subset \Gamma \times [0, \tau]$ be a set of positive measure and $K_{\tau,e} > 0$. The following two properties are equivalent

- 1 The inequality

$$\|\mathbb{T}_\tau^* \varphi\| \leq K_{\tau,e} \int_0^\tau \|\chi_e B^* \mathbb{T}_\sigma^* \varphi\|_{L^1(\Gamma)} d\sigma \quad (2)$$

holds for any $\varphi \in X$, where $e' = \{(x, \tau - t) \mid (x, t) \in e\}$.

- 2 The pair (A, B) is L^∞ null controllable in time τ over e at cost not larger than $K_{\tau,e}$.

L^∞ null controllability over measurable sets(III): main result

Theorem 2 (Mizel and Seidman (1997), G. Wang (2008), Micu, Roventa and M.T. (2011))

Assume that the pair (A, B) is L^∞ null controllable in time τ over e for every $\tau > 0$ and for every set of positive measure $e \subset \Gamma \times [0, \tau]$. Then, for every $z_0 \in X$ and $z_1 \in \mathcal{R}(z_0, \mathcal{U}_{ad})$, the time optimal problem has a unique solution u^ which is bang-bang.*

Proof of Theorem 2:

- **Existence:** Consider a minimizing sequence $(z_n, \tau_n)_{n \geq 1}$ where $\tau_n \rightarrow \tau^*$ and z_n is a controlled solution and pass to the limit.

Proof of Theorem 2:

- **Existence:** Consider a minimizing sequence $(z_n, \tau_n)_{n \geq 1}$ where $\tau_n \rightarrow \tau^*$ and z_n is a controlled solution and pass to the limit.
- **Bang-bang property:** Suppose that $|u^*(x, t)| < 1 - \varepsilon$ for $(x, t) \in e \subset \Gamma \times [0, \tau^*]$ where e is a set of positive measure. From the L^∞ controllability over e property it follows that there exists an L^∞ null control $v \in L^\infty(\Gamma \times [0, \tau^*])$ such that
 - $\text{supp}(v) \subset e$ and $\|v\|_{L^\infty(e)} < \varepsilon$
 - v drives $z^*(\delta)$ to 0 in time $\tau^* - \delta$.

It follows that $u(t) = u^*(t + \delta) + v(t + \delta)$ drives z_0 to z_1 in time $\tau^* - \delta$. Contradiction!

Proof of Theorem 2:

- **Existence:** Consider a minimizing sequence $(z_n, \tau_n)_{n \geq 1}$ where $\tau_n \rightarrow \tau^*$ and z_n is a controlled solution and pass to the limit.
- **Bang-bang property:** Suppose that $|u^*(x, t)| < 1 - \varepsilon$ for $(x, t) \in e \subset \Gamma \times [0, \tau^*]$ where e is a set of positive measure. From the L^∞ controllability over e property it follows that there exists an L^∞ null control $v \in L^\infty(\Gamma \times [0, \tau^*])$ such that

- $\text{supp}(v) \subset e$ and $\|v\|_{L^\infty(e)} < \varepsilon$
- v drives $z^*(\delta)$ to 0 in time $\tau^* - \delta$.

It follows that $u(t) = u^*(t + \delta) + v(t + \delta)$ drives z_0 to z_1 in time $\tau^* - \delta$. Contradiction!

- **Uniqueness:** If u^* and v^* are optimal time controls then $w^* = \frac{u^* + v^*}{2}$ is also an optimal time control.

$$|u^*(x, t)| = |v^*(x, t)| = |w^*(x, t)| = 1 \text{ a. e. in } \Gamma \times [0, \tau]$$

$$\Rightarrow u^*(x, t) = v^*(x, t) \text{ a. e. in } \Gamma \times [0, \tau]. \blacksquare$$

Bang-bang boundary controls for the heat equation (I)

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is an open and bounded set

Γ is a non-empty open subset of $\partial\Omega$

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(x, t) = \Delta z(x, t) \text{ for } (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty) \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{cases} z(x, t) = u(x, t) & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, \infty) \\ z(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } (\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma) \times (0, \infty) \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$z(x, 0) = z_0(x) \text{ for } x \in \Omega \quad (5)$$

Bang-bang boundary controls for the heat equation (II): the time optimal control problem

$$\mathcal{U}_{ad} = \{u \in L^\infty(\Gamma \times [0, \infty)) \mid |u(x, t)| \leq 1 \text{ a. e. in } \Gamma \times [0, \infty)\}.$$

$$\mathcal{R}(z_0, \mathcal{U}_{ad}) = \{z(\tau) \mid \tau > 0 \text{ and } z \text{ solution of (3)-(5) with } u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}\}.$$

Given $z_0 \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and $z_1 \in \mathcal{R}(z_0, \mathcal{U}_{ad})$, *the time optimal control problem* for (3)-(5) consists in:

- determining $u^* \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ such that the corresponding solution z^* of (3)-(5) satisfies

$$z^*(\tau^*(z_0, z_1)) = z_1, \quad (6)$$

- where the control time $\tau^*(z_0, z_1)$ is

$$\tau^*(z_0, z_1) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}} \{\tau \mid z(\cdot, \tau) = z_1\}. \quad (7)$$

Theorem 3 (Micu, Roventa and M.T. (2011))

Let $m \geq 2$. Suppose that Ω is a rectangular domain in \mathbb{R}^m and that Γ is a nonempty open set of $\partial\Omega$. Then, for every $z_0 \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and $z_1 \in \mathcal{R}(z_0, \mathcal{U}_{ad})$, there exists a unique solution u^* of the time optimal control problem (7). This solution u^* has the bang-bang property:

$$|u^*(x, t)| = 1 \quad \text{a. e. in } \Gamma \times [0, \tau^*(z_0, z_1)]. \quad (8)$$

Bang-bang boundary controls for the heat equation (IV):

Main steps of the proof

Let A (respectively \mathbb{T}) be the Dirichlet Laplacian (respectively the heat semigroup) in $X = L^2(\Omega)$.

We know that there exists an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ of A and corresponding family of eigenvalues $\{-\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1}$, where the sequence $\{\lambda_k\}$ is positive, non decreasing and satisfies $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$ as k tends to infinity.

For $\eta > 0$ we denote by

$$V_\eta = \text{Span} \{ \varphi_k \mid \lambda_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \eta \}.$$

Bang-bang boundary controls for the heat equation (V): A version of the Lebeau-Robbiano method

Proposition 4

Let $\tau > 0$ and let $e \subset \Gamma \times [0, \tau]$ be a set of positive measure. Assume $B \in \mathcal{L}(U, X_{-1/2})$. Moreover, assume that there exist positive constants d_0, d_1 and d_2 such that for every $\eta > 0$ and $[s, t] \subset (0, 1)$ we have that, for any $\varphi \in V_\eta$,

$$\|\mathbb{T}_\tau^* \varphi\| \leq d_0 e^{d_1 \eta \ln\left(\frac{1}{\mu(\mathcal{E})}\right) + \frac{d_2}{\mu(\mathcal{E})}} \int_0^\tau \|\chi_{\mathcal{E}'} B^* \mathbb{T}_s^* \varphi\|_{L^1(\Gamma)} ds, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathcal{E} = (e \cap \Gamma) \times [s, t]$ and $\mathcal{E}' = \{(x, \tau - t) \mid (x, t) \in \mathcal{E}\}$. Then the pair (A, B) is L^∞ null controllable in time τ over e .

Bang-bang boundary controls for the heat equation (VI): Proof of Theorem 3

From **Proposition 4**, a sufficient condition for existence, uniqueness and bang-bang property of time optimal controls is the inequality:

$$\|\mathbb{T}_\tau^* \varphi\| \leq d_0 e^{d_1 \eta \ln\left(\frac{1}{\mu(\mathcal{E})}\right) + \frac{d_2}{\mu(\mathcal{E})}} \int_0^\tau \|\chi_{\mathcal{E}'} B^* \mathbb{T}_s^* \varphi\|_{L^1(\Gamma)} ds,$$

which, in our particular case, can be written equivalently as

$$\left(\sum_{n^2+m^2 \leq \eta^2} |a_{nm}|^2 e^{-2\tau(n^2+m^2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq d_0 e^{d_1 \eta \ln\left(\frac{1}{\mu(\mathcal{E})}\right) + \frac{d_2}{\mu(\mathcal{E})}}$$
$$\int_{F \cap [s,t]} \int_{e_\sigma} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\sqrt{\eta^2-1}} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\sqrt{\eta^2-n^2}} a_{nm} e^{-(m^2+n^2)\sigma} \right) \sin(nx) \right| dx d\sigma,$$

$F \subset [0, \tau]$ verifies $\mu(F) \geq \frac{\mu(\mathcal{E})}{4\mu(\Gamma)}$ and $\mu(e_\sigma) \geq \frac{\mu(\mathcal{E})}{4\tau}$, $\forall \sigma \in F$.

Bang-bang boundary controls for the heat equation (V): Proof of Theorem 3

Theorem 4 (Nazarov, 1993)

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be a nonnegative integer and $p(x) = \sum_{|k| \leq N} a_k e^{i\nu_k x}$ ($a_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $\nu_k \in \mathbb{R}$) be an exponential polynomial. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a finite interval and E a measurable subset of I of positive measure. Then

$$\sup_{x \in I} |p(x)| \leq \left(\frac{C\mu(I)}{\mu(E)} \right)^{2N} \sup_{x \in E} |p(x)|, \quad (10)$$

where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant.

Bang-bang boundary controls for the heat equation (V): Proof of Theorem 3

Theorem 4 (Nazarov, 1993)

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be a nonnegative integer and $p(x) = \sum_{|k| \leq N} a_k e^{i\nu_k x}$ ($a_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $\nu_k \in \mathbb{R}$) be an exponential polynomial. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a finite interval and E a measurable subset of I of positive measure. Then

$$\sup_{x \in I} |p(x)| \leq \left(\frac{C\mu(I)}{\mu(E)} \right)^{2N} \sup_{x \in E} |p(x)|, \quad (10)$$

where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant.

- Turán (1948): For every subinterval $E \subset I = [-\pi, \pi]$ of length $\mu(E) = 4\pi eL < 2\pi$ and $f(x) := \sum_{|n| \leq N} a_n e^{inx}$, we have

$$\sup_{x \in I} |f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{L^{2N}} \sup_{x \in E} |f(x)|.$$

Bang-bang boundary controls for the heat equation (VI): Proof of Theorem 3

- Nazarov (1993): The interval E is replaced by a measurable set of positive measure.
- Lebeau and Robbiano (1995): Inequality of similar type in which $e^{i\nu_k x}$ are replaced by eigenfunctions of an elliptic operator.

Bang-bang boundary controls for the heat equation (VI): Proof of Theorem 3

- Nazarov (1993): The interval E is replaced by a measurable set of positive measure.
- Lebeau and Robbiano (1995): Inequality of similar type in which $e^{i\nu_k x}$ are replaced by eigenfunctions of an elliptic operator.

Corollary 2

The following inequality holds for any sequence $(a_k)_{|k| \leq N} \subset \mathbb{C}$

$$\left(\sum_{|k| \leq N} |a_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{C^{2N}}{\mu(I)} \left(\frac{2\mu(I)}{\mu(E)} \right)^{2N+1} \int_E \left| \sum_{|k| \leq N} a_k e^{i\nu_k x} \right| dx, \quad (11)$$

where $C > 0$ is the constant from (10).

Bang-bang boundary controls for the heat equation (VII): Proof of Theorem 3

Theorem 5 (Borwein and Erdelyi, 1997, 1998)

Let $\nu_k := k^\theta$, $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, $\theta > 1$. Let $\rho \in (0, 1)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1 - \rho)$ and $\varepsilon \leq 1/2$. Then there exists a constant $c_\theta > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \rho]} |p(t)| \leq \exp\left(c_\theta \varepsilon^{1/(1-\theta)}\right) \sup_{t \in E} |p(t)|,$$

for every $p \in \mathcal{S}_{[0,1]} := \text{Span}\{t^{\nu_1}, t^{\nu_2}, \dots\}$ and for every set $E \subset [\rho, 1]$ of Lebesgue measure at least $\varepsilon > 0$.

Bang-bang boundary controls for the heat equation (VII): Proof of Theorem 3

Theorem 5 (Borwein and Erdelyi, 1997, 1998)

Let $\nu_k := k^\theta$, $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, $\theta > 1$. Let $\rho \in (0, 1)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1 - \rho)$ and $\varepsilon \leq 1/2$. Then there exists a constant $c_\theta > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \rho]} |p(t)| \leq \exp\left(c_\theta \varepsilon^{1/(1-\theta)}\right) \sup_{t \in E} |p(t)|,$$

for every $p \in \mathcal{S}_{[0,1]} := \text{Span}\{t^{\nu_1}, t^{\nu_2}, \dots\}$ and for every set $E \subset [\rho, 1]$ of Lebesgue measure at least $\varepsilon > 0$.

- Müntz (1914): $\mathcal{S}_{[0,1]}$ is a proper subspace of $L^2(0, 1)$ if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\nu_k} < \infty$.
- L. Schwarz (1943): The restriction $R_\rho : \overline{\mathcal{S}_{[0,1]}}^{L^2} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}_{[\rho,1]}}^{L^2}$ is invertible. The proof is by contradiction (no explicit constant).

Bang-bang boundary controls for the heat equation (VIII): Proof of Theorem 3

Proof of the Erdelyi and Borwein Theorem:

- The norm $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |p(t)|$ is bounded by the norm $\sup_{t \in [\rho,1]} |p(t)|$, with explicit constant $c := e^{\frac{\kappa}{1-\rho}}$.
 - Seidman (2008), Miller (2009), Tenenbaum and Tucsnak (2011): $\|R_\rho^{-1}\| \leq C e^{\frac{\kappa}{1-\rho}}$ (results on the controllability's cost in small time for the heat equation).
- The interval $[1, \rho]$ is replaced by a measurable set E of positive measure by using the Chebyshev-type polynomials $T_{E, \nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n}$ corresponding to the set E and exponents $\nu_0 = 0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n$:

$$|T_{E, \nu_0, \dots, \nu_n}(s)| \leq |T_{E, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n}(0)| \leq c, \quad s \in (0, \inf(E))$$

$$|p(s)| \leq |T_{E, \nu_0, \dots, \nu_n}(s)| \sup_{t \in E} |p(t)|, \quad s \in (0, \inf(E)).$$

The above result of Erdelyi and Borwein has the following consequence:

Corollary 3

For every $\tau > 0$ there exist constants $C, \kappa > 0$ such that for every $F \subset [0, \tau]$ of positive measure the following inequality holds

$$C e^{\kappa/\mu(F)} \int_F \left| \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k e^{-k^2 t} \right| dt \geq \left[\sum_{k \geq 1} |a_k|^2 e^{-k^2 \tau} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad ((a_k) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{C})).$$

The above result of Erdelyi and Borwein has the following consequence:

Corollary 3

For every $\tau > 0$ there exist constants $C, \kappa > 0$ such that for every $F \subset [0, \tau]$ of positive measure the following inequality holds

$$C e^{\kappa/\mu(F)} \int_F \left| \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k e^{-k^2 t} \right| dt \geq \left[\sum_{k \geq 1} |a_k|^2 e^{-k^2 \tau} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad ((a_k) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{C})).$$

By combining the space and time estimates (Corollaries 2 and 3) we get the inequality (9) and the proof of the main theorem is finished. ■

- We need the separation of variables x and y . Our proof can be generalized only for special geometries.
- Interior controllability results can be also obtained.

- L^∞ boundary controls for the Schrödinger equation (even in one space dimension)
- Arbitrary shapes for the boundary control of the heat equation
- Nonlinear problems