

Local null-controllability of the 2-D Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system

Iván Moyano

CMLS, École Polytechnique

June 22, 2016

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system

Vlasov-Navier-Stokes (VNS): kinetic-fluid system describing the evolution of a large cloud of small particles interacting

- with a viscous incompressible fluid,

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system

Vlasov-Navier-Stokes (VNS): kinetic-fluid system describing the evolution of a large cloud of small particles interacting

- with a viscous incompressible fluid,
- under the effects of friction,

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system

Vlasov-Navier-Stokes (VNS): kinetic-fluid system describing the evolution of a large cloud of small particles interacting

- with a viscous incompressible fluid,
- under the effects of friction,
- without collisions between particles.

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system

Vlasov-Navier-Stokes (VNS): kinetic-fluid system describing the evolution of a large cloud of small particles interacting

- with a viscous incompressible fluid,
- under the effects of friction,
- without collisions between particles.

TWO ESSENTIAL MAGNITUDES

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system

Vlasov-Navier-Stokes (VNS): kinetic-fluid system describing the evolution of a large cloud of small particles interacting

- with a viscous incompressible fluid,
- under the effects of friction,
- without collisions between particles.

TWO ESSENTIAL MAGNITUDES

- **THE PARTICLES:** the number of particles located at x in time t having velocity v is describe through the distribution function $f(t, x, v)$ (Vlasov equation).

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system

Vlasov-Navier-Stokes (VNS): kinetic-fluid system describing the evolution of a large cloud of small particles interacting

- with a viscous incompressible fluid,
- under the effects of friction,
- without collisions between particles.

TWO ESSENTIAL MAGNITUDES

- **THE PARTICLES:** the number of particles located at x in time t having velocity v is describe through the distribution function $f(t, x, v)$ (Vlasov equation).
- **THE FLUID:** the velocity field of the fluid is described by a vector field $u(t, x)$ (Navier-Stokes system).

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system

Vlasov-Navier-Stokes (VNS): kinetic-fluid system describing the evolution of a large cloud of small particles interacting

- with a viscous incompressible fluid,
- under the effects of friction,
- without collisions between particles.

TWO ESSENTIAL MAGNITUDES

- THE PARTICLES: the number of particles located at x in time t having velocity v is describe through the distribution function $f(t, x, v)$ (Vlasov equation).
- THE FLUID: the velocity field of the fluid is described by a vector field $u(t, x)$ (Navier-Stokes system).

The VNS system for (f, u) contains:

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system

Vlasov-Navier-Stokes (VNS): kinetic-fluid system describing the evolution of a large cloud of small particles interacting

- with a viscous incompressible fluid,
- under the effects of friction,
- without collisions between particles.

TWO ESSENTIAL MAGNITUDES

- THE PARTICLES: the number of particles located at x in time t having velocity v is describe through the distribution function $f(t, x, v)$ (Vlasov equation).
- THE FLUID: the velocity field of the fluid is described by a vector field $u(t, x)$ (Navier-Stokes system).

The VNS system for (f, u) contains:

- a Vlasov (transport) equation for f ,

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system

Vlasov-Navier-Stokes (VNS): kinetic-fluid system describing the evolution of a large cloud of small particles interacting

- with a viscous incompressible fluid,
- under the effects of friction,
- without collisions between particles.

TWO ESSENTIAL MAGNITUDES

- **THE PARTICLES:** the number of particles located at x in time t having velocity v is describe through the distribution function $f(t, x, v)$ (Vlasov equation).
- **THE FLUID:** the velocity field of the fluid is described by a vector field $u(t, x)$ (Navier-Stokes system).

The VNS system for (f, u) contains:

- a Vlasov (transport) equation for f ,
- a Navier-Stokes system for u ,

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system

Vlasov-Navier-Stokes (VNS): kinetic-fluid system describing the evolution of a large cloud of small particles interacting

- with a viscous incompressible fluid,
- under the effects of friction,
- without collisions between particles.

TWO ESSENTIAL MAGNITUDES

- THE PARTICLES: the number of particles located at x in time t having velocity v is describe through the distribution function $f(t, x, v)$ (Vlasov equation).
- THE FLUID: the velocity field of the fluid is described by a vector field $u(t, x)$ (Navier-Stokes system).

The VNS system for (f, u) contains:

- a Vlasov (transport) equation for f ,
- a Navier-Stokes system for u ,
- coupling terms relying f and u .

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system

Let $\mathbb{T}^2 := \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, $T > 0$.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla_x) u - \Delta_x u + \nabla_x p = j_f - \rho_f u, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x u(t, x) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2, \end{array} \right.$$

with $j_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v f(t, x, v) dv$ and $\rho_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, x, v) dv$.

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system

Let $\mathbb{T}^2 := \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, $T > 0$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla_x) u - \Delta_x u + \nabla_x p = j_f - \rho_f u, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x u(t, x) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases}$$

with $j_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v f(t, x, v) dv$ and $\rho_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, x, v) dv$.

TWO INTERACTION TERMS: **Non-linearities**

1.- Coupling $\operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f]$, 2.- Brinkman force $j_f - \rho_f u$.

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system

Let $\mathbb{T}^2 := \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, $T > 0$.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla_x) u - \Delta_x u + \nabla_x p = j_f - \rho_f u, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x u(t, x) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2, \end{array} \right.$$

with $j_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v f(t, x, v) dv$ and $\rho_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, x, v) dv$.

TWO INTERACTION TERMS: **Non-linearities**

1.- Coupling $\operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f]$, 2.- Brinkman force $j_f - \rho_f u$.

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system

Let $\mathbb{T}^2 := \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, $T > 0$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla_x) u - \Delta_x u + \nabla_x p = j_f - \rho_f u, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x u(t, x) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases}$$

with $j_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v f(t, x, v) dv$ and $\rho_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, x, v) dv$.

TWO INTERACTION TERMS: **Non-linearities**

1.- Coupling $\operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f]$, 2.- Brinkman force $j_f - \rho_f u$.

ANALYSIS OF THE VNS SYSTEM:

- Mean-field approach: L. Desvillettes, F. Golse and V. Ricci. (J. Stat. Phys., 2008)
- Weak solutions: L. Boudin, L. Desvillettes, C. Grandmont and A. Moussa (DIE, 2008),
- Hydrod. lim.: T. Goudon, P.E. Jabin, A. Vasseur (IUMJ, '08).

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system

Let $\mathbb{T}^2 := \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, $T > 0$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla_x) u - \Delta_x u + \nabla_x p = j_f - \rho_f u, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x u(t, x) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases}$$

with $j_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v f(t, x, v) dv$ and $\rho_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, x, v) dv$.

TWO INTERACTION TERMS: **Non-linearities**

1.- Coupling $\operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f]$, 2.- Brinkman force $j_f - \rho_f u$.

GOAL: To control the dynamics of (f, u) , both the particles and the fluid.

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system

Let $\mathbb{T}^2 := \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, $T > 0$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla_x) u - \Delta_x u + \nabla_x p = j_f - \rho_f u, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x u(t, x) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases}$$

with $j_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v f(t, x, v) dv$ and $\rho_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, x, v) dv$.

TWO INTERACTION TERMS: **Non-linearities**

1.- Coupling $\operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f]$, 2.- Brinkman force $j_f - \rho_f u$.

GOAL: To control the dynamics of (f, u) , both the particles and the fluid.

HOW? We want to absorb particles from a subset of \mathbb{T}^2 , $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

This amounts to use an **internal control** in the Vlasov equation, located in the absorption region $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system under control

Let $\mathbb{T}^2 := \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, $T > 0$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = \mathbf{1}_\omega(x)G, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla_x) u - \Delta_x u + \nabla_x p = j_f - \rho_f u, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x u(t, x) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases}$$

with $j_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v f(t, x, v) dv$ and $\rho_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, x, v) dv$.

TWO INTERACTION TERMS: **Non-linearities**

1.- Coupling $\operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f]$, 2.- Brinkman force $j_f - \rho_f u$.

GOAL: To control the dynamics of (f, u) , both the particles and the fluid.

HOW? We want to absorb particles from a subset of \mathbb{T}^2 , $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

This amounts to use an **internal control** in the Vlasov equation, located in the absorption region $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system under control

Let $\mathbb{T}^2 := \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, $T > 0$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = \mathbf{1}_\omega(x)G, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla_x)u - \Delta_x u + \nabla_x p = j_f - \rho_f u, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x u(t, x) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases}$$

with $j_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v f(t, x, v) dv$ and $\rho_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, x, v) dv$.

TWO INTERACTION TERMS: **Non-linearities**

1.- Coupling $\operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f]$, 2.- Brinkman force $j_f - \rho_f u$.

This is a **NONLINEAR CONTROL** system:

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system under control

Let $\mathbb{T}^2 := \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, $T > 0$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = \mathbf{1}_\omega(x)G, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla_x) u - \Delta_x u + \nabla_x p = j_f - \rho_f u, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x u(t, x) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases}$$

with $j_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v f(t, x, v) dv$ and $\rho_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, x, v) dv$.

TWO INTERACTION TERMS: **Non-linearities**

1.- Coupling $\operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f]$, 2.- Brinkman force $j_f - \rho_f u$.

This is a **NONLINEAR CONTROL** system:

- STATE: (f, u) , pair distribution function-velocity field,

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system under control

Let $\mathbb{T}^2 := \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, $T > 0$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = 1_\omega(x)G, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla_x) u - \Delta_x u + \nabla_x p = j_f - \rho_f u, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x u(t, x) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases}$$

with $j_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v f(t, x, v) dv$ and $\rho_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, x, v) dv$.

TWO INTERACTION TERMS: **Non-linearities**

1.- Coupling $\operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f]$, 2.- Brinkman force $j_f - \rho_f u$.

This is a **NONLINEAR CONTROL** system:

- STATE: (f, u) , pair distribution function-velocity field,
- CONTROL: source $1_\omega(x)G(t, x, v)$, located in $[0, T] \times \omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system under control

Let $\mathbb{T}^2 := \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, $T > 0$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = 1_\omega(x)G, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla_x) u - \Delta_x u + \nabla_x p = j_f - \rho_f u, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x u(t, x) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases}$$

with $j_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v f(t, x, v) dv$ and $\rho_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, x, v) dv$.

TWO INTERACTION TERMS: **Non-linearities**

1.- Coupling $\operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f]$, 2.- Brinkman force $j_f - \rho_f u$.

This is a **NONLINEAR CONTROL** system:

- STATE: (f, u) , pair distribution function-velocity field,
- CONTROL: source $1_\omega(x)G(t, x, v)$, located in $[0, T] \times \omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

The control G should modify the dynamics of (f, u)

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system under control

Let $\mathbb{T}^2 := \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, $T > 0$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = 1_\omega(x)G, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla_x) u - \Delta_x u + \nabla_x p = j_f - \rho_f u, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x u(t, x) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases}$$

with $j_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v f(t, x, v) dv$ and $\rho_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, x, v) dv$.

TWO INTERACTION TERMS: **Non-linearities**

1.- Coupling $\operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f]$, 2.- Brinkman force $j_f - \rho_f u$.

This is a **NONLINEAR CONTROL** system:

- STATE: (f, u) , pair distribution function-velocity field,
- CONTROL: source $1_\omega(x)G(t, x, v)$, located in $[0, T] \times \omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

The control G should modify the dynamics of (f, u)

- by absorbing particles from ω ,

The Vlasov-Navier-Stokes system under control

Let $\mathbb{T}^2 := \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, $T > 0$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = 1_\omega(x)G, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla_x) u - \Delta_x u + \nabla_x p = j_f - \rho_f u, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x u(t, x) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases}$$

with $j_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v f(t, x, v) dv$ and $\rho_f(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t, x, v) dv$.

TWO INTERACTION TERMS: **Non-linearities**

1.- Coupling $\operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f]$, 2.- Brinkman force $j_f - \rho_f u$.

This is a **NONLINEAR CONTROL** system:

- STATE: (f, u) , pair distribution function-velocity field,
- CONTROL: source $1_\omega(x)G(t, x, v)$, located in $[0, T] \times \omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

The control G should modify the dynamics of (f, u)

- by absorbing particles from ω ,
- by acting on the velocity of the fluid.

Goal: an exact controllability result

THE QUESTION OF CONTROLLABILITY:

Goal: an exact controllability result

THE QUESTION OF CONTROLLABILITY:

Let X, U functional spaces. Let $T > 0$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

Goal: an exact controllability result

THE QUESTION OF CONTROLLABILITY:

Let X, U functional spaces. Let $T > 0$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

Pick an initial state $(f_0, u_0) \in X$ and a final state $(f_1, u_1) \in X$.

Goal: an exact controllability result

THE QUESTION OF CONTROLLABILITY:

Let X, U functional spaces. Let $T > 0$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

Pick an initial state $(f_0, u_0) \in X$ and a final state $(f_1, u_1) \in X$.

We search a control $G \in U$, supported in $(0, T) \times \omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$,
such that the solution of VNS satisfies

Goal: an exact controllability result

THE QUESTION OF CONTROLLABILITY:

Let X, U functional spaces. Let $T > 0$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

Pick an initial state $(f_0, u_0) \in X$ and a final state $(f_1, u_1) \in X$.

We search a control $G \in U$, supported in $(0, T) \times \omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$,

such that the solution of VNS satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} t = 0 & & t = T \\ (f_0, u_0) & \xrightarrow{G} & (f_1, u_1) \end{array}$$

Goal: an exact controllability result

THE QUESTION OF CONTROLLABILITY:

Let X, U functional spaces. Let $T > 0$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

Pick an initial state $(f_0, u_0) \in X$ and a final state $(f_1, u_1) \in X$.

We search a control $G \in U$, supported in $(0, T) \times \omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$,
such that the solution of VNS satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} t = 0 & & t = T \\ (f_0, u_0) & \xrightarrow{G} & (f_1, u_1) \end{array}$$

We shall answer positively to this question

- for X, U spaces of regular functions (Hölder),

Goal: an exact controllability result

THE QUESTION OF CONTROLLABILITY:

Let X, U functional spaces. Let $T > 0$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

Pick an initial state $(f_0, u_0) \in X$ and a final state $(f_1, u_1) \in X$.

We search a control $G \in U$, supported in $(0, T) \times \omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$,
such that the solution of VNS satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} t = 0 & & t = T \\ (f_0, u_0) & \xrightarrow{G} & (f_1, u_1) \end{array}$$

We shall answer positively to this question

- for X, U spaces of regular functions (Hölder),
- for f_0 and u_0 small in X (local result),

Goal: an exact controllability result

THE QUESTION OF CONTROLLABILITY:

Let X, U functional spaces. Let $T > 0$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

Pick an initial state $(f_0, u_0) \in X$ and a final state $(f_1, u_1) \in X$.

We search a control $G \in U$, supported in $(0, T) \times \omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$,
such that the solution of VNS satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} t = 0 & & t = T \\ (f_0, u_0) & \xrightarrow{G} & (f_1, u_1) \end{array}$$

We shall answer positively to this question

- for X, U spaces of regular functions (Hölder),
- for f_0 and u_0 small in X (local result),
- for $f_1 = 0$ and $u_1 = 0$, (null-controllability),

Goal: an exact controllability result

THE QUESTION OF CONTROLLABILITY:

Let X, U functional spaces. Let $T > 0$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

Pick an initial state $(f_0, u_0) \in X$ and a final state $(f_1, u_1) \in X$.

We search a control $G \in U$, supported in $(0, T) \times \omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$,
such that the solution of VNS satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} t = 0 & & t = T \\ (f_0, u_0) & \xrightarrow{G} & (f_1, u_1) \end{array}$$

We shall answer positively to this question

- for X, U spaces of regular functions (Hölder),
- for f_0 and u_0 small in X (local result),
- for $f_1 = 0$ and $u_1 = 0$, (null-controllability),
- for a time $T > 0$ large enough,

Goal: an exact controllability result

THE QUESTION OF CONTROLLABILITY:

Let X, U functional spaces. Let $T > 0$, $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

Pick an initial state $(f_0, u_0) \in X$ and a final state $(f_1, u_1) \in X$.

We search a control $G \in U$, supported in $(0, T) \times \omega \times \mathbb{R}^2$,
such that the solution of VNS satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} t = 0 & & t = T \\ (f_0, u_0) & \xrightarrow{G} & (f_1, u_1) \end{array}$$

We shall answer positively to this question

- for X, U spaces of regular functions (Hölder),
- for f_0 and u_0 small in X (local result),
- for $f_1 = 0$ and $u_1 = 0$, (null-controllability),
- for a time $T > 0$ large enough,
- for $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$ satisfying a geometric assumption.

Main result: Local null-controllability result

THEOREM (IM, 2016)

Let $\gamma > 2$ and let $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$ satisfy the **STRIP ASSUMPTION**.

$\exists \epsilon > 0, M > 0, T_0 > 0$ such that $\forall T \geq T_0$, and for every $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ and u_0 satisfying that

$$u_0 \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap H^2(\mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{R}^2), \quad \operatorname{div}_x u_0 = 0, \quad \|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq M,$$

$$\|f_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)} + \|(1 + |v|)^{\gamma+2} f_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \epsilon,$$

$$\exists \kappa > 0, \quad \sup_{\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |v|)^\gamma (|\nabla_x f_0| + |\nabla_v f_0|)(x, v) \leq \kappa,$$

there exists a control $G \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that a STRONG SOLUTION of (VNS) with $f|_{t=0} = f_0$ and $u|_{t=0} = u_0$ exists, is unique and satisfies

$$f|_{t=T} = 0, \quad u|_{t=T} = 0.$$

Controllability of kinetic equations

VLASOV EQUATIONS: describe the dynamics of a cloud of particles $f(t, x, v)$ undergoing macroscopic forces F or collisions \mathcal{C} ,

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + F(t, x) \cdot \nabla_v f = \mathcal{C}(f).$$

NONLINEAR NON-COLLISIONAL MODELS ($\mathcal{C}(f) \equiv 0$)

Controllability of kinetic equations

VLASOV EQUATIONS: describe the dynamics of a cloud of particles $f(t, x, v)$ undergoing macroscopic forces F or collisions \mathcal{C} ,

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + F(t, x) \cdot \nabla_v f = \mathcal{C}(f).$$

NONLINEAR NON-COLLISIONAL MODELS ($\mathcal{C}(f) \equiv 0$)

- Vlasov-Poisson (F is an electric field). Local and global exact controllability (O. Glass, JDE, 2003),

Controllability of kinetic equations

VLASOV EQUATIONS: describe the dynamics of a cloud of particles $f(t, x, v)$ undergoing macroscopic forces F or collisions \mathcal{C} ,

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + F(t, x) \cdot \nabla_v f = \mathcal{C}(f).$$

NONLINEAR NON-COLLISIONAL MODELS ($\mathcal{C}(f) \equiv 0$)

- Vlasov-Poisson (F is an electric field). Local and global exact controllability (O. Glass, JDE, 2003),
- Vlasov-Poisson (F is an electric field + Lorentz/magnetic forces). Local and global exact controllability (O. Glass and D. Han-Kwan, JDE, 2011),

Controllability of kinetic equations

VLASOV EQUATIONS: describe the dynamics of a cloud of particles $f(t, x, v)$ undergoing macroscopic forces F or collisions \mathcal{C} ,

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + F(t, x) \cdot \nabla_v f = \mathcal{C}(f).$$

NONLINEAR NON-COLLISIONAL MODELS ($\mathcal{C}(f) \equiv 0$)

- Vlasov-Poisson (F is an electric field). Local and global exact controllability (O. Glass, JDE, 2003),
- Vlasov-Poisson (F is an electric field + Lorentz/magnetic forces). Local and global exact controllability (O. Glass and D. Han-Kwan, JDE, 2011),
- Vlasov-Maxwell relativistic (F is given by relativistic Maxwell equations). Local exact controllability (O. Glass and D. Han-Kwan, JMPA, 2015),

Controllability of kinetic equations

VLASOV EQUATIONS: describe the dynamics of a cloud of particles $f(t, x, v)$ undergoing macroscopic forces F or collisions \mathcal{C} ,

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + F(t, x) \cdot \nabla_v f = \mathcal{C}(f).$$

NONLINEAR NON-COLLISIONAL MODELS ($\mathcal{C}(f) \equiv 0$)

- Vlasov-Poisson (F is an electric field). Local and global exact controllability (O. Glass, JDE, 2003),
- Vlasov-Poisson (F is an electric field + Lorentz/magnetic forces). Local and global exact controllability (O. Glass and D. Han-Kwan, JDE, 2011),
- Vlasov-Maxwell relativistic (F is given by relativistic Maxwell equations). Local exact controllability (O. Glass and D. Han-Kwan, JMPA, 2015),
- Vlasov-Stokes (F is given by a Stokes system). Local exact controllability (IM, 2015).

Controllability of kinetic equations

VLASOV EQUATIONS: describe the dynamics of a cloud of particles $f(t, x, v)$ undergoing macroscopic forces F or collisions \mathcal{C} ,

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + F(t, x) \cdot \nabla_v f = \mathcal{C}(f).$$

COLLISIONAL LINEAR MODELS: $\mathcal{C}(f)$ is a collision operator.

Controllability of kinetic equations

VLASOV EQUATIONS: describe the dynamics of a cloud of particles $f(t, x, v)$ undergoing macroscopic forces F or collisions \mathcal{C} ,

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + F(t, x) \cdot \nabla_v f = \mathcal{C}(f).$$

COLLISIONAL LINEAR MODELS: $\mathcal{C}(f)$ is a collision operator.

- Kolmogorov equation: $\mathcal{C}(f) = -\Delta_v$
K. Beauchard and E. Zuazua, Ann. IH, 2009. K. Beauchard, MCSS, 2014
J. Le Rousseau and I.M, JDE, 2016.

Controllability of kinetic equations

VLASOV EQUATIONS: describe the dynamics of a cloud of particles $f(t, x, v)$ undergoing macroscopic forces F or collisions \mathcal{C} ,

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + F(t, x) \cdot \nabla_v f = \mathcal{C}(f).$$

COLLISIONAL LINEAR MODELS: $\mathcal{C}(f)$ is a collision operator.

- Kolmogorov equation: $\mathcal{C}(f) = -\Delta_v$
K. Beauchard and E. Zuazua, Ann. IH, 2009. K. Beauchard, MCSS, 2014
J. Le Rousseau and I.M, JDE, 2016.
- Orstein-Uhlenbeck operators (including Fokker-Planck)
K. Beauchard and K. Pravda-Starov, 2016.

Controllability of kinetic equations

VLASOV EQUATIONS: describe the dynamics of a cloud of particles $f(t, x, v)$ undergoing macroscopic forces F or collisions \mathcal{C} ,

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + F(t, x) \cdot \nabla_v f = \mathcal{C}(f).$$

COLLISIONAL LINEAR MODELS: $\mathcal{C}(f)$ is a collision operator.

- Kolmogorov equation: $\mathcal{C}(f) = -\Delta_v$
K. Beauchard and E. Zuazua, Ann. IH, 2009. K. Beauchard, MCSS, 2014
J. Le Rousseau and I.M, JDE, 2016.
- Orstein-Uhlenbeck operators (including Fokker-Planck)
K. Beauchard and K. Pravda-Starov, 2016.
- Linear Boltzmann equation.
D. Han-Kwan and M. Léautaud, Ann. PDE, 2015.

Controllability of kinetic equations

VLASOV EQUATIONS: describe the dynamics of a cloud of particles $f(t, x, v)$ undergoing macroscopic forces F or collisions \mathcal{C} ,

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + F(t, x) \cdot \nabla_v f = \mathcal{C}(f).$$

COLLISIONAL LINEAR MODELS: $\mathcal{C}(f)$ is a collision operator.

- Kolmogorov equation: $\mathcal{C}(f) = -\Delta_v$
K. Beauchard and E. Zuazua, Ann. IH, 2009. K. Beauchard, MCSS, 2014
J. Le Rousseau and I.M, JDE, 2016.
- Orstein-Uhlenbeck operators (including Fokker-Planck)
K. Beauchard and K. Pravda-Starov, 2016.
- Linear Boltzmann equation.
D. Han-Kwan and M. Léautaud, Ann. PDE, 2015.
- Fokker-Planck and linear Boltzmann.
C. Bardos and K.D. Phung, 2016.

Strategy for the non-collisional cases: local results

OBSTRUCTIONS FOR CONTROLLABILITY:

the linearised system around zero is NOT controllable (transport equation, solved through characteristics).

Strategy for the non-collisional cases: local results

OBSTRUCTIONS FOR CONTROLLABILITY:

the linearised system around zero is NOT controllable (transport equation, solved through characteristics). **Why?**

Strategy for the non-collisional cases: local results

OBSTRUCTIONS FOR CONTROLLABILITY:

the linearised system around zero is NOT controllable (transport equation, solved through characteristics). **Why?**

- **Bad directions:** (x, v) is such that $\{x + tv\} \cap \omega = \emptyset$

Strategy for the non-collisional cases: local results

OBSTRUCTIONS FOR CONTROLLABILITY:

the linearised system around zero is NOT controllable (transport equation, solved through characteristics). **Why?**

- **Bad directions:** (x, v) is such that $\{x + tv\} \cap \omega = \emptyset$
- **Low velocities:** Even if (x, v) is such that $\left\{x + t \frac{v}{|v|}\right\} \cap \omega$, it can take a very large time to get there at speed $|v|$.

Strategy for the non-collisional cases: local results

OBSTRUCTIONS FOR CONTROLLABILITY:

the linearised system around zero is NOT controllable (transport equation, solved through characteristics). **Why?**

- **Bad directions:** (x, v) is such that $\{x + tv\} \cap \omega = \emptyset$
- **Low velocities:** Even if (x, v) is such that $\left\{x + t \frac{v}{|v|}\right\} \cap \omega$, it can take a very large time to get there at speed $|v|$.

What to do, then?

Strategy for the non-collisional cases: local results

OBSTRUCTIONS FOR CONTROLLABILITY:

the linearised system around zero is NOT controllable (transport equation, solved through characteristics). **Why?**

- **Bad directions:** (x, v) is such that $\{x + tv\} \cap \omega = \emptyset$
- **Low velocities:** Even if (x, v) is such that $\left\{x + t \frac{v}{|v|}\right\} \cap \omega$, it can take a very large time to get there at speed $|v|$.

What to do, then? There is still hope: **Coron's Return method**

Strategy for the non-collisional cases: local results

OBSTRUCTIONS FOR CONTROLLABILITY:

the linearised system around zero is NOT controllable (transport equation, solved through characteristics). **Why?**

- **Bad directions:** (x, v) is such that $\{x + tv\} \cap \omega = \emptyset$
- **Low velocities:** Even if (x, v) is such that $\left\{x + t \frac{v}{|v|}\right\} \cap \omega$, it can take a very large time to get there at speed $|v|$.

What to do, then? There is still hope: **Coron's Return method**
ESSENTIAL IDEA: to construct a trajectory of the **nonlinear** problem such that the linearised problem around it is controllable.

Strategy for the non-collisional cases: local results

OBSTRUCTIONS FOR CONTROLLABILITY:

the linearised system around zero is NOT controllable (transport equation, solved through characteristics). **Why?**

- **Bad directions:** (x, v) is such that $\{x + tv\} \cap \omega = \emptyset$
- **Low velocities:** Even if (x, v) is such that $\left\{x + t \frac{v}{|v|}\right\} \cap \omega$, it can take a very large time to get there at speed $|v|$.

What to do, then? There is still hope: **Coron's Return method**
ESSENTIAL IDEA: to construct a trajectory of the **nonlinear** problem such that the linearised problem around it is controllable.

SCHEME FOR NON-COLLISIONAL KINETIC EQUATIONS:
(O. Glass and D. Han-Kwan, VP, VM, '03,'15)

Strategy for the non-collisional cases: local results

OBSTRUCTIONS FOR CONTROLLABILITY:

the linearised system around zero is NOT controllable (transport equation, solved through characteristics). **Why?**

- **Bad directions:** (x, v) is such that $\{x + tv\} \cap \omega = \emptyset$
- **Low velocities:** Even if (x, v) is such that $\left\{x + t \frac{v}{|v|}\right\} \cap \omega$, it can take a very large time to get there at speed $|v|$.

What to do, then? There is still hope: **Coron's Return method**
ESSENTIAL IDEA: to construct a trajectory of the **nonlinear** problem such that the linearised problem around it is controllable.

SCHEME FOR NON-COLLISIONAL KINETIC EQUATIONS:

(O. Glass and D. Han-Kwan, VP, VM, '03,'15)

return method + Leray-Schauder **fixed-point** theorem.

Strategy for the non-collisional cases: local results

OBSTRUCTIONS FOR CONTROLLABILITY:

the linearised system around zero is NOT controllable (transport equation, solved through characteristics). **Why?**

- **Bad directions:** (x, v) is such that $\{x + tv\} \cap \omega = \emptyset$
- **Low velocities:** Even if (x, v) is such that $\left\{x + t \frac{v}{|v|}\right\} \cap \omega$, it can take a very large time to get there at speed $|v|$.

What to do, then? There is still hope: **Coron's Return method**
ESSENTIAL IDEA: to construct a trajectory of the **nonlinear** problem such that the linearised problem around it is controllable.

SCHEME FOR NON-COLLISIONAL KINETIC EQUATIONS:

(O. Glass and D. Han-Kwan, VP, VM, '03,'15)

return method + Leray-Schauder **fixed-point** theorem.

- we construct a reference trajectory (\bar{f}, \bar{u}) **eliminating** the **bad directions** and the **slow velocities**.

Strategy for the non-collisional cases: local results

OBSTRUCTIONS FOR CONTROLLABILITY:

the linearised system around zero is NOT controllable (transport equation, solved through characteristics). **Why?**

- **Bad directions:** (x, v) is such that $\{x + tv\} \cap \omega = \emptyset$
- **Low velocities:** Even if (x, v) is such that $\left\{x + t \frac{v}{|v|}\right\} \cap \omega$, it can take a very large time to get there at speed $|v|$.

What to do, then? There is still hope: **Coron's Return method**
ESSENTIAL IDEA: to construct a trajectory of the **nonlinear** problem such that the linearised problem around it is controllable.

SCHEME FOR NON-COLLISIONAL KINETIC EQUATIONS:

(O. Glass and D. Han-Kwan, VP, VM, '03,'15)

return method + Leray-Schauder **fixed-point** theorem.

- we construct a reference trajectory (\bar{f}, \bar{u}) **eliminating** the **bad directions** and the **slow velocities**.
- we construct a solution of VNS **close to** (\bar{f}, \bar{u}) beginning at (f_0, u_0) and satisfying $(f, u)|_{t=T} = (0, 0)$.

How to eliminate the obstructions? Method of characteristics

Given f_0 and u regular, the transport equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = 0, & (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases}$$

has the **explicit solution**

$$f(t, x, v) = e^{2t} f_0((X, V)(0, t, x, v)),$$

where (X, V) are the characteristics associated to $-v + u$.

How to eliminate the obstructions? Method of characteristics

Given f_0 and u regular, the transport equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = 0, & (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases}$$

has the **explicit solution**

$$f(t, x, v) = e^{2t} f_0((X, V)(0, t, x, v)),$$

where (X, V) are the characteristics associated to $-v + u$.

MORAL: the particles *follow* the characteristic flow.

How to eliminate the obstructions? Method of characteristics

Given f_0 and u regular, the transport equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = 0, & (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases}$$

has the **explicit solution**

$$f(t, x, v) = e^{2t} f_0((X, V)(0, t, x, v)),$$

where (X, V) are the characteristics associated to $-v + u$.

MORAL: the particles *follow* the characteristic flow.

To absorb the particles, we have to get into the control region ω .

How to eliminate the obstructions? Method of characteristics

Given f_0 and u regular, the transport equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = 0, & (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases}$$

has the **explicit solution**

$$f(t, x, v) = e^{2t} f_0((X, V)(0, t, x, v)),$$

where (X, V) are the characteristics associated to $-v + u$.

MORAL: the particles *follow* the characteristic flow.

To absorb the particles, we have to get into the control region ω .

STRATEGY TO AVOID OBSTRUCTIONS (RETURN METHOD):

How to eliminate the obstructions? Method of characteristics

Given f_0 and u regular, the transport equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = 0, & (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases}$$

has the **explicit solution**

$$f(t, x, v) = e^{2t} f_0((X, V)(0, t, x, v)),$$

where (X, V) are the characteristics associated to $-v + u$.

MORAL: the particles *follow* the characteristic flow.

To absorb the particles, we have to get into the control region ω .

STRATEGY TO AVOID OBSTRUCTIONS (RETURN METHOD):

to construct a **reference vector field** \bar{u} such that

How to eliminate the obstructions? Method of characteristics

Given f_0 and u regular, the transport equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \operatorname{div}_v [(u - v)f] = 0, & (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), & \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases}$$

has the **explicit solution**

$$f(t, x, v) = e^{2t} f_0((X, V)(0, t, x, v)),$$

where (X, V) are the characteristics associated to $-v + u$.

MORAL: the particles *follow* the characteristic flow.

To absorb the particles, we have to get into the control region ω .

STRATEGY TO AVOID OBSTRUCTIONS (RETURN METHOD):

to construct a **reference vector field** \bar{u} such that

$$\forall (x, v) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \exists t > 0 \text{ s.t. } \bar{X}(t, 0, x, v) \in \omega, .$$

$$\partial_t \bar{u} + \bar{u} \cdot \nabla_x \bar{u} - \Delta_x \bar{u} + \nabla_x p = j_{\bar{f}} - \rho_{\bar{f}} \bar{u}.$$

- We exploit the strip assumption on $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$.

Reference solution: velocity field

- We exploit the strip assumption on $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$. There exist a straight line $H = \text{span}(n_H^\perp)$ contained in ω .

Reference solution: velocity field

- We exploit the strip assumption on $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$. There exist a straight line $H = \text{span}(n_H^\perp)$ contained in ω . We want to accelerate all the characteristics in the direction of n_H .

Reference solution: velocity field

- We exploit the strip assumption on $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$. There exist a straight line $H = \text{span}(n_H^\perp)$ contained in ω . We want to accelerate all the characteristics in the direction of n_H .
- We use a controllability result for the NS system in \mathbb{T}^2 (Coron-Fursikov, 1996): we can modify the fluid to pass from 0 to the stationary solution n_H .

Reference solution: velocity field

- We exploit the strip assumption on $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$. There exist a straight line $H = \text{span}(n_H^\perp)$ contained in ω . We want to accelerate all the characteristics in the direction of n_H .
- We use a controllability result for the NS system in \mathbb{T}^2 (Coron-Fursikov, 1996): we can modify the fluid to pass from 0 to the stationary solution n_H .
- We wait enough time until all the characteristics have met ω .

Reference solution: velocity field

- We exploit the strip assumption on $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$. There exist a straight line $H = \text{span}(n_H^\perp)$ contained in ω . We want to accelerate all the characteristics in the direction of n_H .
- We use a controllability result for the NS system in \mathbb{T}^2 (Coron-Fursikov, 1996): we can modify the fluid to pass from 0 to the stationary solution n_H .
- We wait enough time until all the characteristics have met ω .
- We put the velocity field back to zero thanks to the Coron-Fursikov's theorem.

Reference solution: distribution function

We have found \bar{u} satisfying (Coron-Fursikov, 1996):

Reference solution: distribution function

We have found \bar{u} satisfying (Coron-Fursikov, 1996):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{u} + (\bar{u} \cdot \nabla) \bar{u} - \Delta_x \bar{u} + \nabla_x \bar{p} = \bar{w}, & (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x \bar{u} = 0, & (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases}$$

Reference solution: distribution function

We have found \bar{u} satisfying (Coron-Fursikov, 1996):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{u} + (\bar{u} \cdot \nabla) \bar{u} - \Delta_x \bar{u} + \nabla_x \bar{p} = \bar{w}, & (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x \bar{u} = 0, & (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases}$$

for a control \bar{w} supported in $(0, T) \times \omega$.

Reference solution: distribution function

We have found \bar{u} satisfying (Coron-Fursikov, 1996):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{u} + (\bar{u} \cdot \nabla) \bar{u} - \Delta_x \bar{u} + \nabla_x \bar{p} = \bar{w}, & (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x \bar{u} = 0, & (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases}$$

for a control \bar{w} supported in $(0, T) \times \omega$.

ASSOCIATED DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION: let $\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_i \mathcal{Z}_j(v) dv = \delta_{ij}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{Z}_i(v) dv = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

Reference solution: distribution function

We have found \bar{u} satisfying (Coron-Fursikov, 1996):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{u} + (\bar{u} \cdot \nabla) \bar{u} - \Delta_x \bar{u} + \nabla_x \bar{p} = \bar{w}, & (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x \bar{u} = 0, & (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases}$$

for a control \bar{w} supported in $(0, T) \times \omega$.

ASSOCIATED DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION: let $\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_i \mathcal{Z}_j(v) dv = \delta_{ij}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{Z}_i(v) dv = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

Then, define $\bar{f}(t, x, v) := (\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)(v) \cdot \bar{w}(t, x)$, which gives

Reference solution: distribution function

We have found \bar{u} satisfying (Coron-Fursikov, 1996):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{u} + (\bar{u} \cdot \nabla) \bar{u} - \Delta_x \bar{u} + \nabla_x \bar{p} = \bar{w}, & (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \operatorname{div}_x \bar{u} = 0, & (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases}$$

for a control \bar{w} supported in $(0, T) \times \omega$.

ASSOCIATED DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION: let $\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_i \mathcal{Z}_j(v) dv = \delta_{ij}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{Z}_i(v) dv = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

Then, define $\bar{f}(t, x, v) := (\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2)(v) \cdot \bar{w}(t, x)$, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w} &= j_{\bar{f}} - \rho_{\bar{f}} \bar{u}, & (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^2, \\ \partial_t \bar{f} + v \cdot \nabla_x \bar{f} + \operatorname{div}_v [(\bar{u} - v) \bar{f}] &= 0, & (0, T) \times (\mathbb{T}^2 \setminus \omega) \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \bar{f}|_{t=0} &= 0, \quad \bar{f}|_{t=T} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION

Let $\omega \subset \mathbb{T}^2$ satisfy the strip assumption. There exists $T_0 > 0$ such that for any $T \geq T_0$, there exists a reference solution (\bar{f}, \bar{u}) of the VNS system such that

$$\bar{f} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2; \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)),$$

$$\bar{u} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{R}^2),$$

$$(\bar{f}, \bar{u})|_{t=0} = (0, 0), \quad (\bar{f}, \bar{u})|_{t=T} = (0, 0),$$

$$\text{supp}(\bar{f}) \subset (0, T) \times \omega \times \mathbb{R}^2,$$

and such that the characteristics associated to \bar{u} satisfy

$$\forall (x, v) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \exists t \in \left[\frac{T}{12}, \frac{11T}{12} \right] \text{ such that}$$

$$\bar{X}(t, 0, x, v) \in \omega, \text{ with } |\bar{V}(t, 0, x, v) \cdot n_H| \geq 5.$$

Construction of (f, u) close to (\bar{f}, \bar{u}) : fixed-point scheme

Construction of (f, u) close to (\bar{f}, \bar{u}) : fixed-point scheme

We define a domain,

$$\mathcal{S}_\epsilon \approx \{f; \epsilon - \text{close to } \bar{f}\} \subset \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$$

depending on $\epsilon > 0$ small,

Construction of (f, u) close to (\bar{f}, \bar{u}) : fixed-point scheme

We define a domain,

$$\mathcal{S}_\epsilon \simeq \{f; \epsilon - \text{close to } \bar{f}\} \subset \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$$

depending on $\epsilon > 0$ small, and a **continuous operator**

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon : \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

Construction of (f, u) close to (\bar{f}, \bar{u}) : fixed-point scheme

We define a domain,

$$\mathcal{S}_\epsilon \approx \{f; \epsilon - \text{close to } \bar{f}\} \subset \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$$

depending on $\epsilon > 0$ small, and a **continuous operator**

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon : \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

in such a way \mathcal{V}_ϵ has a **fixed point g^* satisfying:**

Construction of (f, u) close to (\bar{f}, \bar{u}) : fixed-point scheme

We define a domain,

$$\mathcal{S}_\epsilon \simeq \{f; \epsilon - \text{close to } \bar{f}\} \subset \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$$

depending on $\epsilon > 0$ small, and a **continuous operator**

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon : \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

in such a way \mathcal{V}_ϵ has a **fixed point g^* satisfying:**

- g^* **solves (VNS)** for a certain control G^* ,

Construction of (f, u) close to (\bar{f}, \bar{u}) : fixed-point scheme

We define a domain,

$$\mathcal{S}_\epsilon \simeq \{f; \epsilon - \text{close to } \bar{f}\} \subset \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$$

depending on $\epsilon > 0$ small, and a **continuous operator**

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon : \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

in such a way \mathcal{V}_ϵ has a **fixed point g^* satisfying:**

- g^* **solves (VNS)** for a certain control G^* ,
- $\forall (x, v) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \exists t$ such that

$$X^{g^*}(t, 0, x, v) \in \omega.$$

Construction of (f, u) close to (\bar{f}, \bar{u}) : fixed-point scheme

We define a domain,

$$\mathcal{S}_\epsilon \simeq \{f; \epsilon - \text{close to } \bar{f}\} \subset \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$$

depending on $\epsilon > 0$ small, and a **continuous operator**

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon : \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

in such a way \mathcal{V}_ϵ has a **fixed point g^* satisfying:**

- g^* **solves (VNS)** for a certain control G^* ,
- $\forall (x, v) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \exists t$ such that

$$X^{g^*}(t, 0, x, v) \in \omega.$$

We define \mathcal{V}_ϵ in **three steps (NS-absorption-extension)**:

Construction of (f, u) close to (\bar{f}, \bar{u}) : fixed-point scheme

We define a domain,

$$\mathcal{S}_\epsilon \simeq \{f; \epsilon - \text{close to } \bar{f}\} \subset \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$$

depending on $\epsilon > 0$ small, and a **continuous operator**

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon : \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

in such a way \mathcal{V}_ϵ has a **fixed point g^* satisfying:**

- g^* **solves (VNS)** for a certain control G^* ,
- $\forall (x, v) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \exists t$ such that

$$X^{g^*}(t, 0, x, v) \in \omega.$$

We define \mathcal{V}_ϵ in **three steps (NS-absorption-extension)**:

- 1 $g \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \mapsto u^g$, solution of NS with Brinkman force
 $j_g - \rho_g u^g$,

Construction of (f, u) close to (\bar{f}, \bar{u}) : fixed-point scheme

We define a domain,

$$\mathcal{S}_\epsilon \simeq \{f; \epsilon - \text{close to } \bar{f}\} \subset \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$$

depending on $\epsilon > 0$ small, and a **continuous operator**

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon : \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

in such a way \mathcal{V}_ϵ has a **fixed point g^* satisfying:**

- g^* **solves (VNS)** for a certain control G^* ,
- $\forall (x, v) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \exists t$ such that

$$X^{g^*}(t, 0, x, v) \in \omega.$$

We define \mathcal{V}_ϵ in **three steps (NS-absorption-extension)**:

- 1 $g \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \mapsto u^g$, solution of NS with Brinkman force
 $j_g - \rho_g u^g$,
- 2 $u^g \xrightarrow{\text{characteristics}} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_\epsilon[g]$, solution of Vlasov with absorption in ω ,

Construction of (f, u) close to (\bar{f}, \bar{u}) : fixed-point scheme

We define a domain,

$$\mathcal{S}_\epsilon \simeq \{f; \epsilon - \text{close to } \bar{f}\} \subset \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$$

depending on $\epsilon > 0$ small, and a **continuous operator**

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon : \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

in such a way \mathcal{V}_ϵ has a **fixed point g^* satisfying:**

- g^* **solves (VNS)** for a certain control G^* ,
- $\forall (x, v) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \exists t$ such that

$$X^{g^*}(t, 0, x, v) \in \omega.$$

We define \mathcal{V}_ϵ in **three steps (NS-absorption-extension)**:

- 1 $g \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \mapsto u^g$, solution of NS with Brinkman force
 $j_g - \rho_g u^g$,
- 2 $u^g \xrightarrow{\text{characteristics}} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_\epsilon[g]$, solution of Vlasov with absorption in ω ,
- 3 $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_\epsilon[g] \xrightarrow{\Pi\text{-extension}} \mathcal{V}_\epsilon[g] = \bar{f} + \Pi(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_\epsilon[g]).$

End of the proof the controllability theorem I

End of the proof the controllability theorem I

We have to show that the operator

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon : \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

has a **fixed point**.

End of the proof the controllability theorem I

We have to show that the operator

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon : \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

has a **fixed point**. We prove that

- $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\mathcal{S}_\epsilon) \subset \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$,

End of the proof the controllability theorem I

We have to show that the operator

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon : \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

has a **fixed point**. We prove that

- $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\mathcal{S}_\epsilon) \subset \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$,
- \mathcal{V}_ϵ is continuous.

End of the proof the controllability theorem I

We have to show that the operator

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon : \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

has a **fixed point**. We prove that

- $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\mathcal{S}_\epsilon) \subset \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$,
- \mathcal{V}_ϵ is continuous.

Thus, (LERAY-SCHAUDER) $\exists g^* \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$ such that $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon[g^*] = g^*$.

End of the proof the controllability theorem I

We have to show that the operator

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon : \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

has a **fixed point**. We prove that

- $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\mathcal{S}_\epsilon) \subset \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$,
- \mathcal{V}_ϵ is continuous.

Thus, (LERAY-SCHAUDER) $\exists g^* \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$ such that $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon[g^*] = g^*$. By construction, the **fixed point** satisfies

End of the proof the controllability theorem I

We have to show that the operator

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon : \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

has a **fixed point**. We prove that

- $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\mathcal{S}_\epsilon) \subset \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$,
- \mathcal{V}_ϵ is continuous.

Thus, (LERAY-SCHAUDER) $\exists g^* \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$ such that $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon[g^*] = g^*$. By construction, the **fixed point** satisfies

- the VNS system, for a certain control,

End of the proof the controllability theorem I

We have to show that the operator

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon : \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

has a **fixed point**. We prove that

- $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\mathcal{S}_\epsilon) \subset \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$,
- \mathcal{V}_ϵ is continuous.

Thus, (LERAY-SCHAUDER) $\exists g^* \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$ such that $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon[g^*] = g^*$. By construction, the **fixed point** satisfies

- the VNS system, for a certain control,
- that the characteristics are close to the reference characteristics, i.e., $\|(\bar{X}, \bar{V}) - (X^{g^*}, V^{g^*})\| \lesssim \epsilon + M$ (thanks to **stability estimates** for NS).

End of the proof the controllability theorem I

We have to show that the operator

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon : \mathcal{S}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^0([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

has a **fixed point**. We prove that

- $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\mathcal{S}_\epsilon) \subset \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$,
- \mathcal{V}_ϵ is continuous.

Thus, (LERAY-SCHAUDER) $\exists g^* \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$ such that $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon[g^*] = g^*$. By construction, the **fixed point** satisfies

- the VNS system, for a certain control,
- that the characteristics are close to the reference characteristics, i.e., $\|(\bar{X}, \bar{V}) - (X^{g^*}, V^{g^*})\| \lesssim \epsilon + M$ (thanks to **stability estimates** for NS).

Thus, choosing ϵ and M **small enough**, (X^{g^*}, V^{g^*}) **meet** ω .

End of the proof the controllability theorem II

Since (X^g, V^g) **meet** ω , thanks to the **absorption** procedure, we deduce that

End of the proof the controllability theorem II

Since (X^g, V^g) **meet** ω , thanks to the **absorption** procedure, we deduce that

$$g^*|_{t=T} = 0 \text{ outside } \omega.$$

End of the proof the controllability theorem II

Since (X^g, V^g) **meet** ω , thanks to the **absorption** procedure, we deduce that

$$g^*|_{t=T} = 0 \text{ outside } \omega.$$

We have **confined all the particles** in ω !

End of the proof the controllability theorem II

Since (X^g, V^g) **meet** ω , thanks to the **absorption** procedure, we deduce that

$$g^*|_{t=T} = 0 \text{ outside } \omega.$$

We have **confined all the particles** in ω !

NEXT STEP: How to **attain** the equilibrium $(f, u) = (0, 0)$?

End of the proof the controllability theorem II

Since (X^g, V^g) **meet** ω , thanks to the **absorption** procedure, we deduce that

$$g^*|_{t=T} = 0 \text{ outside } \omega.$$

We have **confined all the particles** in ω !

NEXT STEP: How to **attain** the equilibrium $(f, u) = (0, 0)$?

- We pass from $g^*|_{t=T} = 0$ outside ω to $g^*|_{t=T+T_1} \equiv 0$ everywhere,

End of the proof the controllability theorem II

Since (X^g, V^g) **meet** ω , thanks to the **absorption** procedure, we deduce that

$$g^*|_{t=T} = 0 \text{ outside } \omega.$$

We have **confined all the particles** in ω !

NEXT STEP: How to **attain** the equilibrium $(f, u) = (0, 0)$?

- We pass from $g^*|_{t=T} = 0$ outside ω to $g^*|_{t=T+T_1} \equiv 0$ everywhere,
- We pass from $u^{g^*}|_{t=T+T_1}$ to $u|_{t=T+T_1+T_2} \equiv 0$, thanks to the controllability of NS (Coron-Fursikov).

End of the proof the controllability theorem II

Since (X^g, V^g) **meet** ω , thanks to the **absorption** procedure, we deduce that

$$g^*|_{t=T} = 0 \text{ outside } \omega.$$

We have **confined all the particles** in ω !

NEXT STEP: How to **attain** the equilibrium $(f, u) = (0, 0)$?

- We pass from $g^*|_{t=T} = 0$ outside ω to $g^*|_{t=T+T_1} \equiv 0$ everywhere,
- We pass from $u^{g^*}|_{t=T+T_1}$ to $u|_{t=T+T_1+T_2} \equiv 0$, thanks to the controllability of NS (Coron-Fursikov).

We thus get

$$u|_{t=T_{large}} = 0, \quad f|_{t=T_{large}} = 0,$$

End of the proof the controllability theorem II

Since (X^g, V^g) **meet** ω , thanks to the **absorption** procedure, we deduce that

$$g^*|_{t=T} = 0 \text{ outside } \omega.$$

We have **confined all the particles** in ω !

NEXT STEP: How to **attain** the equilibrium $(f, u) = (0, 0)$?

- We pass from $g^*|_{t=T} = 0$ outside ω to $g^*|_{t=T+T_1} \equiv 0$ everywhere,
- We pass from $u^{g^*}|_{t=T+T_1}$ to $u|_{t=T+T_1+T_2} \equiv 0$, thanks to the controllability of NS (Coron-Fursikov).

We thus get

$$u|_{t=T_{large}} = 0, \quad f|_{t=T_{large}} = 0,$$

which ends the proof.

Thank you very much for your attention!

Joyeux anniversaire, Jean-Michel !