

Examen

Only the notes corresponding to the course and the book "Bernardi-Maday-Rapetti" are authorized

Length: 3 hours

You may accept the results to be proven in one question in order to skip to another one

First part

Let Ω be a bounded convex polygonal domain in \mathbb{R}^d , $d = 2$ or 3 , Let us consider the biharmonic problem : Find u such that

$$\Delta^2 u = f$$

where f is given in $L^2(\Omega)$. This problem is complemented with the additional boundary conditions

$$u = g_1, \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g_2, \text{ over } \partial\Omega$$

1) Assume first that $g_1 = g_2 = 0$ show that this problem is equivalent to find $u \in H_0^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\forall w \in H_0^2(\Omega); \quad \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Delta w = \int_{\Omega} f w$$

where you shall remind what the space H_0^2 stands for.

2) Assume first the lemma holds

Lemma There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\forall \varphi \in H_0^2(\Omega)$

$$C \|\varphi\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} [\Delta \varphi]^2 \tag{1}$$

show that the above homogeneous harmonic problem is well posed

3) State the precise trace results from $H^2(\Omega)$ into $H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega) \times H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ for the trace of the functions and the normal derivatives.

4) State and prove the correct result of existence, uniqueness and stability of the full bi-harmonic problem in the non homogeneous case where g_1 and g_2 are not zero anymore.

5) Propose in a precise way a conforming discretization of the above problem, based on a series of discrete spaces denoted as X_{δ} . The solution is denoted as u_{δ}

6) prove that

$$\|u - u_{\delta}\|_{H^2} \leq C \inf_{w_{\delta} \in X_{\delta}} \|u - w_{\delta}\|_{H^2}$$

7) propose a finite element method in the case $d = 2$ that fits to this general framework and state the error estimate

8) propose an improved error estimate for $\|u - u_{\delta}\|_{L^2}$

9) propose a spectral approximation (with no numerical integration) in $d = 2$ (Ω is a square) or $d = 3$ (Ω is a cube) and indicate (without proof) what is the error between u and $u - N$, where N stands for the degree of the spectral approximation.

Second part

We want here to consider the problem in two steps where we find (u, v) such that

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= v \\ -\Delta v &= f \\ u &= g_1, \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g_2, \text{ over } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

10) We start over with the assumption that $g_1 = g_2 = 0$ that will hold until question 19). Prove that the above problem is equivalent to find (u, v) in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall w \in H^1(\Omega), \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla w - \int_{\Omega} v w &= 0 \\ \forall w \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \nabla w &= \int_{\Omega} f w \end{aligned}$$

11) By denoting $a(v, w) = \int_{\Omega} v w$ and $b(u, w) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla w$ write the problem as a problem in a mixed formulation

- 12) What are the hypothesis that should be done on a and b so that this problem is well posed
- 13) prove the inf-sup condition on the bilinear form b
- 14) prove the ellipticity condition over a on the kernel of b
- 15) propose a (mixed) discretization of this formulation
- 16) state the hypothesis on this discretization so that the discrete problem is well posed
- 17) what is the interest of the approach in the finite element framework
- 18) propose an example of a lagrangian simplicial finite element discretization of this problem
- 19) provide some elements for the numerical analysis leading to an error estimate between u and u_h and v and v_h

Third part

The solution procedure, in particular, in the case where g_1 and g_2 are not zero is a little bit complex so we propose here to replace the initial problem by

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= v \\ -\Delta v &= f \\ u &= g_1 \text{ over } \partial\Omega \\ v &= \gamma_2 \text{ over } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

- 20) explain why this problem is more simple to handle
- 21) propose a spectral discretization of this problem when Ω is a square
- 22) what do you propose is Ω still in $d = 2$ is not a square
- 23) Explain the mapping that can be constructed from the data of f, g_1, γ_2 to the value of $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$ over $\partial\Omega$ by solving the above problem
- 24) assume $f = 0, g_1 = 0$ are given, this provides a mapping A that to any γ_2 associates $g_2 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$. Prove that A is invertible
- 25) propose an iterative scheme that allows to solve the original problem in the second part by solving only problems like in the third part.