

On the Boltzmann equation without cutoff



Isabelle Tristani
CNRS, École Normale Supérieure
with F. Hérau and D. Tonon

Séminaire du LJLL - 02 juin 2017

- 1) Introduction
- 2) The homogeneous case
- 3) The non homogeneous case

Kinetic theory

- System described by the evolution of the density of particles $f = f(t, x, v) \geq 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ the time, $x \in \Omega$ the position and $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ the velocity.

$f(t, x, v) dx dv =$ quantity of particles in the volume element $dx dv$ centered in $(x, v) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Kinetic theory

- No external force or interaction: free transport equation

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = 0.$$

- If interaction between particles or with a background medium, equation of kind

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = \underbrace{C(f)}_{\text{collision term}} .$$

- **Maxwell** (1867), **Boltzmann** (1872): Boltzmann collision operator for neutral particles (gaz).

The Boltzmann equation

Boltzmann equation in the torus

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q(f, f), \quad (t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$Q(g, f)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \underbrace{B(v - v_*, \sigma)}_{\text{collision kernel}} \left(\underbrace{f(v') g(v'_*)}_{\text{"appearing"}} - \underbrace{f(v) g(v_*)}_{\text{"disappearing"}} \right) dv_* d\sigma$$

The Boltzmann equation

Boltzmann equation in the torus

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q(f, f), \quad (t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$Q(g, f)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \underbrace{B(v - v_*, \sigma)}_{\text{collision kernel}} \left(\underbrace{f(v') g(v'_*)}_{\text{"appearing"}} - \underbrace{f(v) g(v_*)}_{\text{"disappearing"}} \right) dv_* d\sigma$$

v , v_* and v' , v'_* are the velocities of a pair of particles before and after collision.

- Conservation of momentum and energy:

$$v + v_* = v' + v'_*, \quad |v|^2 + |v_*|^2 = |v'|^2 + |v'_*|^2.$$

- Parametrization of (v', v'_*) :

$$v' = \frac{v + v_*}{2} + \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} \sigma, \quad v'_* = \frac{v + v_*}{2} - \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} \sigma, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{S}^2.$$

The collision kernel

- Physical motivation: particles interacting according to a repulsive potential of the form $\phi(r) = r^{-(p-1)}$, $p \in (2, +\infty)$. We only deal with the case $p > 5 \leftrightarrow$ Hard potentials.
- The collision kernel $B(v - v_*, \sigma)$ satisfies

$$B(v - v_*, \sigma) = C |v - v_*|^{\gamma} b(\cos \theta), \quad \cos \theta = \frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|} \cdot \sigma.$$

The collision kernel

- Physical motivation: particles interacting according to a repulsive potential of the form $\phi(r) = r^{-(p-1)}$, $p \in (2, +\infty)$. We only deal with the case $p > 5 \leftrightarrow$ Hard potentials.
- The collision kernel $B(v - v_*, \sigma)$ satisfies

$$B(v - v_*, \sigma) = C|v - v_*|^\gamma b(\cos \theta), \quad \cos \theta = \frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|} \cdot \sigma.$$

- b is not integrable on \mathbb{S}^2 :

$$\sin \theta b(\cos \theta) \approx \theta^{-1-2s}, \quad s = \frac{1}{p-1}, \quad \forall \theta \in (0, \pi/2].$$

Hard potentials $\leftrightarrow s \in (0, 1/4)$.

- The kinetic factor $|v - v_*|^\gamma$ satisfies $\gamma = \frac{p-5}{p-1}$.
Hard potentials $\leftrightarrow \gamma > 0$.

Weak form and consequences

For $\phi = \phi(v)$ a test function,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f, f) \phi \, dv = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) f f_* (\phi' + \phi'_* - \phi - \phi_*) \, d\sigma \, dv_* \, dv.$$

Weak form and consequences

For $\phi = \phi(v)$ a test function,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f, f) \phi \, dv = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) f f_* (\phi' + \phi'_* - \phi - \phi_*) \, d\sigma \, dv_* \, dv.$$

– Conservation of mass, momentum and energy:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f, f)(v) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v_i \\ |v|^2 \end{pmatrix} \, dv = 0$$

Weak form and consequences

For $\phi = \phi(v)$ a test function,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f, f) \phi \, dv = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) f f_* (\phi' + \phi'_* - \phi - \phi_*) \, d\sigma \, dv_* \, dv.$$

– Entropy inequality (H-theorem):

$$D(f) := - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f, f)(v) \log f(v) \, dv \geq 0$$

and

$$D(f) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = \mu = \text{Maxwellian (Gaussian in } v) \\ Q(\mu, \mu) = 0$$

A priori estimates

We fix $\mu = (2\pi)^{-3/2} e^{-|v|^2/2}$.

In what follows, we shall consider initial data f_0 with same mass, momentum, energy as μ .

A priori estimates: if f_t is solution of the Boltzmann equation associated with f_0 s.t.

$$\int (1 + |v|^2 + |\log f_0|) f_0 dx dv < \infty$$

then $f \in L_t^\infty (L_2^1 \cap L \log L)$:

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \int (1 + |v|^2 + |\log f_t|) f_t dx dv + \int_0^\infty D(f_s) ds < \infty.$$

Problem:

Does $f_t \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow \infty]{} \mu$? If yes, what is the **rate of convergence**?

- 1) Introduction
- 2) The homogeneous case
- 3) The non homogeneous case

Main result in the homogeneous case

$$\partial_t f = Q(f, f), \quad (t, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3$$

Theorem (T. '14)

Consider $f_0 \geq 0$ of finite entropy. Then if f_t is a “smooth” solution associated to the initial datum f_0 , $\exists \lambda > 0, C > 0$ s.t.

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \|f_t - \mu\|_{L^1} \leq C e^{-\lambda t}.$$

- ↪ Cauchy theory: Arkeryd '81, Goudon '97, Villani '98, Fournier-Mouhot '09, Desvillettes-Mouhot '09...
- ↪ Regularization properties of the equation: Elmroth '83, Desvillettes '93, Wennberg '97, Alexandre-Desvillettes-Villani-Wennberg '00, Chen-He '11...

Main result in the homogeneous case

$$\partial_t f = Q(f, f), \quad (t, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3$$

Theorem (T. '14)

Consider $f_0 \geq 0$ of finite entropy. Then if f_t is a “smooth” solution associated to the initial datum f_0 , $\exists \lambda > 0, C > 0$ s.t.

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \|f_t - \mu\|_{L^1} \leq C e^{-\lambda t}.$$

- ↪ Improvement of the (better than any) polynomial rate of Villani '03 (see also Carlen-Carvalho '94, Toscani-Villani '99...).
- ↪ Improvement of Mouhot '06 result (cutoff case).

Strategy of the proof

$$\partial_t f = Q(f, f)$$

- Linearization around the equilibrium: $f = \mu + h$

$$\partial_t h = \underbrace{Q(\mu, h) + Q(h, \mu)}_{\Lambda h = \text{linear part}} \quad (+ \quad \underbrace{Q(h, h)}_{\text{Nonlinear part: negligible?}} \quad).$$

- Study of the linearized operator Λ (**semigroup estimates**):
 - ↪ **enlargement argument to link the linear and nonlinear theories.**
- Proof of **bilinear estimates on the collision operator Q .**

Enlargement argument

$$\underbrace{E := L^2(\mu^{-1/2})}_{\text{small Hilbert space}}$$



Baranger-Mouhot '05

\subset

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{E} := L^1(\langle v \rangle^k)}_{\text{large Banach space}}$$



Decay of the semigroup?

Enlargement argument

$$E := L^2(\mu^{-1/2})$$

small Hilbert space



Baranger-Mouhot '05

⊂

$$\mathcal{E} := L^1(\langle v \rangle^k)$$

large Banach space



Decay of the semigroup?

(1) There exists $\lambda > 0$ such that for any $h_0 \in E$, we have:

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \|S_\Lambda(t)(I - \Pi_0)h_0\|_E \leq e^{-\lambda t} \|(I - \Pi_0)h_0\|_E.$$

Enlargement argument

$$\mathbb{E} := \underbrace{L^2(\mu^{-1/2})}$$

small Hilbert space



Baranger-Mouhot '05

\subset

$$\mathcal{E} := \underbrace{L^1(\langle v \rangle^k)}$$

large Banach space



Decay of the semigroup?

(2) Exhibit a splitting of Λ :

$$\Lambda = \underbrace{\mathcal{A}}_{\text{"B-regular"}} + \underbrace{\mathcal{B}}_{a - (\text{hypo})\text{dissipative}}, \quad a < 0$$

(3) Notion of regularity: $\mathcal{A}S_{\mathcal{B}}(t)$ has some regularizing properties ($\mathcal{E} \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{E}$) with an exponential rate e^{at} .

The conclusion: decay of the semigroup $S_\Lambda(t)$ in \mathcal{E}

Theorem (Gualdani-Mischler-Mouhot '13)

For any $h_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ and any $a' > \max(a, -\lambda)$, there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that:

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \|S_\Lambda(t)(I - \Pi_0) h_0\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq C e^{a't} \|(I - \Pi_0) h_0\|_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Key element of the proof: Duhamel formula

$$S_\Lambda(t) = S_{\mathcal{B}}(t) + \int_0^t S_\Lambda(t-s) \mathcal{A} S_{\mathcal{B}}(s) ds.$$

Proof of exponential decay to equilibrium

- Exponential decay of $S_\Lambda(t)$ in $L^2(\mu^{-1/2})$ (already known from Baranger-Mouhot '05).
- **Enlargement argument** \Rightarrow exponential decay of $S_\Lambda(t)$ in the larger space $L^1(\langle v \rangle^k)$ with $k > 2$.

Proof of exponential decay to equilibrium

- Exponential decay of $S_\Lambda(t)$ in $L^2(\mu^{-1/2})$ (already known from Baranger-Mouhot '05).
- **Enlargement argument** \Rightarrow exponential decay of $S_\Lambda(t)$ in the larger space $L^1(\langle v \rangle^k)$ with $k > 2$.
- There exists a **neighborhood of μ** in which **the linear part of the equation is dominant**.

Proof of exponential decay to equilibrium

- Exponential decay of $S_\Lambda(t)$ in $L^2(\mu^{-1/2})$ (already known from Baranger-Mouhot '05).
- **Enlargement argument** \Rightarrow exponential decay of $S_\Lambda(t)$ in the larger space $L^1(\langle v \rangle^k)$ with $k > 2$.
- There exists a **neighborhood of μ** in which **the linear part of the equation is dominant**.
- Thanks to **Villani's result**, we know that a solution of the equation is going to reach this stability neighborhood.

Proof of exponential decay to equilibrium

- Exponential decay of $S_\Lambda(t)$ in $L^2(\mu^{-1/2})$ (already known from Baranger-Mouhot '05).
- **Enlargement argument** \Rightarrow exponential decay of $S_\Lambda(t)$ in the larger space $L^1(\langle v \rangle^k)$ with $k > 2$.
- There exists a **neighborhood of μ** in which **the linear part of the equation is dominant**.
- Thanks to **Villani's result**, we know that a solution of the equation is going to reach this stability neighborhood.
- Then, we use our result of **exponential convergence in this neighborhood**.

- 1) Introduction
- 2) The homogeneous case
- 3) The non homogeneous case

Main result in the non homogeneous case

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q(f, f), \quad (t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Theorem (Hérau-Tanon-T. '17)

If f_0 is close enough to the equilibrium μ , then *there exists a unique global solution* $f \in L_t^\infty(X)$ to the Boltzmann equation.

Moreover, for any $0 < \lambda < \lambda_\star$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \|f_t - \mu\|_X \leq C e^{-\lambda t} \|f_0 - \mu\|_X.$$

- \hookrightarrow X is a Sobolev space of type $H_x^3 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^k)$ with k large enough.
- \hookrightarrow $\lambda_\star > 0$ is the optimal rate given by the semigroup decay of the associated linearized operator.

Main result in the non homogeneous case

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q(f, f), \quad (t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Theorem (Hérau-Tanon-T. '17)

If f_0 is close enough to the equilibrium μ , then *there exists a unique global solution* $f \in L_t^\infty(X)$ to the Boltzmann equation.

Moreover, for any $0 < \lambda < \lambda_\star$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \|f_t - \mu\|_X \leq C e^{-\lambda t} \|f_0 - \mu\|_X.$$

↪ Perturbative Cauchy theory in spaces of type $H_{x,v}^{\ell,m}(\mu^{-1/2})$:
Gressman-Strain '11, Alexandre-Morimoto-Ukai-Xu-Yang '11

↪ Improvement in the weight.

Strategy of the proof - I

Steps of the proof:

- Study of the linearized problem around equilibrium (based on Mouhot-Neumann '06 result) // homogeneous case.
- Nonlinear estimates in weighted non homogeneous spaces.
- Construction of solutions thanks to *a priori* estimates:
if $f_t = \mu + h_t$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} ||| h_t |||_X^2 \leq -K_0 ||| h_t |||_X^2 - (K_1 - C ||| h_t |||_X) || h_t ||_Y^2$$

where $||| \cdot |||_X \sim \| \cdot \|_X$ and $Y \subset X$.

Strategy of the proof - II

Main difficulties:

- Adapt the computations in L^1 from the homogeneous case to the L^2 framework in the non homogeneous case.
- Regularization properties in x ? Hypocoercivity of the equation? Lyapunov functional (see Hérau '07 and Villani '09 for the kinetic Fokker-Planck equation) for the linearized equation (see the Boltzmann operator as a pseudo-differential operator).
- Estimates on the nonlinear term in a non homogeneous framework with polynomial weight.

Regularization properties of the linearized operator

Theorem (Hérau-Tonon-T. '17)

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. We have for k large enough and $k' > k$ large enough:

$$\|S_\Lambda(t)h_0\|_{H_{x,v}^{r,s}(\langle v \rangle^k)} \leq \frac{C_r}{t^{1/2}} \|h_0\|_{H_{x,v}^{r,0}(\langle v \rangle^{k'})}, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1],$$

and

$$\|S_\Lambda(t)h_0\|_{H_{x,v}^{r+s,s}(\langle v \rangle^k)} \leq \frac{C_r}{t^{1/2+s}} \|h_0\|_{H_{x,v}^{r,0}(\langle v \rangle^{k'})}, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1].$$

↪ Key point to develop our **perturbative Cauchy theory**.

↪ In the spirit of **Alexandre-Hérau-Li '15**.

Thanks for your attention!