

Sharp asymptotics of the L_p approximation error for interpolation on block partitions

Yuliya Babenko, Tatyana Leskevich, Jean-Marie Mirebeau

February 9, 2011

Abstract

Adaptive approximation (or interpolation) takes into account local variations in the behavior of the given function, adjusts the approximant depending on it, and hence yields the smaller error of approximation. The question of constructing optimal approximating spline *for each function* proved to be very hard. In fact, no polynomial time algorithm of adaptive spline approximation can be designed and no exact formula for the optimal error of approximation can be given. Therefore, the next natural question would be to study the asymptotic behavior of the error and construct asymptotically optimal sequences of partitions.

In this paper we provide sharp asymptotic estimates for the error of interpolation by splines on block partitions in \mathbb{R}^d . We consider various projection operators to define the interpolant and provide the analysis of the exact constant in the asymptotics as well as its explicit form in certain cases.

Yuliya Babenko
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Sam Houston State University
Box 2206
Huntsville, TX, USA 77340-2206
Phone: 936.294.4884
Fax: 936.294.1882
Email: babenko@shsu.edu

Tatyana Leskevich
Department of Mathematical Analysis
Dnepropetrovsk National University
pr. Gagarina, 72,
Dnepropetrovsk, UKRAINE, 49050
Email: tleskevich@gmail.com

Jean-Marie Mirebeau
UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7598, Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions, F-75005, Paris, France
CNRS, UMR 7598, Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions, F-75005, Paris, France
Email: mirebeau@ann.jussieu.fr

1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to study the adaptive approximation by interpolating splines defined over block partitions in \mathbb{R}^d . With the help of introduced projection operator we shall handle the general case, and then apply the obtained estimates to several different interpolating schemes most commonly used in practice.

Our approach is to introduce the “error function” which reflects the interaction of approximation procedure with polynomials. Throughout the paper we shall study the asymptotic behavior of the approximation error and, whenever possible, the explicit form of the error function which plays a major role in finding the constants in the formulae for exact asymptotics.

1.1 The projection operator

Let us first introduce the definitions that will be necessary to state the main problem and the results of this paper.

We consider a fixed integer $d \geq 1$ and we denote by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ the elements of \mathbb{R}^d . A block R is a subset of \mathbb{R}^d of the form

$$R = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} [a_i, b_i]$$

where $a_i < b_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. For any block $R \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, by $L_p(R)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we denote the space of measurable functions $f : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which the value

$$\|f\|_p = \|f\|_{L_p(R)} := \begin{cases} \left(\int_R |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \text{esssup}\{|f(x)| : x \in R\}, & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

is finite. We also consider the space $C^0(R)$ of continuous functions on R equipped with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_\infty(R)}$. We shall make a frequent use of the canonical block \mathbb{I}^d , where \mathbb{I} is the interval

$$\mathbb{I} := \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right].$$

Next we define the space $V := C^0(\mathbb{I}^d)$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_V := \|\cdot\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{I}^d)}$. Throughout this paper we consider a linear and bounded (hence, continuous) operator $I : V \rightarrow V$. This implies that there exists a constant C_I such that

$$\|Iu\|_V \leq C_I \|u\|_V \text{ for all } u \in V. \quad (1.1)$$

We assume furthermore that I is a projector, which means that it satisfies

$$I \circ I = I. \quad (1.2)$$

Let R be an arbitrary block. It is easy to show that there exists a unique $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a unique diagonal matrix D with positive diagonal coefficients such that the transformation

$$\phi(x) := x_0 + Dx \text{ satisfies } \phi(\mathbb{I}^d) = R. \quad (1.3)$$

The volume of R , denoted by $|R|$, is equal to $\det(D)$. For any function $f \in C^0(R)$ we then define

$$I_R f := I(f \circ \phi) \circ \phi^{-1}. \quad (1.4)$$

Note that

$$\|f - I_R f\|_{L_p(R)} = (\det D)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f \circ \phi - I(f \circ \phi)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)}. \quad (1.5)$$

A block partition \mathcal{R} of a block R_0 is a finite collection of blocks such that their union covers R_0 and which pairwise intersections have zero Lebesgue measure. If \mathcal{R} is a block partition of a block R_0 and

if $f \in C^0(R_0)$, by $I_{\mathcal{R}} f \in L_\infty(R_0)$ we denote the (possibly discontinuous) function which coincides with $I_R f$ on the interior of each block $R \in \mathcal{R}$.

Main Question. The purpose of this paper is to understand the asymptotic behavior of the quantity

$$\|f - I_{\mathcal{R}_N} f\|_{L_p(R_0)}$$

for each given function f on R_0 from some class of smoothness, where $(\mathcal{R}_N)_{N \geq 1}$ is a sequence of block partitions of R_0 that are optimally adapted to f .

Note that the exact value of this error can be explicitly computed only in trivial cases. Therefore, the natural question is to study the asymptotic behavior of the error function, i.e. the behavior of the error as the number of elements of the partition \mathcal{R}_N tends to infinity.

Most of our results hold with only assumptions (1.1) of continuity of the operator I , the projection axiom (1.2), and the definition of I_R given by (1.4). Our analysis therefore applies to various projection operators I , such as the L_2 -orthogonal projection on a space of polynomials, or spline interpolating schemes described in §1.4.

1.2 History

The main problem formulated above is interesting for functions of arbitrary smoothness as well as for various classes of splines (for instance, for splines of higher order, interpolating splines, best approximating splines, etc.). In the univariate case general questions of this type have been investigated by many authors. The results are more or less complete and have numerous applications (see, for example, [11]).

Fewer results are known in the multivariate case. Most of them, starting with the works [1, 9], are for the case of approximation by splines on triangulations (for review of existing results see, for instance [2, 3, 6, 7, 10, 12]). However, in applications where preferred directions exist, box partitions are sometimes more convenient and efficient.

The first result on the error of interpolation on rectangular partitions by bivariate splines linear in each variable (or bilinear) is due to D’Azevedo [8] who obtained local (on a single rectangle) error estimates. In [4] Babenko obtained the exact asymptotics for the error (in L_1 , L_2 , and L_∞ norms) of interpolation of $C^2(\mathbb{I}^d)$ functions by bilinear splines.

In [5] Babenko generalized the result to interpolation and quasiinterpolation of a function $f \in C^2(\mathbb{I}^d)$ with arbitrary but fixed throughout the domain signature (number of positive and negative second-order partial derivatives). However, the norm used to measure the error of approximation was uniform.

In this paper we use a different, more abstract, approach which allows us to obtain the exact asymptotics of the error in a more general framework which can be applied to many particular interpolation schemes by an appropriate choice of the interpolation operator. In general, the constant in the asymptotics is implicit. However, imposing additional assumptions on the interpolation operator allows us to compute the constant explicitly.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1.5 contains the statements of main approximation results. The closer study of the error function, as well as its explicit formulas under some restrictions, can be found in Section 2. The proofs of the theorems about asymptotic behavior of the error are contained in Section 3.

1.3 Polynomials and the error function

In order to obtain the asymptotic error estimates we need to study the interaction of the projection operator I with polynomials.

The notation α always refers to a d -vector of non-negative integers

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d.$$

For each α we define the following quantities

$$|\alpha| := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \alpha_i, \quad \alpha! := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} \alpha_i!, \quad \max(\alpha) := \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} \alpha_i.$$

We also define the monomial

$$X^\alpha := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} X_i^{\alpha_i},$$

where the variable is $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Finally, for each integer $k \geq 0$ we define the following three vector spaces of polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_k &:= \text{Vect}\{X^\alpha : |\alpha| \leq k\}, \\ \mathbb{P}_k^* &:= \text{Vect}\{X^\alpha : \max(\alpha) \leq k \text{ and } |\alpha| \leq k+1\}, \\ \mathbb{P}_k^{**} &:= \text{Vect}\{X^\alpha : \max(\alpha) \leq k\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Note that clearly $\dim(\mathbb{P}_k^{**}) = (k+1)^d$. In addition, a classical combinatorial argument shows that

$$\dim \mathbb{P}_k = \binom{k+d}{d}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\dim \mathbb{P}_k^* = \dim \mathbb{P}_{k+1} - d = \binom{k+d+1}{d} - d.$$

By V_I we denote the image of I , which is a subspace of $V = C^0(\mathbb{I}^d)$. Since I is a projector (1.2), we have

$$V_I = \{I(f) : f \in V\} = \{f \in V : f = I(f)\}. \quad (1.7)$$

From this point on, the integer k is fixed and defined as follows

$$k = k(I) := \max\{k' \geq 0 : \mathbb{P}_{k'} \subset V_I\} \quad (1.8)$$

Hence, the operator I reproduces polynomials of total degree less or equal than k . (If $k = \infty$ then we obtain, using the density of polynomials in V and the continuity of I , that $I(f) = f$ for all $f \in V$. We exclude this case from now on.)

In what follows, by m we denote the integer defined by

$$m = m(I) := k + 1, \quad (1.9)$$

where $k = k(I)$ is defined in (1.8). By \mathbb{H}_m we denote the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree m

$$\mathbb{H}_m := \text{Vect}\{X^\alpha : |\alpha| = m\}.$$

We now introduce a function K_I on \mathbb{H}_m , further referred to as the ‘‘error function’’.

Definition 1.1 (Error Function) For all $\pi \in \mathbb{H}_m$

$$K_I(\pi) := \inf_{|R|=1} \|\pi - I_R \pi\|_{L_p(R)}, \quad (1.10)$$

where the infimum is taken over all blocks R of unit d -dimensional volume.

The error function K plays a major role in our asymptotical error estimates developed in the next subsection. Hence, we dedicate §2 to its close study, and we provide its explicit form in various cases.

The optimization (1.10) among blocks can be rephrased into an optimization among diagonal matrices. Indeed, if $|R| = 1$, then there exists a unique $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a unique diagonal matrix with positive coefficients such that $R = \phi(\mathbb{I}^d)$ with $\phi(x) = x_0 + Dx$. Furthermore, the homogeneous component of degree m is the same in both $\pi \circ \phi$ and $\pi \circ D$, hence $\pi \circ \phi - \pi \circ D \in \mathbb{P}_k$ (recall that $m = k + 1$) and therefore this polynomial is reproduced by the projection operator I . Using the linearity of I , we obtain

$$\pi \circ \phi - I(\pi \circ \phi) = \pi \circ D - I(\pi \circ D).$$

Combining this with (1.5), we obtain that

$$K_I(\pi) = \inf_{\substack{\det D=1 \\ D \geq 0}} \|\pi \circ D - I(\pi \circ D)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)}, \quad (1.11)$$

where the infimum is taken over the set of diagonal matrices with non-negative entries and unit determinant.

1.4 Examples of projection operators

In this section we define several possible choices for the projection operator I which are consistent with (1.8) and, in our opinion, are most useful for practical purposes. However, many other possibilities could be considered.

Definition 1.2 ($L_2(\mathbb{I}^d)$ orthogonal projection) *We may define $I(f)$ as the $L_2(\mathbb{I}^d)$ orthogonal projection of f onto one of the spaces of polynomials \mathbb{P}_k , \mathbb{P}_k^* or \mathbb{P}_k^{**} defined in (1.6).*

If the projection operator I is chosen as in Definition 1.2, then a simple change of variables shows that for any block R , the operator I_R defined by (1.4) is the $L_2(R)$ orthogonal projection onto the same space of polynomials.

To introduce several possible interpolation schemes for which we obtain the estimates using our approach, we consider a set $U_k \subset \mathbb{I}$ of cardinality $\#(U_k) = k + 1$ (special cases are given below). For any $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_d) \in U_k^d$ we define an element of \mathbb{P}_k^{**} as follows

$$\mu_{\mathbf{u}}(X) := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} \left(\prod_{\substack{v \in U_k \\ v \neq u_i}} \frac{X_i - v}{u_i - v} \right) \in \mathbb{P}_k^{**}.$$

Clearly, $\mu_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{u}) = \mu_{\mathbf{u}}(u_1, \dots, u_d) = 1$ and $\mu_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{v}) = \mu_{\mathbf{u}}(v_1, \dots, v_d) = 0$ if $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_d) \in U_k^d$ and $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{u}$.

It follows that the elements of $B := (\mu_{\mathbf{u}})_{\mathbf{u} \in U_k^d}$ are linearly independent. Since $\#(B) = \#(U_k^d) = (k + 1)^d = \dim(\mathbb{P}_k^{**})$, B is a basis of \mathbb{P}_k^{**} .

Therefore, any element of $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_k^{**}$ can be written in the form

$$\mu(X) = \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in U_k^d} \lambda_{\mathbf{u}} \mu_{\mathbf{u}}(X).$$

It follows that there is a unique element of $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_k^{**}$ such that $\mu(\mathbf{u}) = f(\mathbf{u})$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in U_k^d$. We define $I f := \mu$, namely

$$(I f)(X) := \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in U_k^d} f(\mathbf{u}) \mu_{\mathbf{u}}(X) \in \mathbb{P}_k^{**}.$$

We may take U_k to be the set of $k + 1$ equi-spaced points on \mathbb{I}

$$U_k = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{k} : 0 \leq n \leq k \right\}. \quad (1.12)$$

We obtain a different, but equally relevant, operator I by choosing U_k to be the set of Tchebychev points on \mathbb{I}

$$U_k = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{k}\right) : 0 \leq n \leq k \right\}. \quad (1.13)$$

Different interpolation procedures can be used to construct I . Another convenient interpolation scheme is to take

$$I(f) \in \mathbb{P}_k^*$$

and $I(f) = f$ on a subset of U_k^d . This subset contains $\dim \mathbb{P}_k^*$ points, which are convenient to choose first on the boundary of \mathbb{I}^d and then (if needed) at some interior lattice points. Note that since $\dim \mathbb{P}_k^* < \#(U_k^d) = (k + 1)^d$, it is always possible to construct such an operator.

If the projection operator I is chosen as described above, then for any block R and any $f \in C^0(R)$, $I_R(f)$ is the unique element of respective space of polynomials which coincides with f at the image $\phi(p)$ of the points p mentioned in the definition of I , by the transformation ϕ described in (1.3).

1.5 Main results

In order to obtain the approximation results we often impose a slight technical restriction (which can be removed, see for instance [2]) on sequences of block partitions, which is defined as follows.

Definition 1.3 (admissibility) *We say that a sequence $(\mathcal{R}_N)_{N \geq 1}$ of block partitions of a block R_0 is admissible if $\#\mathcal{R}_N \leq N$ for all $N \geq 1$, and*

$$\sup_{N \geq 1} \left(N^{\frac{1}{d}} \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}_N} \text{diam}(R) \right) < \infty \quad (1.14)$$

We recall that the approximation error is measured in L_p norm, where the exponent p is fixed and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We define $\tau \in (0, \infty)$ by

$$\frac{1}{\tau} := \frac{m}{d} + \frac{1}{p}. \quad (1.15)$$

In the following estimates we identified $d^m f(x)$ with an element of \mathbb{H}_m according to

$$\frac{d^m f(x)}{m!} \sim \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{\partial^m f(x)}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{X^\alpha}{\alpha!}. \quad (1.16)$$

We now state the asymptotically sharp lower bound for the approximation error of a function f on an admissible sequence of block partitions.

Theorem 1.4 *Let R_0 be a block and let $f \in C^m(R_0)$. For any admissible sequence of block partitions $(\mathcal{R}_N)_{N \geq 1}$ of R_0*

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{\frac{m}{d}} \|f - \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}_N} f\|_{L_p(R_0)} \geq \left\| K_I \left(\frac{d^m f}{m!} \right) \right\|_{L_\tau(R_0)}.$$

The next theorem provides an upper bound for the projection error of a function f when an optimal sequence of block partitions is used. It confirms the sharpness of the previous theorem.

Theorem 1.5 *Let R_0 be a block and let $f \in C^m(R_0)$. Then there exists a (perhaps non-admissible) sequence $(\mathcal{R}_N)_{N \geq 1}$, $\#\mathcal{R}_N \leq N$, of block partitions of R_0 satisfying*

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{\frac{m}{d}} \|f - \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}_N} f\|_{L_p(R_0)} \leq \left\| K_I \left(\frac{d^m f}{m!} \right) \right\|_{L_\tau(R_0)}. \quad (1.17)$$

Furthermore, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an admissible sequence $(\mathcal{R}_N^\varepsilon)_{N \geq 1}$ of block partitions of R_0 satisfying

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{\frac{m}{d}} \|f - \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}_N^\varepsilon} f\|_{L_p(R_0)} \leq \left\| K_I \left(\frac{d^m f}{m!} \right) \right\|_{L_\tau(R_0)} + \varepsilon. \quad (1.18)$$

An important feature of these estimates is the ‘‘lim sup’’. Recall that the upper limit of a sequence $(u_N)_{N \geq N_0}$ is defined by

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} u_N := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq N} u_n,$$

and is in general strictly smaller than the supremum $\sup_{N \geq N_0} u_N$. It is still an open question to find an appropriate upper estimate of $\sup_{N \geq N_0} N^{\frac{m}{d}} \|f - \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}_N} f\|_{L_p(R_0)}$ when optimally adapted block partitions are used.

In order to have more control of the quality of approximation on various parts of the domain we introduce a positive weight function $\Omega \in C^0(R_0)$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and for any $u \in L_p(R_0)$ as usual we define

$$\|u\|_{L_p(R_0, \Omega)} := \|u\Omega\|_{L_p(R_0)}. \quad (1.19)$$

Remark 1.6 *Theorems 1.4, 1.5 and 1.7 below also hold when the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_p(R_0)}$ (resp $\|\cdot\|_{L_\tau(R_0)}$) is replaced with the weighted norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_p(R_0, \Omega)}$ (resp $\|\cdot\|_{L_\tau(R_0, \Omega)}$) defined in (1.19).*

In the following section we shall use some restrictive hypotheses on the interpolation operator in order to obtain an explicit formula for the shape function. In particular, Propositions 2.7, 2.8, and equation (2.20) show that, under some assumptions, there exists a constant $C = C(\mathbb{I}) > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C} K_I \left(\frac{d^m f}{m!} \right) \leq \sqrt[a]{\left| \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} \frac{\partial^m f}{\partial x_i^m} \right|} \leq C K_I \left(\frac{d^m f}{m!} \right).$$

These restrictive hypotheses also allow to improve slightly the estimate (1.18) as follows.

Theorem 1.7 *If the hypotheses of Proposition 2.7 or 2.8 hold, and if $K_I \left(\frac{d^m f}{m!} \right) > 0$ everywhere on R_0 , then there exists an admissible sequence of partitions $(\mathcal{R}_N)_{N \geq 1}$ which satisfies the optimal estimate (1.17).*

The proofs of the Theorems 1.4, 1.5 and 1.7 are given in §3. Each of these proofs can be adapted to weighted norms, hence establishing Remark 1.6. Some details on how to adapt proofs for the case of weighted norms are provided at the end of each proof.

2 Study of the error function

In this section we perform a close study of the error function K_I , since it plays a major role in our asymptotic error estimates. In the first subsection §2.1 we investigate general properties which are valid for any continuous projection operator \mathbb{I} . However, we are not able to obtain an explicit form of K_I under such general assumptions. Recall that in §1.4 we presented several possible choices of projection operators \mathbb{I} that seem more likely to be used in practice. In §2.2 we identify four important properties shared by these examples. These properties are used in §2.3 to obtain an explicit form of K_I .

2.1 General properties

The error function K obeys the following important invariance property with respect to diagonal changes of coordinates.

Proposition 2.1 *For all $\pi \in \mathbb{H}_m$ and all diagonal matrices D with non-negative coefficients*

$$K_I(\pi \circ D) = (\det D)^{\frac{m}{d}} K_I(\pi).$$

Proof: We first assume that the diagonal matrix D has positive diagonal coefficients. Let \bar{D} be a diagonal matrix with positive diagonal coefficient and which satisfies $\det \bar{D} = 1$. Let also $\pi \in \mathbb{H}_m$. Then

$$\pi \circ (D\bar{D}) = \pi \circ ((\det D)^{\frac{1}{d}} \tilde{D}) = (\det D)^{\frac{m}{d}} \pi \circ \tilde{D},$$

where $\tilde{D} := (\det D)^{-\frac{1}{d}} D\bar{D}$ satisfies $\det \tilde{D} = \det \bar{D} = 1$ and is uniquely determined by \bar{D} . According to (1.11) we therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} K_I(\pi \circ D) &= \inf_{\substack{\det \bar{D}=1 \\ \bar{D} \geq 0}} \|\pi \circ (D\bar{D}) - \mathbb{I}(\pi \circ (D\bar{D}))\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)} \\ &= (\det D)^{\frac{m}{d}} \inf_{\substack{\det \tilde{D}=1 \\ \tilde{D} \geq 0}} \|\pi \circ \tilde{D} - \mathbb{I}(\pi \circ \tilde{D})\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)} \\ &= (\det D)^{\frac{m}{d}} K_I(\pi), \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof in the case where D has positive diagonal coefficients.

Let us now assume that D is a diagonal matrix with non-negative diagonal coefficients and such that $\det(D) = 0$. Let D' be a diagonal matrix with positive diagonal coefficients, and such that $D = DD'$ and $\det D' = 2$. We obtain

$$K_I(\pi \circ D) = K_I(\pi \circ (DD')) = 2^{\frac{m}{d}} K_I(\pi \circ D),$$

which implies that $K_I(\pi \circ D) = 0$ and concludes the proof. \diamond

The next proposition shows that the exponent p used for measuring the approximation error plays a rather minor role. By K_p we denote the error function associated with the exponent p .

Proposition 2.2 *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $1 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \infty$ we have on \mathbb{H}_m*

$$cK_\infty \leq K_{p_1} \leq K_{p_2} \leq K_\infty.$$

Proof: For any function $f \in V = C^0(\mathbb{I}^d)$ and for any $1 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \infty$ by a standard convexity argument we obtain that

$$\|f\|_{L_1(\mathbb{I}^d)} \leq \|f\|_{L_{p_1}(\mathbb{I}^d)} \leq \|f\|_{L_{p_2}(\mathbb{I}^d)} \leq \|f\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{I}^d)}.$$

Using (1.11), it follows that

$$K_1 \leq K_{p_1} \leq K_{p_2} \leq K_\infty$$

on \mathbb{H}_m . Furthermore, the following semi norms on \mathbb{H}_m

$$|\pi|_1 := \|\pi - \mathbf{I}\pi\|_{L_1(\mathbb{I}^d)} \text{ and } |\pi|_\infty := \|\pi - \mathbf{I}\pi\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{I}^d)}$$

vanish precisely on the same subspace of \mathbb{H}_m , namely $V_1 \cap H_m = \{\pi \in \mathbb{H}_m : \pi = \mathbf{I}\pi\}$. Since \mathbb{H}_m has finite dimension, it follows that they are equivalent. Hence, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $c|\cdot|_\infty \leq |\cdot|_1$ on \mathbb{H}_m . Using (1.11), it follows that $cK_\infty \leq K_1$, which concludes the proof. \diamond

2.2 Desirable properties of the projection operator

The examples of projection operators presented in §1.4 share some important properties which allow to obtain the explicit expression of the error function K_I . These properties are defined below and called H_\pm , H_σ , H_* or H_{**} . They are satisfied when operator \mathbf{I} is the interpolation at equispaced points (Definition 1.12), at Tchebychev points (Definition 1.13), and usually on the most interesting sets of other points. They are also satisfied when \mathbf{I} is the $L_2(\mathbb{I}^d)$ orthogonal projection onto \mathbb{P}_k^* or \mathbb{P}_k^{**} (Definition 1.2).

The first property reflects the fact that a coordinate x_i on \mathbb{I}^d can be changed to $-x_i$, independently of the projection process.

Definition 2.3 (H_\pm hypothesis) *We say that the interpolation operator \mathbf{I} satisfies the H_\pm hypothesis if for any diagonal matrix D with entries in ± 1 we have for all $f \in V$*

$$\mathbf{I}(f \circ D) = \mathbf{I}(f) \circ D.$$

The next property implies that the different coordinates x_1, \dots, x_d on \mathbb{I}^d play symmetrical roles with respect to the projection operator.

Definition 2.4 (H_σ hypothesis) *If M_σ is a permutation matrix, i.e. $(M_\sigma)_{ij} := \delta_{i\sigma(j)}$ for some permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, d\}$, then for all $f \in V$*

$$\mathbf{I}(f \circ M_\sigma) = \mathbf{I}(f) \circ M_\sigma.$$

According to (1.8), the projection operator \mathbf{I} reproduces the space of polynomials \mathbb{P}_k . However, in many situations the space V_1 of functions reproduced by \mathbf{I} is larger than \mathbb{P}_k . In particular $V_1 = \mathbb{P}_k^{**}$ when \mathbf{I} is the interpolation on equispaced or Tchebychev points, and $V_1 = \mathbb{P}_k$ (resp \mathbb{P}_k^* , \mathbb{P}_k^{**}) when \mathbf{I} is the $L_2(\mathbb{I}^d)$ orthogonal projection onto \mathbb{P}_k (resp \mathbb{P}_k^* , \mathbb{P}_k^{**}).

It is particularly useful to know whether the projection operator \mathbf{I} reproduces the elements of \mathbb{P}_k^* , and we therefore give a name to this property. Note that it clearly does not hold for the $L_2(\mathbb{I}^d)$ orthogonal projection onto \mathbb{P}_k .

Definition 2.5 (H_* hypothesis) *The following inclusion holds :*

$$\mathbb{P}_k^* \subset V_1.$$

On the contrary it is useful to know that some polynomials, and in particular pure powers x_i^m , are not reproduced by I.

Definition 2.6 (H_{**} hypothesis)

$$\text{If } \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \lambda_i x_i^m \in V_1 \text{ then } (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) = (0, \dots, 0).$$

This condition obviously holds if $I(f) \in \mathbb{P}_k^{**}$ (polynomials of degree $\leq k$ in each variable) for all f . Hence, it holds for all the examples of projection operators given in the previous subsection §1.4.

2.3 Explicit formulas

In this section we provide the explicit expression for K when some of the hypotheses H_{\pm} , H_{σ} , H_* or H_{**} hold. Let $\pi \in \mathbb{H}_m$ and let λ_i be the corresponding coefficient of X_i^m in π , for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. We define

$$K_*(\pi) := \sqrt[d]{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} |\lambda_i|}$$

and

$$s(\pi) := \#\{1 \leq i \leq d : \lambda_i > 0\}.$$

If $\frac{d^m f(x)}{m!}$ is identified by (1.16) to an element of \mathbb{H}_m , then one has

$$K_* \left(\frac{d^m f(x)}{m!} \right) = \frac{1}{m!} \sqrt[d]{\left| \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} \frac{\partial^m f}{\partial x_i^m}(x) \right|}. \quad (2.20)$$

Proposition 2.7 *If m is odd and if H_{\pm} , H_{σ} and H_* hold, then*

$$K_p(\pi) = C(p)K_*(\pi),$$

where

$$C(p) := \left\| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} X_i^m - \mathbb{I} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} X_i^m \right) \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)} > 0.$$

Proposition 2.8 *If m is even and if H_{σ} , H_* and H_{**} hold then*

$$K_p(\pi) = C(p, s(\pi)) K_*(\pi).$$

Furthermore,

$$C(p, 0) = C(p, d) = \left\| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} X_i^m - \mathbb{I} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} X_i^m \right) \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)} > 0. \quad (2.21)$$

Other constants $C(p, s)$ are positive and obey $C(p, s) = C(p, d - s)$.

Next we turn to the proofs of Propositions 2.7 and 2.8.

Proof of Proposition 2.7 Let $\pi \in \mathbb{H}_m$ and let λ_i be the coefficient of X_i^m in π . Denote by

$$\pi_* := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \lambda_i X_i^m$$

so that $\pi - \pi_* \in \mathbb{P}_k^*$ and, more generally, $\pi \circ D - \pi_* \circ D \in \mathbb{P}_k^*$ for any diagonal matrix D . The hypothesis H_* states that the projection operator \mathbf{I} reproduces the elements of \mathbb{P}_k^* , and therefore

$$\pi \circ D - \mathbf{I}(\pi \circ D) = \pi_* \circ D - \mathbf{I}(\pi_* \circ D).$$

Hence, $K_I(\pi) = K_I(\pi_*)$ according to (1.11). If there exists i_0 , $1 \leq i_0 \leq d$, such that $\lambda_{i_0} = 0$, then we denote by D the diagonal matrix of entries $D_{ii} = 1$ if $i \neq i_0$ and 0 if $i = i_0$. Applying Proposition 2.1 we find

$$K_I(\pi) = K_I(\pi_*) = K_I(\pi_* \circ D) = (\det D)^{\frac{m}{d}} K_I(\pi_*) = 0.$$

which concludes the proof. We now assume that all the coefficients λ_i , $1 \leq i \leq d$, are different from 0, and we denote by ε_i be the sign of λ_i . Applying Proposition 2.1 to the diagonal matrix D of entries $|\lambda_i|^{\frac{1}{m}}$ we find that

$$K_I(\pi) = K_I(\pi_*) = (\det D)^{\frac{m}{d}} K_I(\pi_* \circ D^{-1}) = K_*(\pi) K_I \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \varepsilon_i X_i^m \right).$$

Using the H_{\pm} hypothesis with the diagonal matrix D of entries $D_{ii} = \varepsilon_i$, and recalling that m is odd, we find that

$$K_I \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \varepsilon_i X_i^m \right) = K_I \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} X_i^m \right).$$

We now define the functions

$$g_i := X_i^m - \mathbf{I}(X_i^m) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

It follows from (1.11) that

$$K_I \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} X_i^m \right) = \inf_{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} a_i = 1} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} a_i g_i \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)},$$

where the infimum is taken over all d -vectors of positive reals of product 1. Let us consider such a d -vector (a_1, \dots, a_d) , and a permutation σ of the set $\{1, \dots, d\}$. The H_{σ} hypothesis implies that the quantity

$$\left\| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} a_{\sigma(i)} g_i \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)}$$

is independent of σ . Hence, summing over all permutations, we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} a_i g_i \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)} = \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} a_{\sigma(i)} g_i \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)} \geq \frac{1}{d} \left\| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} g_i \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} a_i. \quad (2.22)$$

The right-hand side is minimal when $a_1 = \dots = a_d = 1$, which shows that

$$\left\| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} a_i g_i \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)} \geq \left\| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} g_i \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)} = C(p)$$

with equality when $a_i = 1$ for all i . Note as a corollary that

$$K_I(\pi_{\varepsilon}) = \|\pi_{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{I}(\pi_{\varepsilon})\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)} = C(p) \text{ where } \pi_{\varepsilon} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \varepsilon_i X_i^m. \quad (2.23)$$

It remains to prove that $C(p) > 0$. Using the hypothesis H_{\pm} , we find that for all $\mu_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \mu_i g_i \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)} = C(p).$$

In particular, for any $1 \leq i_0 \leq d$ one has

$$2\|g_{i_0}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)} \leq \left\| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} g_i \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)} + \left\| 2g_{i_0} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} g_i \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)} \leq 2C(p).$$

If $C(p) = 0$, it follows that $g_{i_0} = 0$ and therefore that $X_{i_0}^m = \mathbf{I}(X_{i_0}^m)$, for any $1 \leq i_0 \leq d$. Using the assumption H_* , we find that the projection operator \mathbf{I} reproduces all the polynomials of degree $m = k+1$, which contradicts the definition (1.8) of the integer k . \diamond

Proof of proposition 2.8 We define λ_i , π_* and $\varepsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ as before and we find, using similar reasoning, that

$$K_I(\pi) = K_*(\pi)K_I\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \varepsilon_i X_i^m\right).$$

For $1 \leq s \leq d$ we define

$$C(p, s) := K_I\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} X_i^m - \sum_{s+1 \leq i \leq d} X_i^m\right).$$

From the hypothesis H_σ it follows that $K_I(\pi) = K_*(\pi)C(p, s(\pi))$.

Using again H_σ and the fact that $K_I(\pi) = K_I(-\pi)$ for all $\pi \in \mathbb{H}_m$, we find that

$$C(p, s) = K_I\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} X_i^m - \sum_{s+1 \leq i \leq d} X_i^m\right) = K_I\left(-\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq d-s} X_i^m - \sum_{d-s+1 \leq i \leq d} X_i^m\right)\right) = C(p, d-s).$$

We define $g_i := X_i^m - \mathbf{I}(X_i^m)$, as in the proof of Proposition 2.7. We obtain the expression for $C(p, 0)$ by summing over all permutations as in (2.22)

$$C(p, 0) = \left\| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} g_i \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)}.$$

This concludes the proof of the first part of Proposition 2.8. We now prove that $C(p, s) > 0$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and all $s \in \{0, \dots, d\}$. To this end we define the following quantity on \mathbb{R}^d

$$\|a\|_K := \left\| \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} a_i g_i \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{I}^d)}.$$

Note that $\|a\|_K = 0$ if and only if

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} a_i X_i^m = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} a_i \mathbf{I}(X_i^m),$$

and the hypothesis H_{**} precisely states that this equality occurs if and only if $a_i = 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. Hence, $\|\cdot\|_K$ is a norm on \mathbb{R}^d . Furthermore, let

$$E_s := \left\{ a \in \mathbb{R}_+^s \times \mathbb{R}_-^{d-s} : \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} |a_i| = 1 \right\}$$

Then

$$C(p, s) = \inf_{a \in E_s} \|a\|_K.$$

Since E_s is a closed subset of \mathbb{R}^d , which does not contain the origin, this infimum is attained. It follows that $C(p, s) > 0$, and that there exists a rectangle R_ε of unit volume such that

$$K_I(\pi_\varepsilon) = \|\pi_\varepsilon - \mathbf{I}\pi_\varepsilon\|_{L_p(R_\varepsilon)} = C(p, s(\pi_\varepsilon)) \quad \text{where} \quad \pi_\varepsilon = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \varepsilon_i X_i^m. \quad (2.24)$$

◇

3 Proof of the approximation results

In this section, let the block R_0 , the integer m , the function $f \in C^m(R_0)$ and the exponent p be fixed. We conduct our proofs for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and provide comments on how to adjust our arguments for the case $p = \infty$.

For each $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ by μ_x we denote the m -th degree Taylor polynomial of f at the point x

$$\mu_x = \mu_x(X) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial x^\alpha}(x) \frac{(X-x)^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \quad (3.25)$$

and we define π_x to be the homogeneous component of degree m in μ_x ,

$$\pi_x = \pi_x(X) := \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial x^\alpha}(x) \frac{X^\alpha}{\alpha!}. \quad (3.26)$$

Since π_x and μ_x are polynomials of degree m , their m -th derivative is constant, and clearly $d^m \pi_x = d^m \mu_x = d^m f(x)$. In particular, for any $x \in R_0$ the polynomial $\mu_x - \pi_x$ belongs to \mathbb{P}_k (recall that $k = m - 1$) and is therefore reproduced by the projection operator \mathbf{I} . It follows that for any $x \in R_0$ and any block R

$$\pi_x - \mathbf{I}_R \pi_x = \mu_x - \mathbf{I}_R \mu_x. \quad (3.27)$$

In addition, we introduce a measure ρ of the degeneracy of a block R

$$\rho(R) := \frac{\text{diam}(R)^d}{|R|}.$$

Given any function $g \in C^m(R)$ and any $x \in R$ we can define, similarly to (3.26), a polynomial $\hat{\pi}_x \in \mathbb{H}_m$ associated to g at x . We then define

$$\|d^m g\|_{L_\infty(R)} := \sup_{x \in R} \left(\sup_{|u|=1} |\hat{\pi}_x(u)| \right). \quad (3.28)$$

Proposition 3.1 *There exists a constant $C = C(m, d) > 0$ such that for any block R and any function $g \in C^m(R)$*

$$\|g - \mathbf{I}_R g\|_{L_p(R)} \leq C |R|^{\frac{1}{p}} \rho(R)^{\frac{m}{d}} \|d^m g\|_{L_\infty(R)}. \quad (3.29)$$

Proof: Let $x_0 \in R$ and let g_0 be the Taylor polynomial for g of degree $m - 1$ at point x_0 which is defined as follows

$$g_0(X) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1} \frac{\partial^\alpha f(x_0)}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{(X-x_0)^\alpha}{\alpha!}.$$

Let $x \in R$ and let $x(t) = x_0 + t(x - x_0)$. We have

$$g(x) = g_0(x) + \int_{t=0}^1 \frac{d^m g_{x(t)}(x - x_0)}{m!} (1-t)^m dt.$$

Hence,

$$|g(x) - g_0(x)| \leq \int_{t=0}^1 \|d^m g\|_{L_\infty(R)} |x - x_0|^m (1-t)^m dt \leq \frac{1}{m+1} \|d^m g\|_{L_\infty(R)} \text{diam}(R)^m. \quad (3.30)$$

Since g_0 is a polynomial of degree at most $m-1$, we have $g_0 = \mathbf{I}g_0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - \mathbf{I}_R g\|_{L_p(R)} &\leq |R|^{\frac{1}{p}} \|g - \mathbf{I}_R g\|_{L_\infty(R)} \\ &= |R|^{\frac{1}{p}} \|(g - g_0) - \mathbf{I}_R(g - g_0)\|_{L_\infty(R)} \\ &\leq (1 + C_1) |R|^{\frac{1}{p}} \|g - g_0\|_{L_\infty(R)}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 is the operator norm of $\mathbf{I} : V \rightarrow V$. Combining this estimate with (3.30), we obtain (3.29). \diamond

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.4 (Lower bound)

The following lemma allows us to bound the interpolation error of f on the block R from below.

Lemma 3.2 *For any block $R \subset R_0$ and $x \in R$ we have*

$$\|f - \mathbf{I}_R f\|_{L_p(R)} \geq |R|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(K_I(\pi_x) - \omega(\text{diam } R) \rho(R)^{\frac{m}{d}} \right),$$

where the function ω is positive, depends only on f and m , and satisfies $\omega(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Proof: Let $h := f - \mu_x$, where μ_x is defined in (3.25). Using (3.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \mathbf{I}_R f\|_{L_p(R)} &\geq \|\pi_x - \mathbf{I}_R \pi_x\|_{L_p(R)} - \|h - \mathbf{I}_R h\|_{L_p(R)} \\ &\geq |R|^{\frac{1}{p}} K_I(\pi_x) - \|h - \mathbf{I}_R h\|_{L_p(R)}, \end{aligned}$$

and according to (3.29) we have

$$\|h - \mathbf{I}_R h\|_{L_p(R)} \leq C_0 |R|^{\frac{1}{p}} \rho(R)^{\frac{m}{d}} \|d^m h\|_{L_\infty(R)}.$$

Observe that

$$\|d^m h\|_{L_\infty(R)} = \|d^m f - d^m \pi_x\|_{L_\infty(R)} = \|d^m f - d^m f(x)\|_{L_\infty(R)}.$$

We introduce the modulus of continuity ω_* of the m -th derivatives of f .

$$\omega_*(r) := \sup_{\substack{x_1, x_2 \in R_0: \\ |x_1 - x_2| \leq r}} \|d^m f(x_1) - d^m f(x_2)\| = \sup_{\substack{x_1, x_2 \in R_0: \\ |x_1 - x_2| \leq r}} \left(\sup_{|u| \leq 1} |\pi_{x_1}(u) - \pi_{x_2}(u)| \right) \quad (3.31)$$

By setting $\omega = C_0 \omega_*$ we conclude the proof of this lemma. \diamond

We now consider an admissible sequence of block partitions $(\mathcal{R}_N)_{N \geq 0}$. For all $N \geq 0$, $R \in \mathcal{R}_N$ and $x \in R$, we define

$$\phi_N(x) := |R| \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_N(x) := \left(K_I(\pi_x) - \omega(\text{diam}(R)) \rho(R)^{\frac{m}{d}} \right)_+,$$

where $\lambda_+ := \max\{\lambda, 0\}$. We now apply Holder's inequality $\int_{R_0} f_1 f_2 \leq \|f_1\|_{L_{p_1}(R_0)} \|f_2\|_{L_{p_2}(R_0)}$ with the functions

$$f_1 = \phi_N^{\frac{m\tau}{d}} \psi_N^\tau \quad \text{and} \quad f_2 = \phi_N^{-\frac{m\tau}{d}}$$

and the exponents $p_1 = \frac{p}{\tau}$ and $p_2 = \frac{d}{m\tau}$. Note that $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \tau \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{m}{d} \right) = 1$. Hence,

$$\int_{R_0} \psi_N^\tau \leq \left(\int_{R_0} \phi_N^{\frac{m\tau p}{d}} \psi_N^p \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \left(\int_{R_0} \phi_N^{-1} \right)^{\frac{m\tau}{d}}. \quad (3.32)$$

Note that $\int_{R_0} \phi_N^{-1} = \#(\mathcal{R}_N) \leq N$. Furthermore, if $R \in \mathcal{R}_N$ and $x \in R$ then according to Lemma 3.2

$$\phi_N(x)^{\frac{m}{d}} \psi_N(x) = |R|^{\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{p}} \psi_N(x) \leq |R|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|f - \mathbf{I}_R f\|_{L_p(R)}.$$

Hence,

$$\left[\int_{R_0} \phi_N^{\frac{mp}{d}} \psi_N^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left[\sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}_N} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R \|f - \mathbf{I}_R f\|_{L_p(R)}^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|f - \mathbf{I}_R f\|_{L_p(R_0)}. \quad (3.33)$$

Inequality (3.32) therefore leads to

$$\|\psi_N\|_{L_\tau(R_0)} \leq \|f - \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}_N} f\|_{L_p(R_0)} N^{\frac{m}{d}}. \quad (3.34)$$

Since the sequence $(\mathcal{R}_N)_{N \geq 0}$ is admissible, there exists a constant $C_A > 0$ such that for all N and all $R \in \mathcal{R}_N$ we have $\text{diam}(R) \leq C_A N^{-\frac{1}{d}}$. We introduce a subset of $\mathcal{R}'_N \subset \mathcal{R}_N$ which collects the most degenerate blocks

$$\mathcal{R}'_N = \{R \in \mathcal{R}_N : \rho(R) \geq \omega(C_A N^{-\frac{1}{d}})^{-\frac{1}{m}}\},$$

where ω is the function defined in Lemma 3.2. By R'_N we denote the portion of R_0 covered by \mathcal{R}'_N . For all $x \in R_0 \setminus R'_N$ we obtain

$$\psi_N(x) \geq K_I(\pi_x) - \omega(C_A N^{-\frac{1}{d}})^{1 - \frac{1}{d}}.$$

We define $\varepsilon_N := \omega(C_A N^{-\frac{1}{d}})^{1 - \frac{1}{d}}$ and we notice that $\varepsilon_N \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_N\|_{L_\tau(R_0)}^\tau &\geq \|(K_I(\pi_x) - \varepsilon_N)_+\|_{L_\tau(R_0 \setminus R'_N)}^\tau \\ &\geq \|(K_I(\pi_x) - \varepsilon_N)_+\|_{L_\tau(R_0)}^\tau - C^\tau |R'_N|, \end{aligned}$$

where $C := \max_{x \in R_0} K_I(\pi_x)$. Next we observe that $|R'_N| \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow +\infty$: indeed for all $R \in \mathcal{R}'_N$ we have

$$|R| = \text{diam}(R)^d \rho(R)^{-1} \leq C_A^d N^{-1} \omega(C_A N^{-\frac{1}{d}})^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$

Since $\#(\mathcal{R}'_N) \leq N$, we obtain $|R'_N| \leq C_A^d \omega(C_A N^{-\frac{1}{d}})^{\frac{1}{m}}$, and the right-hand side tends to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$. We thus obtain

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_N\|_{L_\tau(R_0)} \geq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|(K_I(\pi_x) - \varepsilon_N)_+\|_{L_\tau(R_0)} = \|K_I(\pi_x)\|_{L_\tau(R_0)}.$$

Combining this result with (3.34), we conclude the proof of the announced estimate.

Note that this proof also works with the exponent $p = \infty$ by changing

$$\left(\int_{R_0} \phi_N^{\frac{mp}{d}} \psi_N^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{into} \quad \|\phi_N^{\frac{m}{d}} \psi_N\|_{L_\infty(R_0)}^\tau$$

in (3.32) and performing the standard modification in (3.33).

Remark 3.3 *As announced in Remark 1.6, this proof can be adapted to the weighted norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_p(R_0, \Omega)}$ associated to a positive weight function $\Omega \in C^0(R_0)$ and defined in (1.19). For that purpose let $r_N := \sup\{\text{diam}(R) : R \in \mathcal{R}_N\}$ and let*

$$\Omega_N(x) := \inf_{\substack{x' \in R_0 \\ |x - x'| \leq r_N}} \Omega(x').$$

The sequence of functions Ω_N increases with N and tends uniformly to Ω as $N \rightarrow \infty$. If $R \in \mathcal{R}_N$ and $x \in R$, then

$$\|f - \mathbf{I}_R f\|_{L_p(R, \Omega)} \geq \Omega_N(x) \|f - \mathbf{I}_R f\|_{L_p(R)}.$$

The main change in the proof is that the function ψ_N should be replaced with $\psi'_N := \Omega_N \psi_N$. Other details are left to the reader.

◇

3.2 Proof of the upper estimates

The proof of Theorems 1.5 (and 1.7) is based on the actual construction of an asymptotically optimal sequence of block partitions. To that end we introduce the notion of a local block specification.

Definition 3.4 (local block specification) *A local block specification on a block R_0 is a (possibly discontinuous) map $x \mapsto R(x)$ which associates to each point $x \in R_0$ a block $R(x)$, and such that*

- *The volume $|R(x)|$ is a positive continuous function of the variable $x \in R_0$.*
- *The diameter is bounded : $\sup\{\text{diam}(R(x)) : x \in R_0\} < \infty$.*

The following lemma shows that it is possible to build sequences of block partitions of R_0 adapted in a certain sense to a local block specification.

Lemma 3.5 *Let R_0 be a block in \mathbb{R}^d and let $x \mapsto R(x)$ be a local block specification on R_0 . Then there exists a sequence $(\mathcal{P}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of block partitions of R_0 , $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{P}_n^1 \cup \mathcal{P}_n^2$, satisfying the following properties.*

- *(The number of blocks in \mathcal{P}_n is asymptotically controlled)*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#(\mathcal{P}_n)}{n^{2d}} = \int_{R_0} |R(x)|^{-1} dx. \quad (3.35)$$

- *(The elements of \mathcal{P}_n^1 follow the block specifications) For each $R \in \mathcal{P}_n^1$ there exists $y \in R_0$ such that*

$$R \text{ is a translate of } n^{-2}R(y), \text{ and } |x - y| \leq \frac{\text{diam}(R_0)}{n} \text{ for all } x \in R. \quad (3.36)$$

- *(The elements of \mathcal{P}_n^2 have a small diameter)*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(n^2 \sup_{R \in \mathcal{P}_n^2} \text{diam}(R) \right) = 0. \quad (3.37)$$

Proof: See Appendix. ◇

We recall that the block R_0 , the exponent p and the function $f \in C^m(R_0)$ are fixed, and that at each point $x \in R_0$ the polynomial $\pi_x \in \mathbb{H}_m$ is defined by (3.26). The sequence of block partitions described in the previous lemma is now used to obtain an asymptotical error estimate.

Lemma 3.6 *Let $x \mapsto R(x)$ be a local block specification such that for all $x \in R_0$*

$$\|\pi_x - \mathbf{I}_{R(x)}(\pi_x)\|_{L_p(R(x))} \leq 1. \quad (3.38)$$

Let $(\mathcal{P}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of block partitions satisfying the properties of Lemma 3.5, and let for all $N \geq 0$

$$n(N) := \max\{n \geq 1 : \#(\mathcal{P}_n) \leq N\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{R}_N := \mathcal{P}_{n(N)}$ is an admissible sequence of block partitions and

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{\frac{m}{d}} \|f - \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}_N} f\|_{L_p(R_0)} \leq \left(\int_{R_0} |R(x)|^{-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}}. \quad (3.39)$$

Proof: Let $n \geq 0$ and let $R \in \mathcal{P}_n$. If $R \in \mathcal{P}_n^1$ then let $y \in R_0$ be as in (3.36). Using (3.29) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \mathbf{I}_R f\|_{L_p(R)} &\leq \|\pi_y - \mathbf{I}_R \pi_y\|_{L_p(R)} + \|(f - \pi_y) - \mathbf{I}_R(f - \pi_y)\|_{L_p(R)} \\ &\leq n^{-\frac{2d}{\tau}} \|\pi_y - \mathbf{I}_{R(y)} \pi_y\|_{L_p(R(y))} + C|R|^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{diam}(R)^m \|d^m f - d^m \pi_y\|_{L_\infty(R)} \\ &\leq n^{-\frac{2d}{\tau}} + C n^{-\frac{2d}{\tau}} |R(y)|^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{diam}(R(y))^m \|d^m f - d^m f(y)\|_{L_\infty(R)} \\ &\leq n^{-\frac{2d}{\tau}} (1 + C' \omega_*(n^{-1} \text{diam}(R_0))), \end{aligned}$$

where we defined $C' := C \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}_0} |R(y)|^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{diam}(R(y))^m$, which is finite by Definition 3.4. We denoted by ω_* the modulus of continuity of the m -th derivatives of f which is defined at (3.31). We now define for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\delta_n := n^2 \sup_{R \in \mathcal{P}_n^2} \text{diam}(R).$$

According to (3.37) one has $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $R \in \mathcal{P}_n^2$, then $\text{diam}(R) \leq n^{-2}\delta_n$ and therefore $|R| \leq \text{diam}(R)^d \leq n^{-2d}\delta_n^d$. Using again (3.29), and recalling that $\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{m}{d} + \frac{1}{p}$ we find

$$\|f - \mathbf{I}_R f\|_{L_p(R)} \leq C |R|^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{diam}(R)^m \|d^m f\|_{L_\infty(R_0)} \leq C'' n^{-\frac{2d}{\tau}} \delta_n^{\frac{d}{\tau}}$$

where $C'' = C \|d^m f\|_{L_\infty(R_0)}$. From the previous observations it follows that

$$\|f - \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{P}_n} f\|_{L_p(R_0)} \leq \#(\mathcal{P}_n)^{\frac{1}{p}} \max_{R \in \mathcal{P}_n} \|f - \mathbf{I}_R f\|_{L_p(R)} \leq \#(\mathcal{P}_n)^{\frac{1}{p}} n^{-\frac{2d}{\tau}} \max\{1 + C' \omega_*(n^{-1} \text{diam}(R_0)), C'' \delta_n^{\frac{d}{\tau}}\}.$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \#(\mathcal{P}_n)^{-\frac{1}{p}} n^{\frac{2d}{\tau}} \|f - \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{P}_n} f\|_{L_p(R_0)} \leq 1.$$

Combining the last equation with (3.35), we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \#(\mathcal{P}_n)^{\frac{m}{d}} \|f - \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{P}_n} f\|_{L_p(R_0)} \leq \left(\int_{R_0} R(x)^{-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}}.$$

The sequence of block partitions $\mathcal{R}_N := \mathcal{P}_{n(N)}$ clearly satisfies $\#(\mathcal{R}_N)/N \rightarrow 1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore leads to the announced equation (3.39). Furthermore, it follows from the boundedness of $\text{diam}(R(x))$ on R_0 and the properties of \mathcal{P}_n described in Lemma 3.5 that

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \left(\#(\mathcal{P}_n)^{\frac{1}{d}} \sup_{R \in \mathcal{P}_n} \text{diam}(R) \right) < \infty$$

which implies that \mathcal{R}_N is an admissible sequence of partitions. \diamond

We now choose adequate local block specifications in order to obtain the estimates announced in Theorems 1.5 and 1.7. For any $M \geq \text{diam}(\mathbb{I}^d) = \sqrt{d}$ we define the modified error function

$$K_M(\pi) := \inf_{\substack{|R|=1, \\ \text{diam}(R) \leq M}} \|\pi - \mathbf{I}_R \pi\|_{L_p(R)}, \quad (3.40)$$

where the infimum is taken on blocks of unit volume and diameter smaller than M . It follows from a compactness argument that this infimum is attained and that K_M is a continuous function on \mathbb{H}_m . Furthermore, for all $\pi \in \mathbb{H}_m$, $M \mapsto K_M(\pi)$ is a decreasing function of M which tends to $K_I(\pi)$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$.

For all $x \in R_0$ we denote by $R_M^*(x)$ a block which realises the infimum in $K_M(\pi_x)$. Hence,

$$|R_M^*(x)| = 1, \text{diam}(R_M^*(x)) \leq M, \text{ and } K_M(\pi_x) = \|\pi_x - \mathbf{I}_{R_M^*(x)} \pi_x\|_{L_p(R_M^*(x))}$$

We define a local block specification on R_0 as follows

$$R_M(x) := (K_M(\pi_x) + M^{-1})^{-\frac{\tau}{d}} R_M^*(x). \quad (3.41)$$

We now observe that

$$\|\pi_x - \mathbf{I}_{R_M(x)} \pi_x\|_{L_p(R_M(x))} = K_M(\pi_x) (K_M(\pi_x) + M^{-1})^{-1} \leq 1.$$

Hence, according to Lemma 3.6, there exists a sequence $(\mathcal{R}_N^M)_{N \geq 1}$ of block partitions of R_0 such that

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{\frac{m}{d}} \|f - \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}_N^M} f\|_{L_p(R_0)} \leq \|K_M(\pi_x) + M^{-1}\|_{L_\tau(R_0)}.$$

Using our previous observations on the function K_M , we see that

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \|K_M(\pi_x) + M^{-1}\|_{L_\tau(R_0)} = \|K_I(\pi_x)\|_{L_\tau(R_0)}.$$

Hence, given $\varepsilon > 0$ we can choose $M(\varepsilon)$ large enough in such a way that

$$\|K_{M(\varepsilon)}(\pi_x) + M(\varepsilon)^{-1}\|_{L_\tau(R_0)} \leq \|K_I(\pi_x)\|_{L_\tau(R_0)} + \varepsilon,$$

which concludes the proof of the estimate (1.18) of Theorem 1.5.

For each N let $M = M(N)$ be such that

$$N^{\frac{m}{d}} \|f - \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{R}_N^M} f\|_{L_p(R_0)} \leq \|K_M(\pi_x) + M^{-1}\|_{L_\tau(R_0)} + M^{-1}$$

and $M(N) \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then the (perhaps non admissible) sequence of block partitions $\mathcal{R}_N := \mathcal{R}_N^{M(N)}$ satisfies (1.17) which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.5. \diamond

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1.7, which follows the same scheme for the most. There exists d functions $\lambda_1(x), \dots, \lambda_d(x) \in C^0(R_0)$, and a function $x \mapsto \pi_*(x) \in \mathbb{F}_k^*$ such that for all $x \in R_0$ we have

$$\pi_x = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \lambda_i(x) X_i^m + \pi_*(x).$$

The hypotheses of Theorem 1.7 state that $K_I\left(\frac{d^m f}{m!}\right) = K_I(\pi_x)$ does not vanish on R_0 . It follows from Propositions 2.7 and 2.8 that the product $\lambda_1(x) \cdots \lambda_d(x)$ is nonzero for all $x \in R_0$. We denote by $\varepsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ the sign of λ_i , which is therefore constant over the block R_0 , and we define

$$\pi_\varepsilon := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} \varepsilon_i X_i^m$$

The proofs of Propositions 2.8 and 2.7 show that there exists a block R_ε , satisfying $|R_\varepsilon| = 1$, and such that $K_I(\pi_\varepsilon) = \|\pi - \mathbf{I}_{R_\varepsilon} \pi\|_{L_p(R_\varepsilon)}$. By $D(x)$ we denote the diagonal matrix of entries $|\lambda_1(x)|, \dots, |\lambda_d(x)|$, and we define

$$R^*(x) := (\det D(x))^{\frac{1}{md}} D(x)^{-\frac{1}{m}} R_\varepsilon.$$

Clearly, $|R^*(x)| = 1$. Using (1.5) and the homogeneity of $\pi_x \in \mathbb{H}_m$, we find that

$$\|\pi_x - \mathbf{I}_{R^*(x)} \pi_x\|_{L_p(R^*(x))} = (\det D(x))^{\frac{1}{d}} K_I(\pi_\varepsilon) = K_I(\pi_x).$$

We then define the local block specification

$$R(x) := K_I(\pi_x)^{-\frac{\tau}{d}} R^*(x). \tag{3.42}$$

The admissible sequence $(\mathcal{R}_N)_{N \geq 1}$ of block partitions constructed in Lemma 3.6 then satisfies the optimal upper estimate (1.17), which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.7. \diamond

Remark 3.7 (Adaptation to weighted norms) *Lemma 3.6 also holds if (3.38) is replaced with*

$$\Omega(x) \|\pi_x - \mathbf{I}_{R(x)}(\pi_x)\|_{L_p(R(x))} \leq 1$$

and if the $L_p(R_0)$ norm is replaced with the weighted $L_p(R_0, \Omega)$ norm in (3.39). Replacing the block $R_M(x)$ defined in (3.41) with

$$R'_M(x) := \Omega(x)^{-\frac{\tau}{d}} R_M(x),$$

one can easily obtain the extension of Theorem 1.5 to weighted norms. Similarly, replacing $R(x)$ defined in (3.42) with $R'(x) := \Omega(x)^{-\frac{\tau}{d}} R(x)$, one obtains the extension of Theorem 1.7 to weighted norms.

APPENDIX




Figure 1: (Left) the initial uniform (coarse) tiling \mathcal{Q}_3 of R_0 . (Right) the set of blocks \mathcal{P}_n^1 in green and the set of blocks \mathcal{P}_n^{2*} in red.

A Proof of Lemma 3.5

By \mathcal{Q}_n we denote the standard partition of $R_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ in n^d identical blocks of diameter $n^{-1} \text{diam}(R_0)$ illustrated on the left in Figure 1. For each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ by x_Q we denote the barycenter of Q and we consider the tiling \mathcal{T}_Q of \mathbb{R}^d formed with the block $n^{-2}R(x_Q)$ and its translates. We define $\mathcal{P}_n^1(Q)$ and \mathcal{P}_n^1 as follows

$$\mathcal{P}_n^1(Q) := \{R \in \mathcal{T}_Q : R \subset Q\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_n^1 := \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n} \mathcal{P}_n^1(Q).$$

Comparing the areas, we obtain

$$\#(\mathcal{P}_n^1) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n} \mathcal{P}_n^1(Q) \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n} \frac{|Q|}{|n^{-2}R(x_Q)|} = n^{2d} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n} |Q| |R(x_Q)|^{-1}.$$

From this point, using the continuity of $x \mapsto |R(x)|$, one can easily show that $\frac{\#(\mathcal{P}_n^1)}{n^{2d}} \rightarrow \int_{R_0} |R(x)|^{-1} dx$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, the property (3.36) clearly holds. In order to construct \mathcal{P}_n^2 , we first define two sets of blocks $\mathcal{P}_n^{2*}(Q)$ and \mathcal{P}_n^{2*} as follows

$$\mathcal{P}_n^{2*}(Q) := \{R \cap Q : R \in \mathcal{T}_Q \text{ and } R \cap \partial Q \neq \emptyset\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_n^{2*} := \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n} \mathcal{P}_n^{2*}(Q).$$

Comparing the surface of ∂Q with the dimensions of $R(x_Q)$, we find that

$$\#(\mathcal{P}_n^{2*}(Q)) \leq Cn^{d-1}$$

where C is independent of n and of $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_n$. Therefore, $\#(\mathcal{P}_n^{2*}) \leq Cn^{2d-1}$. The set of blocks \mathcal{P}_n^2 is then obtained by subdividing each block of \mathcal{P}_n^{2*} into $o(n)$ (for instance, $[\ln(n)]^d$) identical sub-blocks, in such a way that $\#(\mathcal{P}_n^2)$ is $o(n^{2d})$ and that the requirement (3.37) is met.

References

- [1] V.F. Babenko, Interpolation of continuous functions by piecewise linear ones, Math. Notes, 24, no.1, (1978) 43–53.
- [2] V. Babenko, Yu. Babenko, A. Ligun, A. Shumeiko, On asymptotical behavior of the optimal linear spline interpolation error of C^2 functions, East J. Approx., V. 12, N. 1 (2006), 71–101.
- [3] Yu. Babenko, V. Babenko, D. Skorokhodov, Exact asymptotics of the optimal $L_{p,\Omega}$ -error of linear spline interpolation, East Journal on Approximations, V. 14, N. 3 (2008), pp. 285–317.
- [4] Yu. Babenko, On the asymptotic behavior of the optimal error of spline interpolation of multivariate functions, PhD thesis, 2006.

- [5] Yu. Babenko, Exact asymptotics of the uniform error of interpolation by multilinear splines, to appear in *J. Approx. Theory*.
- [6] K. Böröczky, M. Ludwig, Approximation of convex bodies and a momentum lemma for power diagrams, *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, V. 127, N. 2, (1999) 101–110.
- [7] A. Cohen, J.-M. Mirebeau, *Adaptive and anisotropic piecewise polynomial approximation*, chapter 4 of the book *Multiscale, Nonlinear and Adaptive Approximation*, Springer, 2009
- [8] E. F. D’Azevedo Are bilinear quadrilaterals better than linear triangles? *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* 22 (2000), no. 1, 198–217.
- [9] L. Fejes Toth, Lagerungen in der Ebene, auf der Kugel und im Raum, 2nd edn. Berlin: Springer, 1972.
- [10] P. Gruber, Error of asymptotic formulae for volume approximation of convex bodies in E^d , *Monatsh. Math.* 135 (2002) 279-304.
- [11] Ligun A.A., Shumeiko A.A., Asymptotic methods of curve recovery, Kiev. Inst. of Math. NAS of Ukraine, 1997. (in Russian)
- [12] J.-M. Mirebeau, Optimal meshes for finite elements of arbitrary order, *Constructive Approximation* 32-2, pp. 339-383 (2010).